

2019 ANNUAL GROUNDWATER MONITORING AND CORRECTIVE ACTION REPORT BOTTOM ASH SETTLING AREA TECUMSEH ENERGY CENTER TECUMSEH, KANSAS

by Haley & Aldrich, Inc. Cleveland, Ohio

for Evergy Kansas Central, Inc. (f/k/a Westar Energy, Inc.) Topeka, Kansas

File No. 129778-041 January 2020

Revised: March 2021

2019 Annual Groundwater Monitoring and Corrective Action Report

Table of Contents

				Page
1.	Intro	oductio	on	1
2.	40 C	FR § 25	57.90 Applicability	2
	2.1	40 CFI	R § 257.90(A)	2
	2.2	40 CFI	R § 257.90(E) – SUMMARY	2
		2.2.1	Status of the Groundwater Monitoring Program	2
		2.2.2	Key Actions Completed	2
		2.2.3	Problems Encountered	3
		2.2.4	Actions to Resolve Problems	3
		2.2.5	Project Key Activities for Upcoming Year	3
	2.3	40 CFI	R § 257.90(E) – INFORMATION	4
		2.3.1	40 CFR § 257.90(e)(1)	4
		2.3.2	40 CFR § 257.90(e)(2) – Monitoring System Changes	4
		2.3.3	40 CFR § 257.90(e)(3) – Summary of Sampling Events	4
		2.3.4	40 CFR § 257.90(e)(4) – Monitoring Transition Narrative	4
		2.3.5	40 CFR § 257.90(e)(5) – Other Requirements	5

Revision No.	Date	Notes
0	January 2020	Original
1	March 2021	Revised to include groundwater potentiometric contour maps for 2019

i



List of Tables

Table No.	Title
1	Summary of Analytical Results – Assessment Monitoring
II	Annual Assessment Groundwater Monitoring – Detected Appendix IV GWPS

List of Figures

Figure No.	Title
1	Bottom Ash Settling Area Monitoring Well Location Map
2	Bottom Ash Settling Area Groundwater Potentiometric Elevation Contour Map – March 20, 2019
3	Bottom Ash Settling Area Groundwater Potentiometric Elevation Contour Map – June 25, 2019
4	Bottom Ash Settling Area Groundwater Potentiometric Elevation Contour Map – October 10, 2019
5	Bottom Ash Settling Area Groundwater Potentiometric Elevation Contour Map – December 5, 2019

List of Attachments

Attachment No.	Title
1	Appendix IV SSL Alternate Source Demonstration for September 2018 Sampling Event for TEC Bottom Ash Settling Area
2	Appendix IV SSL Alternate Source Demonstration for March 2019 Sampling Event for TEC Bottom Ash Settling Area



2019 Annual Groundwater Monitoring and Corrective Action Report

This Annual Groundwater Monitoring and Corrective Action Report documents the groundwater monitoring program for the Tecumseh Energy Center Bottom Ash Settling Area (BASA) consistent with applicable sections of 257.90 through 257.98, and describes activities conducted in the prior calendar year (2019) and documents compliance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Coal Combustion Residual Rule. I certify that the 2019 Annual Groundwater Monitoring and Corrective Action Report for the BASA is, to the best of my knowledge, accurate and complete.

Signed:_

Professional Geologist

Print Name: Mark Nicholls

Kansas License No.: Professional Geologist No. 881

Title: Technical Expert 2

Company: Haley & Aldrich, Inc.

2019 Annual Groundwater Monitoring and Corrective Action Report

1. Introduction

This 2019 Annual Groundwater Monitoring and Corrective Action Report (Annual Report) addresses the Bottom Ash Settling Area (BASA; also known as the Bottom Ash Settling Pond) at the Tecumseh Energy Center (TEC), operated by Evergy Kansas Central, Inc. (Evergy; f/k/a Westar Energy, Inc.). This Annual Report was developed in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Coal Combustion Residual (CCR) Rule (Rule) effective October 19, 2015, including subsequent revisions, specifically Code of Federal Regulations Title 40 (40 CFR), subsection 257.90(e). The Annual Report documents the groundwater monitoring system for the BASA consistent with applicable sections of 257.90 through 257.98, and describes activities conducted in the prior calendar year (2019) and documents compliance with the Rule. The specific requirements for the Annual Report listed in § 257.90(e) of the Rule are provided in Section 2 of this Annual Report and are in bold italic font, followed by a short narrative describing how each Rule requirement has been met.



2. 40 CFR § 257.90 Applicability

2.1 40 CFR § 257.90(a)

All CCR landfills, CCR surface impoundments, and lateral expansions of CCR units are subject to the groundwater monitoring and corrective action requirements under §§ 257.90 through 257.99, except as provided in paragraph (g) [Suspension of groundwater monitoring requirements] of this section.

Evergy has installed and certified a groundwater monitoring system at the TEC BASA. The BASA is subject to the groundwater monitoring and corrective action requirements described under 40 CFR §§ 257.90 through 257.98. This document addresses the requirement for the Owner/Operator to prepare an Annual Report per § 257.90(e).

2.2 40 CFR § 257.90(e) – SUMMARY

Annual groundwater monitoring and corrective action report. For existing CCR landfills and existing CCR surface impoundments, no later than January 31, 2018, and annually thereafter, the owner or operator must prepare an annual groundwater monitoring and corrective action report. For new CCR landfills, new CCR surface impoundments, and all lateral expansions of CCR units, the owner or operator must prepare the initial annual groundwater monitoring and corrective action report no later than January 31 of the year following the calendar year a groundwater monitoring system has been established for such CCR unit as required by this subpart, and annually thereafter. For the preceding calendar year, the annual report must document the status of the groundwater monitoring and corrective action program for the CCR unit, summarize key actions completed, describe any problems encountered, discuss actions to resolve the problems, and project key activities for the upcoming year. For purposes of this section, the owner or operator has prepared the annual report when the report is placed in the facility's operating record as required by § 257.105(h)(1).

This Annual Report describes monitoring completed and actions taken for the groundwater monitoring system at the TEC BASA as required by the Rule. Groundwater sampling and analysis was conducted per the requirements described in § 257.93, and the status of the groundwater monitoring program described in § 257.94 and § 257.95 is also provided in this report. This Annual Report documents the applicable groundwater-related activities completed in the calendar year 2019.

2.2.1 Status of the Groundwater Monitoring Program

The BASA remained in the assessment monitoring program during 2019.

2.2.2 Key Actions Completed

The 2018 Annual Groundwater Monitoring and Corrective Action Report was completed in January 2019. Statistical evaluation was completed in January 2019 on analytical data from the September 2018 assessment monitoring sampling event. A successful alternate source demonstration (ASD) was completed and certified for the September 2018 assessment monitoring sampling event.



2019 Annual Groundwater Monitoring and Corrective Action Report

A semi-annual assessment monitoring sampling event was completed in March 2019 for detected Appendix IV constituents identified from the June 2018 annual assessment monitoring sampling event. Statistical evaluation was completed in July 2019 on analytical data for the March 2019 assessment monitoring sampling event. A successful ASD was completed and certified for the March 2019 assessment monitoring sampling event.

An annual assessment monitoring sampling event was completed in June 2019 to identify detected Appendix IV constituents for subsequent semi-annual sampling events in October 2019 and planned for March 2020. Groundwater protection standards for detected Appendix IV constituents were established or updated at this time. Semi-annual assessment monitoring sampling was completed in October 2019 for detected Appendix IV constituents identified during the June 2019 annual monitoring event. Statistical evaluation of the results from the October 2019 semi-annual assessment monitoring sampling event are due to be completed in January 2020 and will be reported in the next annual report.

During closure of the unit, substantial material around the monitoring well casings was removed to assist with closure activities. The monitoring well casings for downgradient wells MW-8, MW-9, and MW-10 were shortened accordingly between the June annual assessment monitoring sampling event and the October semi-annual assessment monitoring sampling event. Updated top of casing elevations are recorded in Table I.

An additional semi-annual assessment monitoring sampling event occurred in December 2019 associated with confirmation sampling for the closure of the BASA unit.

2.2.3 Problems Encountered

During the additional confirmation sampling event completed in December 2019, downgradient monitoring well MW-9 was identified as being dry. The monitoring well was unable to be sampled.

2.2.4 Actions to Resolve Problems

Evergy plans to monitor downgradient well MW-9 for the presence of groundwater in 2020. If sufficient groundwater is present at the well, an additional sample will be collected and analyzed for Appendix IV constituents to support closure of the unit.

2.2.5 Project Key Activities for Upcoming Year

Key activities planned for 2020 include the completion of the 2019 Annual Groundwater Monitoring and Corrective Action Report and statistical evaluation of semi-annual assessment monitoring analytical data collected in October and December 2019. Semi-annual assessment monitoring with subsequent statistical evaluations and annual assessment monitoring will be completed if necessary. Supplemental confirmation sampling and analysis is planned to support closure if sufficient groundwater is present at well MW-9.



2.3 40 CFR § 257.90(e) – INFORMATION

At a minimum, the annual groundwater monitoring and corrective action report must contain the following information, to the extent available:

2.3.1 40 CFR § 257.90(e)(1)

A map, aerial image, or diagram showing the CCR unit and all background (or upgradient) and downgradient monitoring wells, to include the well identification numbers, that are part of the groundwater monitoring program for the CCR unit;

As required by § 257.90(e)(1), a map showing the locations of the CCR unit and associated upgradient and downgradient monitoring wells for the TEC BASA is included in this report as Figure 1.

2.3.2 40 CFR § 257.90(e)(2) – Monitoring System Changes

Identification of any monitoring wells that were installed or decommissioned during the preceding year, along with a narrative description of why those actions were taken;

No monitoring wells were installed or decommissioned during 2019.

2.3.3 40 CFR § 257.90(e)(3) – Summary of Sampling Events

In addition to all the monitoring data obtained under § 257.90 through § 257.98, a summary including the number of groundwater samples that were collected for analysis for each background and downgradient well, the dates the samples were collected, and whether the sample was required by the detection monitoring or assessment monitoring programs;

In accordance with § 257.95(b) and § 257.95(d)(1), three independent assessment monitoring samples from each background and downgradient monitoring well were collected in 2019, along with an additional confirmation monitoring event in December 2019. A summary including sample names, dates of sample collection, field parameters, and monitoring data obtained for the groundwater monitoring program for the TEC BASA is presented in Table I of this report. Groundwater potentiometric elevation contour maps associated with each groundwater monitoring sampling event in 2019 are provided in Figures 2 through 5.

2.3.4 40 CFR § 257.90(e)(4) – Monitoring Transition Narrative

A narrative discussion of any transition between monitoring programs (e.g., the date and circumstances for transitioning from detection monitoring to assessment monitoring in addition to identifying the constituent(s) detected at a statistically significant increase over background levels); and

The assessment monitoring program was established in June 2018 to meet the requirements of 40 CFR § 257.95. The BASA remained in assessment monitoring during 2019.



2.3.5 40 CFR § 257.90(e)(5) – Other Requirements

Other information required to be included in the annual report as specified in § 257.90 through § 257.98.

This Annual Report documents activities conducted to comply with §§ 257.90 through 257.95 of the Rule. It is understood that there are supplemental references in §§ 257.90 through 257.98 that must be placed in the Annual Report. The following requirements include relevant and required information in the Annual Report for activities completed in calendar year 2019.

2.3.5.1 40 CFR § 257.94(d)(3) – Demonstration for Alternative Detection Monitoring Frequency

The owner or operator must obtain a certification from a qualified professional engineer or approval from the Participating State Director or approval from EPA where EPA is the permitting authority stating that the demonstration for an alternative groundwater sampling and analysis frequency meets the requirements of this section. The owner or operator must include the demonstration providing the basis for the alternative monitoring frequency and the certification by a qualified professional engineer or the approval from the Participating State Director or approval from EPA where EPA is the permitting authority in the annual groundwater monitoring and corrective action report required by § 257.90(e).

An alternative groundwater detection monitoring sampling and analysis frequency has not been established for this CCR unit; therefore, no demonstration or certification is applicable.

2.3.5.2 40 CFR § 257.94(e)(2) – Detection Monitoring Alternate Source Demonstration

The owner or operator may demonstrate that a source other than the CCR unit caused the statistically significant increase over background levels for a constituent or that the statistically significant increase resulted from error in sampling, analysis, statistical evaluation, or natural variation in groundwater quality. The owner or operator must complete the written demonstration within 90 days of detecting a statistically significant increase over background levels to include obtaining a certification from a qualified professional engineer or approval from the Participating State Director or approval from EPA where EPA is the permitting authority verifying the accuracy of the information in the report. If a successful demonstration is completed within the 90-day period, the owner or operator of the CCR unit may continue with a detection monitoring program under this section. If a successful demonstration is not completed within the 90-day period, the owner or operator of the CCR unit must initiate an assessment monitoring program as required under § 257.95. The owner or operator must also include the demonstration in the annual groundwater monitoring and corrective action report required by § 257.90(e), in addition to the certification by a qualified professional engineer or approval from the Participating State Director or approval from EPA where EPA is the permitting authority.

This unit is in assessment monitoring; therefore, no detection monitoring alternative source demonstration or certification is applicable.

2.3.5.3 40 CFR § 257.95(c)(3) – Demonstration for Alternative Assessment Monitoring Frequency

The owner or operator must obtain a certification from a qualified professional engineer or approval from the Participating State Director or approval from EPA where EPA is the



permitting authority stating that the demonstration for an alternative groundwater sampling and analysis frequency meets the requirements of this section. The owner or operator must include the demonstration providing the basis for the alternative monitoring frequency and the certification by a qualified professional engineer or the approval from the Participating State Director or approval from EPA where EPA is the permitting authority in the annual groundwater monitoring and corrective action report required by § 257.90(e).

An alternative groundwater assessment monitoring sampling and analysis frequency has not been established for this CCR unit; therefore, no demonstration or certification is applicable.

2.3.5.4 40 CFR § 257.95(d)(3) – Assessment Monitoring Concentrations and Groundwater Protection Standards

Include the recorded concentrations required by paragraph (d)(1) of this section, identify the background concentrations established under § 257.94(b), and identify the groundwater protection standards established under paragraph (d)(2) of this section in the annual groundwater monitoring and corrective action report required by § 257.90(e).

An assessment monitoring program has been implemented at the CCR unit since June 2018. Three rounds of assessment monitoring sampling were completed in 2019, along with an additional confirmation monitoring event in December 2019. Analytical results for both downgradient and upgradient wells are provided in Table I. The background concentrations (upper tolerance limits) and groundwater protection standards established for detected Appendix IV constituents for the TEC BASA are included in Table II. The background concentrations and groundwater protection standards provided in Table II were utilized for the statistical evaluations completed in 2019 for September 2018 and March 2019 semi-annual assessment monitoring sampling events.

2.3.5.5 40 CFR § 257.95(g)(3)(ii) – Assessment Monitoring Alternate Source Demonstration

Demonstrate that a source other than the CCR unit caused the contamination, or that the statistically significant increase resulted from error in sampling, analysis, statistical evaluation, or natural variation in groundwater quality. Any such demonstration must be supported by a report that includes the factual or evidentiary basis for any conclusions and must be certified to be accurate by a qualified professional engineer or approval from the Participating State Director or approval from EPA where EPA is the permitting authority. If a successful demonstration is made, the owner or operator must continue monitoring in accordance with the assessment monitoring program pursuant to this section, and may return to detection monitoring if the constituents in appendices III and IV to this part are at or below background as specified in paragraph (e) of this section. The owner or operator must also include the demonstration in the annual groundwater monitoring and corrective action report required by § 257.90(e), in addition to the certification by a qualified professional engineer or the approval from the Participating State Director or approval from EPA where EPA is the permitting authority.

The successful assessment monitoring ASDs are included in this report as Attachments 1 and 2. The TEC BASA remained in assessment monitoring during 2019.



2.3.5.6 40 CFR § 257.96(a) – Demonstration for Additional Time for Assessment of Corrective Measures

Within 90 days of finding that any constituent listed in appendix IV to this part has been detected at a statistically significant level exceeding the groundwater protection standard defined under § 257.95(h), or immediately upon detection of a release from a CCR unit, the owner or operator must initiate an assessment of corrective measures to prevent further releases, to remediate any releases and to restore affected area to original conditions. The assessment of corrective measures must be completed within 90 days, unless the owner or operator demonstrates the need for additional time to complete the assessment of corrective measures due to site-specific conditions or circumstances. The owner or operator must obtain a certification from a qualified professional engineer or approval from the Participating State Director or approval from EPA where EPA is the permitting authority attesting that the demonstration is accurate. The 90-day deadline to complete the assessment of corrective measures may be extended for no longer than 60 days. The owner or operator must also include the demonstration in the annual groundwater monitoring and corrective action report required by § 257.90(e), in addition to the certification by a qualified professional engineer or the approval from the Participating State Director or approval from EPA where EPA is the permitting authority.

No assessment of corrective measures was required to be initiated during 2019; therefore, no demonstration or certification is applicable for this unit.






TABLE I

SUMMARY OF ANALYTICAL RESULTS - ASSESSMENT MONITORING

EVERGY KANSAS CENTRAL, INC. TECUMSEH ENERGY CENTER BOTTOM ASH SETTLING AREA TECUMSEH, KANSAS

Location			Upgradient								Downgradient					
Location			MW-7				MV	<i>l</i> -8			MW-9			MV	V-10	
Measure Point (TOC)			878.28			88	88.01	869	9.90*	88	6.98	865.60*		887.08	867	7.15*
Sample Name	MW-7-032019	MW-7-062519	MW-7	MW-07-120519	DUP-120519	MW-8-032119	MW-8-062519	MW-8	MW-08-120519	MW-9-032119	MW-9-062519	MW-9	MW-10-032119	MW-10-062519	MW-10	MW-10-120519
Sample Date	3/20/2019	6/25/2019	10/10/2019	12/5/2019	12/5/2019	3/21/2019	6/25/2019	10/10/2019	12/5/2019	3/21/2019	6/25/2019	10/10/2019	3/21/2019	6/25/2019	10/9/2019	12/5/2019
Final Lab Report Date	4/1/2019	7/9/2019	10/22/2019	12/18/2019	12/18/2019	4/1/2019	7/9/2019	10/22/2019	12/18/2019	4/1/2019	7/9/2019	10/22/2019	4/1/2019	7/9/2019	10/22/2019	12/18/2019
Final Lab Report Revision Date	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Final Radiation Lab Report Date	4/3/2019	7/16/2019	11/8/2019	1/2/2020	1/2/2020	4/3/2019	7/16/2019	11/8/2019	1/2/2020	4/3/2019	7/16/2019	11/8/2019	4/3/2019	7/16/2019	11/8/2019	1/2/2020
Final Radiation Lab Report Revision Date	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Lab Data Reviewed and Accepted	5/3/2019	7/17/2019	12/6/2019	1/9/2020	1/9/2020	5/3/2019	7/17/2019	12/6/2019	1/9/2020	5/3/2019	7/17/2019	12/6/2019	5/3/2019	7/17/2019	12/6/2019	1/9/2020
Depth to Water (ft btoc)	23.55	16.18	23.50	25.04		35.29	27.43	18.50	18.41	36.14	30.39	18.46	34.58	28.95	17.57	18.01
Temperature (Deg C)	15.56	17.62	17.28	15.48	15.48	15.62	20.61	19.69	16.07	15.67	19.52	17.96	12.92	19.72	16.85	14.33
Conductivity (µS/cm)	1800	1740	1354	1559	1559	1920	2010	1874	1933	1960	2160	1797	1900	2110	1877	2082
Turbidity (NTU)	3.23	4.56	0.91	1.54	1.54	5.47	1.33	0.91	19.86	18.0	3.22	12.01	3.31	2.17	7.96	5.6
Boron, Total (mg/L)	0.73		0.66	0.66	0.65	1.4	-	1.3	1.3	0.48		0.11	0.23	-	0.22	0.22
Calcium, Total (mg/L)	188		129	126	128	223	-	205	199	206		203	174	-	182	162
Chloride (mg/L)	268		172	197	199	271		216	220	261		206	252		222	228
Fluoride (mg/L)	0.26		0.34	0.22	0.21	0.23		0.25	<0.20	0.38		0.32	0.50		0.41	0.35
Sulfate (mg/L)	617		375	418	417	733		648	654	443		19.3	86.7		98.6	175
pH (su)	6.9		7.2	6.9	6.9	6.7		7.2	7.0	6.7		7.8	6.8		6.9	6.8
TDS (mg/L)	1,340		1,000	1,080	1,100	1,440		1,380	1,330	1,440		1,110	1,190		1,260	1,250
Antimony, Total (mg/L)	<0.0010	<0.0010		<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010		<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010		<0.0010	<0.0010		<0.0010
Arsenic, Total (mg/L)	0.0016	0.0016	0.0016	0.0016	0.0015	0.0023	0.0029	0.0024	0.0039	0.040	0.093	0.051	0.028	0.029	0.021	0.026
Barium, Total (mg/L)	0.078	0.063	0.053	0.053	0.053	0.054	0.055	0.064	0.077	0.54	0.36	0.85	0.36	0.27	0.36	0.30
Beryllium, Total (mg/L)	<0.0010	<0.0010		<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010		<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010		<0.0010	<0.0010		<0.0010
Cadmium, Total (mg/L)	<0.00050	<0.00050	<0.00050	<0.00050	<0.00050	<0.00050	<0.00050	<0.00050	<0.00050	0.0013	0.00053	<0.00050	<0.00050	<0.00050	<0.00050	<0.00050
Chromium, Total (mg/L)	<0.0050	<0.0050		<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050		<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050		<0.0050	<0.0050		<0.0050
Cobalt, Total (mg/L)	0.0016	0.0016	<0.0010	0.0018	0.0016	<0.0010	<0.0010	0.0014	0.0025	0.048	0.032	0.016	0.0014	0.0091	0.002	0.0028
Lead, Total (mg/L)	<0.010	<0.010		<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010		<0.010	<0.010	<0.010		<0.010	<0.010		<0.010
Lithium, Total (mg/L)	0.028	0.027	0.017	0.024	0.024	0.017	0.019	0.017	0.024	0.021	0.020	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010
Molybdenum, Total (mg/L)	0.0050	0.0072	0.0110	0.0100	0.0110	0.031	0.025	0.039	0.046	0.0062	0.0024	0.0085	0.0029	0.0053	0.0041	0.0043
Selenium, Total (mg/L)	<0.0010	<0.0010		<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0050	<0.0010		<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010		<0.0010	<0.0010	-	<0.0010
Thallium, Total	<0.0010	<0.0010		<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010		<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010		<0.0010	<0.0010		<0.0010
Mercury, Total (mg/L)	<0.00020	<0.00020		<0.20	<0.20	<0.00020	<0.00020		<0.20	<0.00020	<0.00020		<0.00020	<0.00020		<0.20
Fluoride (mg/L)	0.26	0.32	0.34	0.22	0.21	0.23	<0.20	0.25	<0.20	0.38	<0.20	0.32	0.50	<0.20	0.41	0.35
Radium-226 & 228 Combined (pCi/L)	0.0990 ± 0.718 (1.59)	0.933 ± 0.772 (1.31)	0.403 ± 0.611 (1.25)	0.666 +/- 0.573 (0.873)	0.755 +/- 0.581 (0.988)	0.465 ± 0.962 (1.89)	1.46 ± 0.891 (1.30)	0.721 ± 0.842 (1.63)	0.569 +/- 0.668 (1.06)	0.663 ± 0.907 (1.70)	1.01 ± 0.808 (1.35)	1.67 ± 1.01 (1.17)	1.57 ± 1.04 (1.73)	1.87 ± 0.973 (1.30)	2.64 ± 1.15 (1.50)	1.60 +/- 0.752 (1.11)

Notes and Abbreviations:

The June 2019 sampling event was for Appendix IV constituents only. The September 2019 sampling event included Appendix IV constituents detected in the June 2019 sampling event, and all of the Appendix III constituents.

Radiological results are presented as activity plus or minus uncertainty with minimum detectable concentration (MDC).

Downgradient monitoring wells were shortened during closure of the unit, which occurred between the June annual assessment monitoring sampling event and the October semi-annual assessment monitoring sampling event.

*Top of Casing (TOC) elevations are estimated based on surveyed ground surface elevations plus 3 feet at monitoring wells MW-8, MW-9, and MW-10 for the October and December sampling events.

Bold value: Detection above laboratory reporting limit or MDC . μ S/cm = micro Siemens per centimeter

Deg C = degrees Celsius

ft btoc = feet below top of casing

mg/L = milligrams per liter

NTU = Nephelometric Turbidity Unit

pCi/L = picoCuries per liter

TDS = total dissolved solids

TOC = top of casing



TABLE II

ANNUAL ASSESSMENT GROUNDWATER MONITORING - DETECTED APPENDIX IV GWPS

JUNE 2019 SAMPLING EVENT EVERGY KANSAS CENTRAL, INC. TECUMSEH ENERGY CENTER BOTTOM ASH SETTLING AREA TECUMSEH, KANSAS

Well #	Background Value*	GWPS					
CCR Appendix-IV Arsenic, Total (mg/L)							
MW-7 (upgradient)	0.002	NA					
MW-10		0.118**					
MW-8		0.010					
MW-9		0.198**					
	CCR Appendix-IV Barium, Total (mg/	L)					
MW-7 (upgradient)	0.095	NA					
MW-10		2					
MW-8		2					
MW-9		2					
	CCR Appendix-IV Cadmium, Total (mg	/L)					
MW-7 (upgradient)	0.001	NA					
MW-10		0.005					
MW-8		0.005					
MW-9		0.005					
	CCR Appendix-IV Cobalt, Total (mg/l	L)					
MW-7 (upgradient)	0.002	NA					
MW-10		0.006					
MW-8		0.006					
MW-9		0.0641**					
	CCR Appendix-IV Fluoride, Total (mg/	′L)					
MW-7 (upgradient)	0.371	NA					
MW-10		4.0					
MW-8		4.0					
MW-9		4.0					
	CCR Appendix-IV Lithium, Total (mg/	L)					
MW-7 (upgradient)	0.03	NA					
MW-10		0.040					
MW-8		0.040					
MW-9		0.040					
	CCR Appendix-IV Molybdenum, Total (n	ng/L)					
MW-7 (upgradient)	0.014	NA					
MW-10		0.100					
MW-8		0.100					
MW-9		0.100					
CCR	Appendix-IV Radium-226 & 228 Combine	ed (pCi/L)					
MW-7 (upgradient)	5.9	NA					
MW-10		5.9					
MW-8		5.9					
MW-9		5.9					

Notes and Abbreviations:

- * Background value for interwell evaluation based on data collected through June 2018.
- ** GWPS based on background value using intrawell evaluation based on data collected through June 2019.

CCR = Coal Combustion Residuals

GWPS = Groundwater Protection Standard

MCL = Maximum Contaminant Level


mg/L = milligrams per Liter

NA = Not Applicable


pCi/L = picoCuries per Liter


RSL = Regional Screening Level

















ATTACHMENT 1 Appendix IV SSL Alternate Source Demonstration for September 2018 Sampling **Event for TEC Bottom Ash Settling Area**



REPORT ON

SEPTEMBER 2018 SAMPLING EVENT
APPENDIX IV STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT LEVEL
ALTERNATE SOURCE DEMONSTRATION
FOR THE BOTTOM ASH SETTLING AREA
TECUMSEH ENERGY CENTER
TECUMSEH, KANSAS

by Haley & Aldrich, Inc. Cleveland, Ohio

for Westar Energy, Inc. Topeka, Kansas

File No. 129778-023 Updated October 2019

Table of Contents

		l de la companya de	Page
1.	Intro	oduction	1
	1.1	BACKGROUND	1
	1.2	PURPOSE AND SCOPE	2
	1.3	SITE SETTING	2
	1.4	SITE DESCRIPTION	2
2.	Site	Geology, Hydrogeology, Geochemistry, and Regional Conditions	3
	2.1	SITE GEOLOGY	3
	2.2	SITE HYDROGEOLOGY AND HYDROLOGY	3
3.	Alte	rnative Source Demonstration	5
	3.1	EVALUATION OF MATERIALS WITHIN THE UNIT 3.1.1 BOTTOM ASH SYNTHETIC PRECIPITATION LEACHING PROCEDURE ANALYSES	5 5
	3.2	REVIEW OF SEPTEMBER 2018 FIELD SAMPLING, LABORATORY ANALYSIS, AND	5
	0	STATISTICAL PROCEDURES	6
		3.2.1 Field Sampling Procedures	6
		3.2.2 Laboratory Analysis and Quality Control Documentation	6
		3.2.3 Statistical Evaluation	6
	3.3	POTENTIAL SOURCES OTHER THAN THE BASA	7
		3.3.1 Point Sources	7
		3.3.2 Non-Point Sources	7
	3.4	HISTORICAL LAND USE REVIEW	7
		3.4.1 Historical Aerial Photographs	7
	2.5	3.4.2 Historical Topographic Maps	8
	3.5	NATURAL VARIABILITY OF ARSENIC AND/OR COBALT OCCURRENCE 3.5.1 Uppermost Groundwater Monitoring Interval Variability	8 8
		3.5.1 Uppermost Groundwater Monitoring Interval Variability	٥
4.	Find	ings and Conclusions	9
	4.1	FINDINGS	9
	4.2	CONCLUSIONS	10
5.	Refe	erences	12

i



List of Tables

Table No.	Title
1	Summary of Bottom Ash SPLP Analysis for Total Leachable Metals
II	Historical Aerial Photograph Review Summary
Ш	Historical Topographic Map Review Summary

List of Figures

Figure No.	Title
1	Site Location
2	Bottom Ash Settling Area Monitoring Well Location Map
3	Bottom Ash Settling Area Conceptual Geologic Cross-Section A-A'

List of Appendices

Appendix	Title
A	Laboratory Reports
В	Aerial Photographs
С	Topographic Maps

Revision No.	Date	Notes
0	February 2019	Assessment Monitoring Program September 2018 Sampling Event
		Statistically Significant Level Notification and Alternate Source
		Demonstration Update
1	October 2019	September 2018 Sampling Event Appendix IV Statistically Significant Level
		Alternate Source Demonstration for the Bottom Ash Settling Area



1. Introduction

Haley & Aldrich, Inc. (Haley & Aldrich) was retained by Westar Energy, Inc. (Westar) to perform an evaluation of groundwater quality at the Bottom Ash Settling Area (BASA; Unit) at the Tecumseh Energy Center (TEC) located in Tecumseh, Kansas. The evaluation was performed to demonstrate if an alternate source caused the statistically significant level (SSL) above the groundwater protection standard of arsenic (at monitoring wells MW-9 and MW-10) and cobalt (at monitoring well MW-9) downgradient of the BASA. The arsenic concentrations observed for the September 2018 assessment monitoring sampling event is 0.099 milligrams per liter (mg/L) at well MW-9 and 0.040 mg/L at MW-10. The cobalt concentration observed for the September 2018 assessment monitoring sampling event is 0.011 mg/L at well MW-9. This report provides an overview of the site conditions and the results of the investigation activities conducted as part of the alternate source demonstration (ASD) for the Appendix IV constituents.

1.1 BACKGROUND

Consistent with Code of Federal Regulations Title 40 (40 CFR) §257.90 through §257.95, Westar has installed and certified a groundwater monitoring network at the BASA, has completed detection monitoring program activities including identifying statistically significant increases in Appendix III constituent concentrations, and established an assessment monitoring program. Westar conducted statistical analyses of the downgradient groundwater quality results from the September 2018 assessment monitoring sampling event to determine if any Appendix IV constituents were present at concentrations that exceeded groundwater protection standards set for the Unit. The analysis of the Appendix IV constituents resulted in a calculated SSL for arsenic (at monitoring wells MW-9 and MW-10) and cobalt (at monitoring well MW-9) downgradient of the BASA. The analyses described in this report were conducted to determine if alternate sources existed for the SSLs.

Pursuant to 40 CFR §257.95(g)(3)(ii), "...the owner or operator must...demonstrate that a source other than the CCR unit ¹ caused the contamination, or that the statistically significant increase resulted from error in sampling, analysis, statistical evaluation, or natural variation in groundwater quality." The coal combustion residuals (CCR) Rule provides 90 days from determination of an SSL to complete an ASD² for applicable Appendix IV constituents. If a successful ASD is completed and certified by a qualified professional engineer, the CCR unit may continue in assessment monitoring. If, however, an alternate source of the Appendix IV SSL is not identified, the owner or operator must initiate an assessment of corrective measures and evaluation of the nature and extent of migration. This report documents the findings and conclusions of an investigation of the SSLs for arsenic at wells MW-9 and MW-10 and cobalt at MW-9.



¹ Referred to in this document as an "alternate source," and the demonstration for such is referred to as an ASD.

² For simplicity, this report utilizes the term ASD to account for any of the three possible explanations (allowed for in the CCR Rule) for why a calculated SSL is not related to the CCR unit being evaluated. Those include: 1) The source for the SSL originates from something other than the CCR unit in question; 2) the SSL resulted from an error in sampling, analysis, or statistical evaluation; or 3) the SSL resulted from a natural variation in groundwater quality.

1.2 PURPOSE AND SCOPE

The purpose of this ASD is to determine whether the concentrations of arsenic and cobalt detected in groundwater at MW-9 and MW-10 are from sources other than the Unit. The scope of the demonstration includes a review of the current regional geochemical and geologic conditions, a comparison of the groundwater quality at MW-9 and MW-10 and the other monitoring well locations, and analysis of geologic sources. This evaluation was completed using existing information describing the regional and site-specific geology and groundwater monitoring data collected during detection and assessment monitoring activities.

This analysis included:

- Review of well installation logs for the variability in the aquifer materials within screened intervals of the upgradient and downgradient groundwater monitoring well locations;
- Review of analytical results for the concentration of indicator parameters including chloride and sulfate from the upgradient and downgradient monitoring wells; and
- Collection and analysis of representative samples of the bottom ash stored within the Unit for the concentration of leachable Appendix IV constituents.

1.3 SITE SETTING

The TEC is located in a light industrial area located northeast of Tecumseh in Shawnee County, Kansas (Figure 1). The site is located within the Central Lowland physiographic province which includes rolling hills with substantial topographic relief and the relatively horizontal orientation of the thin alternating shale and limestone beds. Geologic units that underlie the BASA are roughly horizontal with a regional dip toward the northwest and consist of glacial till and the Scranton shale formation. The BASA consists of a surface impoundment that encompasses approximately 2 acres in the current configuration and is located on the TEC plant site. The TEC plant and BASA are located in an area with natural ground surface elevations varying from approximately 870 and 920 feet above mean sea level throughout the site property.

1.4 SITE DESCRIPTION

The TEC facility formerly operated a system of cycled bottom ash ponds collectively known as the BASA. The coal-fired boilers at the facility have been shut down. The BASA is a single CCR impoundment that utilized a middle dike for operational purposes to separate two separate settling areas. During operations, the plant alternated use of the settling areas. The bottom ash at TEC was sluiced via gravity to the BASA where it was allowed to settle out. Excess water from the BASA continues to decant via gravity to a polishing pond on the north side of Tecumseh Creek, where it then discharges into the creek. This discharge is permitted by Kansas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System. Bottom ash was recovered from the BASA and transported by truck to the on-site Ash Landfill No. 322. The TEC BASA and associated groundwater monitoring network are shown on Figure 2.



2. Site Geology, Hydrogeology, Geochemistry, and Regional Conditions

Geologic and hydrogeologic conditions beneath the BASA have been characterized based on information obtained during installation and testing of the monitoring wells installed as part of the CCR groundwater monitoring network.

2.1 SITE GEOLOGY

The TEC plant site and the BASA are located in the Central Lowland physiographic province. The Central Lowland is characterized by horizontal sequences of predominantly marine sedimentary rocks (interbedded shales and limestones). The TEC site and the BASA lie within the area of Pleistocene glacial activity in the Dissected Till Plains region of the Central Lowlands. Geologic units that underlie the site are roughly horizontal with a regional dip to the north and northwest (AMEC, 2011). The Scranton shale formation is the only lithologic unit encountered beneath the glacial till during geologic investigations at TEC.

Surficial geologic materials in the vicinity of and beneath the TEC site and BASA include thin deposits of Pleistocene glacial till deposits and Holocene alluvium. The poorly sorted glacial deposits are composed of Kansan and Nebraskan age clays, silts, and sands. The glacial till directly underlies most of the BASA. The glacial deposits have a local maximum thickness of approximately 100 feet (AMEC, 2011). Glacial erratics are observed to occur in the vicinity of the TEC site, often in the form of quartzite boulders (AMEC, 2011).

Locally, the till may yield minor quantities of water but is not typically used as an aquifer for water supply. The glacial till deposits do represent the uppermost aquifer at the CCR unit. The Pleistocene glacial deposits are underlain by strata representing transgressions and regressions of marine and near-shore depositional environments. Immediately above the shallowest bedrock unit, a thin clay layer, 10 feet or less in thickness, has been observed at the site.

The shallowest bedrock unit present at the TEC is the Pennsylvanian-age Scranton shale formation. The Scranton shale is predominantly grey to brown comprised of five members (Zeller, 1968). From shallowest to deepest the members of the Scranton formation include: the Silver Lake shale, Rulo limestone, Cedar Vale shale, Happy Hollow limestone, and White Cloud shale members. The total Scranton formation is of undefined thickness at the TEC site; however, a typical average thickness in other areas of the state is approximately 125 feet (Zeller, 1968).

A conceptual geologic cross section across the Unit is provided in Figure 3.

2.2 SITE HYDROGEOLOGY AND HYDROLOGY

The BASA is sited directly on the glacial deposits which contain low to high plasticity clay with trace silt, which will impede infiltration to deeper formations. In the area of the BASA, the glacial deposits are underlain by the Scranton shale at a depth of approximately 30 feet. Given the alternating transgressive/regressive nature of the deposition (interbedded shales and limestones), many of the deeper water-bearing bedrock formations are hydraulically isolated and some are confined. The permeability of the shale units varies but generally decrease with depth, further impeding vertical groundwater movement. Horizontal fluid migration is possible above the low permeability shale and within the glacial deposits.



The uppermost aquifer at TEC consists of unconsolidated glacial deposits, hereafter referred to as the glacial aquifer. Depth to groundwater in the monitoring wells ranges from approximately 16 to 35 feet below ground surface in the immediate vicinity of the BASA. Groundwater flow in the glacial aquifer below the BASA is to the west towards Tecumseh Creek, and ultimately north toward the Kansas River.

Based on groundwater elevations measured between August 2016 and September 2018, the groundwater flow direction is consistently toward the northwest. Available historical data indicate that seasonal groundwater elevation variation does not have a significant effect on groundwater flow direction.

Hydraulic conductivity of the glacial aquifer was calculated using data generated during slug testing of one monitoring well. The hydraulic conductivity of the glacial till is calculated to be approximately 1.6x10⁻³ centimeters per second (cm/sec).

The Silver Lake shale member of the Scranton shale formation comprises the confining unit underlying the uppermost aquifer at the BASA. The reported thickness of the confining shale at the BASA area is greater than 10 feet. The results of a packer test indicate that the hydraulic conductivity in the Silver Lake shale is 1x10⁻⁶ cm/sec. Based on the reported hydraulic conductivity, the Silver Lake member of the Scranton shale is characterized as an aquitard, meaning that the shale layer restricts flow of groundwater due its low hydraulic conductivity (i.e., prevents or inhibits vertical movement of groundwater).



3. Alternative Source Demonstration

Haley & Aldrich conducted an evaluation of arsenic and cobalt concentrations detected in downgradient wells at the BASA. The evaluation included review of possible alternative sources for the apparent SSLs of arsenic (MW-9 and MW-10) and cobalt (MW-9) determined by statistical analyses completed in January 2019 for the September 2018 assessment monitoring sampling event. The arsenic concentrations observed for the September 2018 assessment monitoring sampling event is 0.099 mg/L at well MW-9 and 0.040 mg/L at MW-10. The cobalt concentration observed for the September 2018 assessment monitoring sampling event is 0.011 mg/L at well MW-9.

Haley & Aldrich evaluated the following potential alternative sources in accordance with the CCR Rule:

- 1. The source for the SSL originates from something other than the CCR unit;
- 2. The SSL resulted from an error in sampling, analysis, or statistical evaluation; or
- 3. The SSL resulted from a natural variation in groundwater quality.

As part of that evaluation, Haley & Aldrich evaluated potential point and non-point sources of arsenic and/or cobalt in the vicinity of the BASA and evaluated natural geologic conditions and the effect of those conditions on native groundwater chemistry. Each of these analyses and the resulting findings are described below.

3.1 EVALUATION OF MATERIALS WITHIN THE UNIT

3.1.1 Bottom Ash Synthetic Precipitation Leaching Procedure Analyses

Representative samples of the bottom ash accumulated in the BASA were collected and analyzed for the Appendix IV constituents including two parameters that were determined to exhibit an SSL; arsenic and cobalt from the inter-well statistical evaluation with the upgradient monitoring well location (MW-7). Samples collected in July 2011 and April 2019 from multiple locations within the BASA were submitted to environmental laboratories accredited by the Kansas Department of Health and Environment (KDHE) for the analysis of leachable arsenic and cobalt after the bottom ash samples were extracted in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method 1312 [Synthetic Precipitation Leaching Procedure (SPLP)].

The results of the SPLP analysis of the bottom ash samples collected from four locations within the Unit indicate that the leachable arsenic and cobalt concentrations were below the concentrations detected in samples collected from monitoring wells MW-8, MW-9, and MW-10. These data provide evidence that the bottom ash present in the BASA from 2011 and the second sample collected from the BASA in 2019 do not contain sufficient leachable arsenic and cobalt to produce the concentration of constituents detected in the downgradient groundwater. Westar has noted that the type of coal used for fuel and TEC plant operations have been consistent since the early 2000s.

A summary of the results of the bottom ash leachability analyses is provided in Table I and the laboratory reports are attached as Appendix A.



3.2 REVIEW OF SEPTEMBER 2018 FIELD SAMPLING, LABORATORY ANALYSIS, AND STATISTICAL PROCEDURES

3.2.1 Field Sampling Procedures

Westar and Haley & Aldrich conducted the field sampling activities in accordance with a Groundwater Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP; Haley & Aldrich, 2017) that was prepared in accordance with §257.93 of the CCR Rule. The SAP prescribes the site-specific activities and methodologies for groundwater sampling and included procedures for field data collection, sample collection, sample preservation and shipment, interpretation, laboratory analytical methods, and reporting for groundwater sampling for the BASA. The administrative procedures and frequency for collection of groundwater elevation measurements, determination of flow directions, and gradients were also provided in the SAP.

Haley & Aldrich reviewed the field sampling and equipment calibration logs and the field indicator parameters and did not identify any apparent deviations or errors in sampling that would result in a potential SSL downgradient of the BASA.

3.2.2 Laboratory Analysis and Quality Control Documentation

The groundwater samples collected downgradient of the BASA were analyzed by Pace Analytical Services using USEPA analytical methods. The data generated from these laboratory analyses are stored in a project database that incorporates hydrogeologic and groundwater quality data and was established to allow efficient management of chemical and physical data collected in the field and produced in the laboratory.

Haley & Aldrich conducted a quality assurance/quality control review of each groundwater quality dataset generated for the BASA and did not identify apparent laboratory or data management errors that would result in the apparent arsenic or cobalt SSLs downgradient of the BASA.

3.2.3 Statistical Evaluation

Westar collected the initial assessment monitoring groundwater sample in June 2018, and a second assessment monitoring groundwater sample in September 2018 from each of the upgradient and downgradient monitoring wells at the BASA. To develop groundwater protection standards for use in the statistical analyses, data from the baseline sampling completed over a period spanning from August 2016 through June 2017 was also utilized. Statistical analysis of the analytical results was completed and reported as documented in the 2018 Annual Groundwater Monitoring and Corrective Action Report (Haley & Aldrich, 2019).

Haley & Aldrich has reviewed the statistical analysis of groundwater quality data from monitoring wells at the BASA for the September 2018 monitoring event and did not identify statistical calculation errors that would result in the apparent arsenic or cobalt SSLs. The statistical test method used met the performance standard established in the CCR Rule, and the statistical procedure complies with the requirements of the CCR Rule.



3.3 POTENTIAL SOURCES OTHER THAN THE BASA

Haley & Aldrich conducted a review of potential sources (both point and non-point) of arsenic and/or cobalt in the vicinity of the BASA to determine if previous or adjacent site activities, land uses, or practices might have caused, or are currently causing, elevated concentrations of arsenic and/or cobalt in groundwater downgradient of the BASA. Potential point sources would include discharging activities or other activities occurring at a discrete location that may be a source of arsenic and/or cobalt. Non-point sources would include diffuse discharging activities or practices that may result in a low level but wide-spread increase in concentrations detected at the downgradient side of the BASA.

3.3.1 Point Sources

Prior to construction of the BASA, the site and surrounding vicinity was undeveloped land. Review of historical United States Geological Survey (USGS) topographic maps shows undeveloped land prior to the construction of the BASA. No known industrial, agricultural, mining, or other activities were conducted at the BASA site prior to construction that would potentially constitute a point source. No point sources have been identified as a potential alternative source for arsenic and/or cobalt at the BASA.

3.3.2 Non-Point Sources

No mining, industrial, or other activities have been documented in the vicinity of the BASA that might constitute a non-point source of arsenic and/or cobalt in the vicinity of MW-9 and/or MW-10.

No agricultural activities have been identified upgradient of the BASA. Records reviewed included historical aerial photographs and historical topographic maps. No non-point sources have been identified as a potential alternative source for arsenic and/or cobalt at the BASA.

3.4 HISTORICAL LAND USE REVIEW

Haley & Aldrich assessed past usage of the site and adjoining properties through a review of the following records:

- Environmental Risk Information Services (ERIS) Aerial Photographs dated 1948, 1950, 1970, 1975, 1982, 1991, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2008, 2010, 2012, 2014, 2015, and 2017 (Appendix B); and
- ERIS Topographic Maps dated 1950, 1951, 1970, 1975, 1981, 1983, and 2012 (Appendix C).

Unless otherwise noted below, sources were reviewed dating back to 1940 or first developed use, whichever is earlier, and at 5-year intervals if the use of the property has changed within the time period.

3.4.1 Historical Aerial Photographs

Haley & Aldrich reviewed aerial photographs depicting the development of the site and vicinity as summarized in Table II. The historical aerial photograph search includes photographs from the Army Mapping Service, USGS, National High-Altitude Photography, and the National Agriculture Information Program (ERIS, 2018) and are included in Appendix B.



Photographs suggest that the BASA was undeveloped prior to 1970. The plant site and BASA appear to have been developed in their current configurations by 1982. Minor development continued until present day. The coal pile for the facility has been located immediately adjacent to and east of the BASA since the Unit's original construction. An above ground storage tank was also present east of the coal pile prior to the BASA construction. An historical aerial photograph review summary is included as Table II. No activities constituting potential sources of arsenic and/or cobalt (e.g., mining, smelting, etc.) have been identified based on aerial photograph review.

3.4.2 Historical Topographic Maps

Haley & Aldrich reviewed historical topographic maps depicting the development of the site and vicinity, as summarized in Table III. The topographic maps were provided for review by ERIS. Copies of the topographic maps are included in Appendix C. No historical development of other features constituting potential sources of arsenic and/or cobalt (e.g., mining) have been identified based on topographic map review.

3.5 NATURAL VARIABILITY OF ARSENIC AND/OR COBALT OCCURRENCE

Haley & Aldrich conducted an evaluation of the natural variability of groundwater quality at the BASA based on site-specific data; observations are described in the following sections.

3.5.1 Uppermost Groundwater Monitoring Interval Variability

Haley & Aldrich conducted an evaluation of the concentrations of the indicator parameters throughout the monitoring period from August 2016 through March 2018 to determine the natural variability of these parameters within the uppermost groundwater monitoring interval.

The average concentration of chloride and sulfate observed at the upgradient well (MW-7) were 194 and 470 mg/L, respectively. The average concentration of these indicator parameters within the downgradient monitoring wells MW-9 and MW-10 were 173 and 226 mg/L (MW-9) and 230 and 187 mg/L (MW-10), respectively. The difference in concentrations of chloride and sulfate between the upgradient and downgradient monitoring wells indicates that there is significant variability in the uppermost groundwater monitoring interval associated with the CCR Unit.

This conclusion is further supported by the difference in the boron concentrations observed during the reporting period. The average concentration of boron determined at the upgradient well (MW-7) was 0.73 mg/L while the average concentration of boron detected at the downgradient wells (MW-9 and MW-10) were significantly lower at 0.25 and 0.24 mg/L, respectively. Boron is a key Appendix III indicator parameter of potential impacts from a CCR Unit. Since boron concentrations down gradient of the Unit are lower than up gradient concentrations, it is further indicated that the BASA is not impacting groundwater quality.



4. Findings and Conclusions

Haley & Aldrich conducted an evaluation of groundwater quality data and information obtained as part of the detection and assessment monitoring programs and the materials contained within the BASA to identify potential sources of the arsenic and cobalt detected in the groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells MW-9 and MW-10 located downgradient of the BASA.

The evaluation included a review of sampling and analysis procedures, available laboratory analyses, and statistical analyses to determine if potential errors may have resulted in apparent SSL for arsenic and/or cobalt at the downgradient monitoring well locations. The evaluation also included a review of historical site activities based on aerial photographs and historical topographic maps, and consideration of potential point and non-point sources of arsenic and cobalt based on those activities.

To further evaluate if the materials stored within the BASA could be a source of arsenic and cobalt, results of the analysis of these materials for the concentration of leachable arsenic and cobalt from samples of bottom ash from the BASA for both past and current facility operations were reviewed and compared to the observed concentrations of these parameters within the downgradient wells during the monitoring period.

4.1 FINDINGS

Haley & Aldrich found no apparent errors in sampling, laboratory analysis, data management, or statistical analysis that would result in the apparent SSL for arsenic and cobalt at MW-9 and MW-10. Haley & Aldrich also found no evidence of historical point or non-point sources of arsenic and/or cobalt, or historical activities that affected the observed concentrations of arsenic and/or cobalt in groundwater downgradient of the BASA.

Haley & Aldrich evaluated available data to determine the potential for the materials stored within the BASA to be the source of the calculated SSL for arsenic and cobalt. Representative samples of bottom ash that had been stored within the BASA were obtained and submitted to a KDHE certified laboratory for the preparation of leachate samples in accordance with USEPA Method 1312, SPLP. The SPLP uses an acidic solution created using mineral acids consisting of nitric (HNO_3) and sulfuric (H_2SO_4) acids to evaluate the potential for contaminants to leach from materials exposed to acidic precipitation. The leaching procedure is performed over a period of 18 hours with constant agitation using an extraction fluid at a pH of less than 5, which is significantly lower than the pH of the groundwater conditions at the BASA. Based on the rigorous nature of the SPLP, the results provide a conservative or worst-case estimate of the concentration of the contaminants that are likely to leach from the material tested. Arsenic and cobalt should therefore leach from the CCR material in lower concentrations in the natural environmental condition as compared to the results of the SPLP leaching tests. The results of the SPLP testing of the materials stored in the BASA are presented in Table I.

Key findings regarding the potential for the bottom ash stored in the BASA to leach arsenic and cobalt and impact groundwater quality in the uppermost aquifer include:

 The results of SPLP analyses of bottom ash samples collected from the BASA from 2011 through 2018 exhibited concentrations of arsenic and cobalt below the levels observed in all of the site monitoring wells during the reporting period.



These findings indicate that the aggressive leaching procedure used in the laboratory to evaluate bottom ash samples from the BASA could not reproduce the concentrations observed in groundwater at MW-9 and MW-10. Groundwater conditions at the BASA have less potential to leach constituents from the bottom ash than the SPLP analysis. Consequently, based on available data and information, it is unlikely that the concentrations of arsenic and cobalt observed in groundwater at MW-9 and MW-10 were derived from leaching of bottom ash material contained at the BASA by interaction with groundwater³.

4.2 CONCLUSIONS

Based on the direct analysis of the material stored in the BASA by an aggressive leaching procedure for the concentration of arsenic and cobalt , the natural variability in the uppermost groundwater monitoring interval observed during the monitoring period, and the absence of any errors in the sampling, analysis, and statistical evaluation of the monitoring results, the calculated SSLs for arsenic and cobalt identified at MW-9 and MW-10 are due to natural variability of the groundwater conditions around the BASA and not the materials either historically or currently stored in the Unit.

³ Furthermore, we note that the concentration of cobalt detected in the bottom ash SPLP leachate and all of the monitoring wells installed at the unit were below the KDHE non-residential groundwater use standards. The concentration of arsenic detected in the bottom ash SPLP leachate were below the KDHE non-residential groundwater use standards.

ALDRICH

10

5. Certification

Pursuant to 40 CFR §257.94(e)(2), Westar conducted an alternate source evaluation to demonstrate that a source other than the BASA caused the SSL above the groundwater protection standards of arsenic and cobalt downgradient of the BASA identified during assessment monitoring.

This certification and the underlying data and evaluation performed in this report support the conclusion that a source other than the CCR unit is the cause of the SSL above the groundwater protection standards of arsenic and cobalt found during assessment monitoring of this Unit (i.e., arsenic at monitoring wells MW-9 and MW-10 and cobalt at monitoring well MW-9 downgradient of the BASA). That source has been identified as natural variability of the groundwater conditions within the uppermost aquifer underlying the BASA.

I certify that this report and all attachments were prepared by me or under my direct supervision. The information contained in this evaluation is, to the best of my knowledge, true, accurate, and complete.

HALEY & ALDRICH, INC.

Signed:

Certifying Engineer

Print Name:

Steven F. Putrich, P.E.

Kansas License No.:

PE24363

Principal Consultant

Company:

Title:

Haley & Aldrich, Inc.

Signed:

Professional Geologist

Print Name:

Mark D. Nicholls, P.G.

Kansas License No.:

881

Lead Hydrogeologist

Company:

Title:

Haley & Aldrich, Inc.



6. References

- 1. AMEC, May 2011. Report of Dam Safety Assessment of Coal Combustion Surface Impoundments.
- 2. Environmental Risk Information Services. Database Report. March 2018.
- 3. Haley & Aldrich, Inc., 2017. Groundwater Sampling and Analysis Pan, Tecumseh Energy Center. October.
- 4. Haley & Aldrich, Inc., 2019. Annual Groundwater Monitoring and Corrective Action Report. January.
- 5. United States Geological Survey (USGS), 1950. Topographic Map, Grantville, 7.5-minute series.
- 6. USGS, 1951. Topographic Map, Grantville, 7.5-minute series.
- 7. USGS, 1970. Topographic Map, Grantville, 7.5-minute series.
- 8. USGS, 1975. Topographic Map, Grantville, 7.5-minute series.
- 9. USGS, 1981. Topographic Map, Grantville, 7.5-minute series.
- 10. USGS, 1983. Topographic Map, Grantville, 7.5-minute series.
- 11. USGS, 2012. Topographic Map, Grantville, 7.5-minute series.
- 12. Zeller, D.E., 1968. *The Stratigraphic Succession in Kansas*. Kansas Geological Survey Bulletin 189.






TABLE I SUMMARY OF BOTTOM ASH SPLP ANALYSIS FOR TOTAL LEACHABLE METALS

WESTAR ENERGY, INC.

TECUMSEH ENERGY CENTER BOTTOM ASH SETTLING AREA

TECUMSEH, KANSAS

Sample Identification	Sample Location	Sample Date	Method of Analysis	Parameter	Reporting Limit (mg/L)	Concentration (mg/L)
TEC Bottom Ash*	Bottom Ash Settling Pond	7/14/2011	ICP-AES	Total Arsenic	0.005	ND
TEC BOLLOTT AST	Bottom Ash Setting Fond	7/14/2011	ICP-AES	Total Cobalt	0.002	ND
TEC BA Inlet** Bottom Ash Settling Por		4/2/2019	ICP-MS	Total Arsenic	0.001	0.0025
TEC BA IIIIEt	Bottom Ash Settling Fond Inlet	4/2/2019	ICP-AES	Total Cobalt	0.005	ND
TEC BA Middle**	Bottom Ash Settling Pond	4/2/2019	ICP-MS	Total Arsenic	0.001	0.0055
TEC DA MIGUIE	Middle	4/2/2019	ICP-AES	Total Cobalt	0.005	ND
TEC BA Outlet** Bottom Ash Settling Pond Outlet		4/2/2019	ICP-MS	Total Arsenic	0.001	0.0016
TEC BA Outlet	Bottom Ash Settling Fond Outlet	4/2/2019	ICP-AES	Total Cobalt	0.005	ND

Notes:

ICP-AES = Inductively Coupled Plasma Atomic Emission Spectroscopy

ICP-MS = Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectroscopy

mg/L = milligrams per liter or parts per million (ppm)

TEC = Tecumseh Energy Center

ND = Non-detect at the reporting limit

Bold Values = parameter detected at a concentration greater than the reporting limits



^{*} Sample analyzed by Continental Analytical Services, Inc. Salina KS (KDHE Accreditation #E-10146)

^{**} Samples analyzed vt Pace Anayltical Services, LLC. Lenexa KS Kansas/NELAP Certification # E-10116/E10426

TABLE II HISTORICAL AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH REVIEW SUMMARY

WESTAR ENERGY, INC.
TECUMSEH ENERGY CENTER
BOTTOM ASH SETTLING AREA
TECUMSEH, KANSAS

Dates	Description of Site	Sources
1948 – 1950	Power plant present; no development of the Bottom Ash Settling Area (BASA). Residential use of land to the west and southwest of the BASA. Coal pile and oil tank to east of future BASA site.	Aerial photos – ASCS; AMS
1970 – 1982	Development of the BASA. Residential use of land to the west of the 322 Landfill.	Aerial photos – USGS; NHAP
1991 – 2010	Continued development of the 322 Landfill. Residential use of land to the west of the 322 Landfill.	Aerial photos – USGS; NAIP
2012 – 2017	Continued use of the 322 Landfill configurations with only minor variations. Residential use of land to the west of the 322 Landfill.	Aerial photos – NAIP

Notes:

AMS = Army Mapping Service

ASCS = Agricultural and Soil Conservation Service

NAIP = National Agriculture Information Program

NHAP = National High Altitude Photography

USGS = United States Geological Survey





TABLE III HISTORICAL TOPOGRAPHIC MAP REVIEW SUMMARY


WESTAR ENERGY, INC.
TECUMSEH ENERGY CENTER
BOTTOM ASH SETTLING AREA
TECUMSEH, KANSAS


Dates	Description of Site and Adjacent Properties	Map Name
1950 – 1951	Power plant is indicated on the map. The Bottom Ash Settling Area (BASA) are undeveloped. Coal pile and above ground storage tank are due east of the BASA future area.	7.5-Minute Series, Grantville, Kansas Quadrangle
1970 – 1983	Development of the BASA. Significant development of structures and road to the east of the plant site.	7.5-Minute Series, Grantville, Kansas Quadrangle
1983	Development of the BASA.	7.5-Minute Series, Grantville, Kansas Quadrangle
2012	The plant site is no longer shown on the map. The BASA are shown on the map.	7.5-Minute Series, Grantville, Kansas Quadrangle
















GLACIAL DEPOSITS/OVERBURDEN



SHALE MEMBER OF THE SCRANTON FORMATION



LIMESTONE MEMBER OF THE SCRANTON SHALE FORMATION



NOTES

- 1. ALL LOCATIONS AND DIMENSIONS ARE APPROXIMATE.
- 2. VERTICAL SCALE IS EXAGGERATED 5 TIMES.
- 3. PROJECTIONS ARE IN DIRECTION FROM ACTUAL LOCATION.





BOTTOM ASH SETTLING AREA CONCEPTUAL GEOLOGIC CROSS SECTION A-A'

SCALE: AS SHOWN OCTOBER 2019

FIGURE 3

APPENDIX A

Laboratory Reports



April 09, 2019

Brandon Griffin Westar Energy 818 S. Kansas Ave Topeka, KS 66612

RE: Project: TEC BOTTOM ASH SPLP 2019

Pace Project No.: 60298624

Dear Brandon Griffin:

Enclosed are the analytical results for sample(s) received by the laboratory between April 02, 2019 and April 09, 2019. The results relate only to the samples included in this report. Results reported herein conform to the most current, applicable TNI/NELAC standards and the laboratory's Quality Assurance Manual, where applicable, unless otherwise noted in the body of the report.

If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

diator m. Wilson

Heather Wilson heather.wilson@pacelabs.com 1(913)563-1407 Project Manager

Enclosures

cc: Bob Beck, KCPL Lacygne Station
HEATH HORYNA, WESTAR ENERGY
Adam Kneeling, Haley & Aldrich, Inc.
JARED MORRISON, WESTAR ENERGY







CERTIFICATIONS

Project: TEC BOTTOM ASH SPLP 2019

Pace Project No.: 60298624

Kansas Certification IDs

9608 Loiret Boulevard, Lenexa, KS 66219 Missouri Certification Number: 10090 Arkansas Drinking Water WY STR Certification #: 2456.01

Arkansas Certification #: 18-016-0 Arkansas Drinking Water Illinois Certification #: 004455 Iowa Certification #: 118

Kansas/NELAP Certification #: E-10116 / E10426

Louisiana Certification #: 03055 Nevada Certification #: KS000212018-1 Oklahoma Certification #: 9205/9935 Texas Certification #: T104704407-18-11 Utah Certification #: KS000212018-8

Kansas Field Laboratory Accreditation: # E-92587

Missouri Certification: 10070

Missouri Certification Number: 10090



SAMPLE SUMMARY

Project: TEC BOTTOM ASH SPLP 2019

Pace Project No.: 60298624

Lab ID	Sample ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Date Received
60298624001	TEC BA INLET	Solid	04/02/19 12:45	04/02/19 15:30
60298624002	TEC BA INLET LEACHATE	Water	04/05/19 10:15	04/05/19 10:16
60298624003	TEC BA MIDDLE	Solid	04/02/19 12:50	04/02/19 15:30
60298624004	TEC BA MIDDLE LEACHATE	Water	04/05/19 10:15	04/05/19 10:16
60298624005	TEC BA OUTLET	Solid	04/02/19 12:55	04/02/19 15:30
60298624006	TEC BA OUTLET LEACHATE	Water	04/05/19 10:15	04/05/19 10:16
60298624007	TEC BA INLET LEACHATE 2	Water	04/09/19 13:35	04/09/19 13:36
60298624008	TEC BA MIDDLE LEACHATE 2	Water	04/09/19 13:35	04/09/19 13:36
60298624009	TEC BA OUTLET LEACHATE 3	Water	04/09/19 13:35	04/09/19 13:36



SAMPLE ANALYTE COUNT

Project: TEC BOTTOM ASH SPLP 2019

Pace Project No.: 60298624

Lab ID	Sample ID	Method	Analysts	Analytes Reported	Laboratory
60298624001	TEC BA INLET	EPA 6010	JDE	23	PASI-K
		EPA 6020	JGP	5	PASI-K
		EPA 7470	LRS	1	PASI-K
60298624002	TEC BA INLET LEACHATE	EPA 300.0	MGS	3	PASI-K
		EPA 353.2	BLA	3	PASI-K
		EPA 365.4	RAD	1	PASI-K
60298624003	TEC BA MIDDLE	EPA 6010	JDE	23	PASI-K
		EPA 6020	JGP	5	PASI-K
		EPA 7470	LRS	1	PASI-K
60298624004	TEC BA MIDDLE LEACHATE	EPA 300.0	MGS	3	PASI-K
		EPA 353.2	BLA	3	PASI-K
		EPA 365.4	RAD	1	PASI-K
60298624005	TEC BA OUTLET	EPA 6010	JDE	23	PASI-K
		EPA 6020	JGP	5	PASI-K
		EPA 7470	LRS	1	PASI-K
60298624006	TEC BA OUTLET LEACHATE	EPA 300.0	MGS	3	PASI-K
		EPA 353.2	BLA	3	PASI-K
		EPA 365.4	RAD	1	PASI-K
60298624007	TEC BA INLET LEACHATE 2	EPA 7196	ZMH	1	PASI-K
60298624008	TEC BA MIDDLE LEACHATE 2	EPA 7196	ZMH	1	PASI-K
60298624009	TEC BA OUTLET LEACHATE 3	EPA 7196	ZMH	1	PASI-K



Project: TEC BOTTOM ASH SPLP 2019

Pace Project No.: 60298624

Date: 04/09/2019 03:06 PM

Sample: TEC BA INLET Lab ID: 60298624001 Collected: 04/02/19 12:45 Received: 04/02/19 15:30 Matrix: Solid Results reported on a "wet-weight" basis **Parameters** Results Units Report Limit DF Prepared Analyzed CAS No. Qual 6010 MET ICP, SPLP Analytical Method: EPA 6010 Preparation Method: EPA 3010 Leachate Method/Date: EPA 1312; 04/04/19 00:00 ND Barium mg/L 0.10 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:18 7440-39-3 Beryllium ND mg/L 0.0010 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:18 7440-41-7 Boron 0.36 mg/L 0.10 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:18 7440-42-8 Cadmium 0.0050 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:18 ND mg/L 1 7440-43-9 Calcium 12.7 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:18 mg/L 0.10 7440-70-2 1 Chromium ND mg/L 0.0050 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:18 7440-47-3 1 Cobalt ND mg/L 0.0050 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:18 7440-48-4 1 NΠ 0.010 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:18 7440-50-8 Copper mg/L 1 0.22 0.050 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:18 7439-89-6 mg/L Iron 1 Lead ND mg/L 0.0050 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:18 7439-92-1 1 Magnesium 3.2 mg/L 0.050 1 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:18 7439-95-4 0.0088 Manganese mg/L 0.0050 1 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:18 7439-96-5 Molybdenum ND mg/L 0.020 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:18 7439-98-7 Nickel ND mg/L 0.0050 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:18 7440-02-0 1 Potassium ND mg/L 0.50 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:18 7440-09-7 Silica 6.9 mg/L 1.1 1 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:18 7631-86-9 Silicon 3.2 mg/L 0.50 1 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:18 7440-21-3 Silver ND 0.0070 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:18 7440-22-4 mg/L 1 Sodium 7.3 mg/L 0.50 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:18 7440-23-5 B.M1 1 0.19 Strontium mg/L 0.020 1 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:18 7440-24-6 0.012 Titanium mg/L 0.010 1 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:18 7440-32-6 Vanadium 0.024 mg/L 0.010 1 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:18 7440-62-2 Zinc ND mg/L 0.050 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:18 7440-66-6 6020 MET ICPM, SPLP Analytical Method: EPA 6020 Preparation Method: EPA 3020 Leachate Method/Date: EPA 1312; 04/04/19 00:00 0.54 mg/L 0.050 1 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:03 7429-90-5 Aluminum M1 **Antimony** ND mg/L 0.0010 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:03 7440-36-0 Arsenic 0.0025 mg/L 0.0010 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:03 7440-38-2 Selenium ND mg/L 0.0010 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:03 7782-49-2 Thallium ND mg/L 0.0010 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:03 7440-28-0 Analytical Method: EPA 7470 Preparation Method: EPA 7470 7470 Mercury, SPLP Leachate Method/Date: EPA 1312; 04/04/19 00:00 Mercury ND mg/L 0.0020 04/05/19 16:19 04/08/19 12:37 7439-97-6



Project: TEC BOTTOM ASH SPLP 2019

Pace Project No.: 60298624

Date: 04/09/2019 03:06 PM

Sample: TEC BA INLET LEACHATE	Lab ID: 6029	8624002 C	ollected: 04/05/1	9 10:15	Received: 04/05	5/19 10:16 N	Matrix: Water	
Parameters	Results	Units	Report Limit	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
300.0 IC Anions 28 Days	Analytical Meth	od: EPA 300.0						
Chloride	ND	mg/L	1.0	1	04	4/05/19 22:53	16887-00-6	
Fluoride	0.29	mg/L	0.20	1	0-	4/05/19 22:53	16984-48-8	
Sulfate	13.2	mg/L	1.0	1	0-	4/05/19 22:53	14808-79-8	
353.2 Nitrogen, NO2/NO3 unpres	Analytical Meth	od: EPA 353.2						
Nitrogen, Nitrate	0.20	mg/L	0.10	1	0-	4/05/19 14:59		В
Nitrogen, Nitrite	ND	mg/L	0.10	1	0-	4/05/19 14:59		
Nitrogen, NO2 plus NO3	0.20	mg/L	0.10	1	0-	4/05/19 14:59		В
365.4 Total Phosphorus	Analytical Meth	od: EPA 365.4						
Phosphorus	0.16	mg/L	0.10	1	04	4/06/19 10:53	7723-14-0	



Project: TEC BOTTOM ASH SPLP 2019

Pace Project No.: 60298624

Date: 04/09/2019 03:06 PM

Sample: TEC BA MIDDLE Lab ID: 60298624003 Collected: 04/02/19 12:50 Received: 04/02/19 15:30 Matrix: Solid Results reported on a "dry weight" basis and are adjusted for percent moisture, sample size and any dilutions. **Parameters** Results Units Report Limit DF Prepared Analyzed CAS No. Qual 6010 MET ICP, SPLP Analytical Method: EPA 6010 Preparation Method: EPA 3010 Leachate Method/Date: EPA 1312; 04/04/19 00:00 ND **Barium** mg/L 0.10 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:25 7440-39-3 Beryllium ND mg/L 0.0010 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:25 7440-41-7 Boron 0.17 mg/L 0.10 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:25 7440-42-8 Cadmium 0.0050 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:25 ND mg/L 1 7440-43-9 27.7 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:25 Calcium mg/L 0.10 7440-70-2 1 Chromium ND mg/L 0.0050 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:25 7440-47-3 1 Cobalt ND mg/L 0.0050 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:25 7440-48-4 1 NΠ 0.010 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:25 7440-50-8 Copper mg/L 1 0.050 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:25 7439-89-6 1.9 mg/L Iron 1 ND mg/L 0.0050 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:25 7439-92-1 I ead 1 Magnesium 4.3 mg/L 0.050 1 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:25 7439-95-4 0.019 Manganese mg/L 0.0050 1 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:25 7439-96-5 Molybdenum ND mg/L 0.020 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:25 7439-98-7 Nickel ND 0.0050 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:25 7440-02-0 mg/L 1 Potassium 4.4 mg/L 0.50 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:25 7440-09-7 20.5 Silica mg/L 1.1 1 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:25 7631-86-9 Silicon 9.6 mg/L 0.50 1 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:25 7440-21-3 Silver ND 0.0070 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:25 7440-22-4 mg/L 1 Sodium 31.4 mg/L 0.50 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:25 7440-23-5 1 0.25 Strontium mg/L 0.020 1 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:25 7440-24-6 Titanium 0.036 mg/L 0.010 1 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:25 7440-32-6 Vanadium 0.015 mg/L 0.010 1 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:25 7440-62-2 Zinc ND mg/L 0.050 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:25 7440-66-6 6020 MET ICPM, SPLP Analytical Method: EPA 6020 Preparation Method: EPA 3020 Leachate Method/Date: EPA 1312; 04/04/19 00:00 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:08 7429-90-5 1.9 mg/L 0.050 1 Aluminum **Antimony** 0.0012 mg/L 0.0010 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:08 7440-36-0 1 Arsenic 0.0055 mg/L 0.0010 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:08 7440-38-2 Selenium 0.0016 mg/L 0.0010 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:08 7782-49-2 Thallium ND mg/L 0.0010 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:08 7440-28-0 Analytical Method: EPA 7470 Preparation Method: EPA 7470 7470 Mercury, SPLP Leachate Method/Date: EPA 1312; 04/04/19 00:00 Mercury ND mg/L 0.0020 04/05/19 16:19 04/08/19 12:44 7439-97-6



Project: TEC BOTTOM ASH SPLP 2019

Pace Project No.: 60298624

Date: 04/09/2019 03:06 PM

Sample: TEC BA MIDDLE LEACHATE	Lab ID: 60298624004		Collected: 04/05/19 10:15		Received: 04/05/19 10:16		Matrix: Water	
Parameters	Results	Units	Report Limit	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
300.0 IC Anions 28 Days	Analytical Meth	od: EPA 300.0)					
Chloride	1.3	mg/L	1.0	1		04/05/19 23:32	16887-00-6	
Fluoride	0.39	mg/L	0.20	1		04/05/19 23:32	16984-48-8	
Sulfate	86.4	mg/L	10.0	10		04/05/19 23:44	14808-79-8	
353.2 Nitrogen, NO2/NO3 unpres	Analytical Meth	od: EPA 353.2	2					
Nitrogen, Nitrate	1.7	mg/L	0.10	1		04/05/19 15:00		
Nitrogen, Nitrite	1.4	mg/L	0.10	1		04/05/19 15:00		
Nitrogen, NO2 plus NO3	3.1	mg/L	0.10	1		04/05/19 15:00		
365.4 Total Phosphorus	Analytical Meth	od: EPA 365.4	1					
Phosphorus	1.1	mg/L	0.10	1		04/06/19 10:55	7723-14-0	



Project: TEC BOTTOM ASH SPLP 2019

Pace Project No.: 60298624

Date: 04/09/2019 03:06 PM

Sample: TEC BA OUTLET Lab ID: 60298624005 Collected: 04/02/19 12:55 Received: 04/02/19 15:30 Matrix: Solid Results reported on a "dry weight" basis and are adjusted for percent moisture, sample size and any dilutions. **Parameters** Results Units Report Limit DF Prepared Analyzed CAS No. Qual 6010 MET ICP, SPLP Analytical Method: EPA 6010 Preparation Method: EPA 3010 Leachate Method/Date: EPA 1312; 04/04/19 00:00 0.14 **Barium** mg/L 0.10 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:27 7440-39-3 Beryllium ND mg/L 0.0010 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:27 7440-41-7 Boron 0.39 mg/L 0.10 1 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:27 7440-42-8 Cadmium 0.0050 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:27 ND mg/L 1 7440-43-9 15.5 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:27 Calcium mg/L 0.10 7440-70-2 1 Chromium ND mg/L 0.0050 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:27 7440-47-3 1 Cobalt ND mg/L 0.0050 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:27 7440-48-4 1 NΠ 0.010 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:27 7440-50-8 Copper mg/L 1 0.055 0.050 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:27 7439-89-6 mg/L Iron 1 ND mg/L 0.0050 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:27 7439-92-1 I ead 1 Magnesium 2.6 mg/L 0.050 1 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:27 7439-95-4 Manganese ND mg/L 0.0050 1 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:27 7439-96-5 Molybdenum ND mg/L 0.020 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:27 7439-98-7 Nickel ND 0.0050 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:27 7440-02-0 mg/L 1 Potassium ND mg/L 0.50 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:27 7440-09-7 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:27 7631-86-9 Silica 7.2 mg/L 1.1 1 Silicon 3.3 mg/L 0.50 1 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:27 7440-21-3 Silver ND 0.0070 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:27 7440-22-4 mg/L 1 Sodium 5.5 mg/L 0.50 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:27 7440-23-5 1 0.38 Strontium mg/L 0.020 1 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:27 7440-24-6 Titanium ND mg/L 0.010 1 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:27 7440-32-6 Vanadium 0.043 mg/L 0.010 1 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:27 7440-62-2 Zinc ND mg/L 0.050 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:27 7440-66-6 6020 MET ICPM, SPLP Analytical Method: EPA 6020 Preparation Method: EPA 3020 Leachate Method/Date: EPA 1312; 04/04/19 00:00 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:09 7429-90-5 0.60 mg/L 0.050 1 Aluminum **Antimony** ND mg/L 0.0010 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:09 7440-36-0 1 Arsenic 0.0016 mg/L 0.0010 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:09 7440-38-2 Selenium ND mg/L 0.0010 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:09 7782-49-2 Thallium ND mg/L 0.0010 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:09 7440-28-0 Analytical Method: EPA 7470 Preparation Method: EPA 7470 7470 Mercury, SPLP Leachate Method/Date: EPA 1312; 04/04/19 00:00 Mercury ND mg/L 0.0020 04/05/19 16:19 04/08/19 12:46 7439-97-6



Project: TEC BOTTOM ASH SPLP 2019

Pace Project No.: 60298624

Date: 04/09/2019 03:06 PM

Sample: TEC BA OUTLET LEACHATE	Lab ID: 60298624006		Collected: 04/05/19 10:15		Received: 04/05/19 10:16		Matrix: Water	
Parameters	Results	Units	Report Limit	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
300.0 IC Anions 28 Days	Analytical Meth	nod: EPA 300.	0					
Chloride	ND	mg/L	1.0	1		04/06/19 00:10	16887-00-6	
Fluoride	0.20	mg/L	0.20	1		04/06/19 00:10	16984-48-8	
Sulfate	16.4	mg/L	1.0	1		04/06/19 00:10	14808-79-8	
353.2 Nitrogen, NO2/NO3 unpres	Analytical Meth	nod: EPA 353.	2					
Nitrogen, Nitrate	0.15	mg/L	0.10	1		04/05/19 15:03	1	В
Nitrogen, Nitrite	ND	mg/L	0.10	1		04/05/19 15:03	1	
Nitrogen, NO2 plus NO3	0.15	mg/L	0.10	1		04/05/19 15:03		В
365.4 Total Phosphorus	Analytical Meth	nod: EPA 365.	4					
Phosphorus	ND	mg/L	0.10	1		04/06/19 10:58	7723-14-0	

(913)599-5665



ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Project: TEC BOTTOM ASH SPLP 2019

Pace Project No.: 60298624

Sample: TEC BA INLET LEACHATE Lab ID: 60298624007 Collected: 04/09/19 13:35 Received: 04/09/19 13:36 Matrix: Water

2

Date: 04/09/2019 03:06 PM

Parameters Results Units Report Limit DF Prepared Analyzed CAS No. Qual

7196 Chromium, Hexavalent Analytical Method: EPA 7196

Chromium, Hexavalent ND mg/L 0.010 1 04/09/19 14:19 18540-29-9



Project: TEC BOTTOM ASH SPLP 2019

Pace Project No.: 60298624

Date: 04/09/2019 03:06 PM

Sample: TEC BA MIDDLE Lab ID: 60298624008 Collected: 04/09/19 13:35 Received: 04/09/19 13:36 Matrix: Water LEACHATE 2

Parameters Results Units Report Limit DF Prepared Analyzed CAS No. Qual

7196 Chromium, Hexavalent Analytical Method: EPA 7196

Chromium, Hexavalent ND mg/L 0.010 1 04/09/19 14:21 18540-29-9



Project: TEC BOTTOM ASH SPLP 2019

Pace Project No.: 60298624

Date: 04/09/2019 03:06 PM

Sample: TEC BA OUTLET Lab ID: 60298624009 Collected: 04/09/19 13:35 Received: 04/09/19 13:36 Matrix: Water LEACHATE 3

Parameters Results Units Report Limit DF Prepared Analyzed CAS No. Qual

7196 Chromium, Hexavalent Analytical Method: EPA 7196

Chromium, Hexavalent ND mg/L 0.010 1 04/09/19 14:22 18540-29-9



Project: TEC BOTTOM ASH SPLP 2019

Pace Project No.: 60298624

Date: 04/09/2019 03:06 PM

QC Batch: 577594 Analysis Method: EPA 7470

QC Batch Method: EPA 7470 Analysis Description: 7470 Mercury SPLP

Associated Lab Samples: 60298624001, 60298624003, 60298624005

METHOD BLANK: 2370033 Matrix: Water

Associated Lab Samples: 60298624001, 60298624003, 60298624005

Blank Reporting

Parameter Units Result Limit Analyzed Qualifiers

Mercury mg/L ND 0.0020 04/08/19 12:33

LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE: 2370034

Spike LCS LCS % Rec Parameter Units Conc. Result % Rec Limits Qualifiers Mercury mg/L 0.015 0.014 96 80-120

MATRIX SPIKE & MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE: 2370036 2370035

MS MSD 60298624001 Spike Spike MS MSD MS MSD % Rec Max Parameter Units Result Conc. Conc. Result Result % Rec % Rec Limits RPD RPD Qual ND 0.015 0.015 0.014 0.015 75-125 20 Mercury mg/L 96 97

Results presented on this page are in the units indicated by the "Units" column except where an alternate unit is presented to the right of the result.



Project: TEC BOTTOM ASH SPLP 2019

Pace Project No.: 60298624

Date: 04/09/2019 03:06 PM

QC Batch: 577491 Analysis Method: EPA 6010
QC Batch Method: EPA 3010 Analysis Description: 6010 MET SPLP

Associated Lab Samples: 60298624001, 60298624003, 60298624005

METHOD BLANK: 2369565 Matrix: Water

Associated Lab Samples: 60298624001, 60298624003, 60298624005

		Blank	Reporting		
Parameter	Units	Result	Limit	Analyzed	Qualifiers
Barium	mg/L	ND	0.10	04/08/19 12:04	
Beryllium	mg/L	ND	0.0010	04/08/19 12:04	
Boron	mg/L	ND	0.10	04/08/19 12:04	
Cadmium	mg/L	ND	0.0050	04/08/19 12:04	
Calcium	mg/L	0.90	0.10	04/08/19 13:32	
Chromium	mg/L	ND	0.0050	04/08/19 12:04	
Cobalt	mg/L	ND	0.0050	04/08/19 12:04	
Copper	mg/L	ND	0.010	04/08/19 12:04	
Iron	mg/L	ND	0.050	04/08/19 12:04	
Lead	mg/L	ND	0.0050	04/08/19 12:04	
Magnesium	mg/L	0.082	0.050	04/08/19 12:04	
Manganese	mg/L	ND	0.0050	04/08/19 12:04	
Molybdenum	mg/L	ND	0.020	04/08/19 12:04	
Nickel	mg/L	ND	0.0050	04/08/19 12:04	
Potassium	mg/L	ND	0.50	04/08/19 12:04	
Silica	mg/L	ND	1.1	04/08/19 12:04	
Silicon	mg/L	ND	0.50	04/08/19 12:04	
Silver	mg/L	ND	0.0070	04/08/19 12:04	
Sodium	mg/L	8.6	0.50	04/08/19 13:32	
Strontium	mg/L	ND	0.020	04/08/19 12:04	
Titanium	mg/L	ND	0.010	04/08/19 12:04	
Vanadium	mg/L	ND	0.010	04/08/19 12:04	
Zinc	mg/L	ND	0.050	04/08/19 12:04	

LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE:	2369566					
		Spike	LCS	LCS	% Rec	
Parameter	Units	Conc.	Result	% Rec	Limits	Qualifiers
Barium	mg/L		0.99	99	80-120	
Beryllium	mg/L	1	1.0	100	80-120	
Boron	mg/L	1	0.97	97	80-120	
Cadmium	mg/L	1	0.98	98	80-120	
Calcium	mg/L	10	10.2	102	80-120	
Chromium	mg/L	1	0.99	99	80-120	
Cobalt	mg/L	1	1.0	101	80-120	
Copper	mg/L	1	0.98	98	80-120	
Iron	mg/L	10	10.2	102	80-120	
Lead	mg/L	1	1.0	101	80-120	
Magnesium	mg/L	10	10	100	80-120	
Manganese	mg/L	1	0.98	98	80-120	
Molybdenum	mg/L	1	0.94	94	80-120	

Results presented on this page are in the units indicated by the "Units" column except where an alternate unit is presented to the right of the result.



Project: TEC BOTTOM ASH SPLP 2019

Pace Project No.: 60298624

Date: 04/09/2019 03:06 PM

LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE:	2369566					
		Spike	LCS	LCS	% Rec	
Parameter	Units	Conc.	Result	% Rec	Limits	Qualifiers
Nickel	mg/L		1.0	100	80-120	
otassium	mg/L	10	10	100	80-120	
ilica	mg/L	1	10.6	1060		
licon	mg/L	5	5.0	99	80-120	
ver	mg/L	0.5	0.50	100	80-120	
dium	mg/L	10	9.9	99	80-120	
rontium	mg/L	1	1.0	100	80-120	
anium	mg/L	1	0.99	99	80-120	
nadium	mg/L	1	0.99	99	80-120	
inc	mg/L	1	0.99	99	80-120	

MATRIX SPIKE & MATRIX S	PIKE DUPLICA	LICATE: 2369567			2369568							
			MS	MSD								
	6	0298624001	Spike	Spike	MS	MSD	MS	MSD	% Rec		Max	
Parameter	Units	Result	Conc.	Conc.	Result	Result	% Rec	% Rec	Limits	RPD	RPD	Qua
Barium	mg/L	ND	1	1	1.1	1.1	103	103	75-125	1	20	
Beryllium	mg/L	ND	1	1	0.99	0.98	99	98	75-125	1	20	
Boron	mg/L	0.36	1	1	1.3	1.3	97	97	75-125	1	20	
Cadmium	mg/L	ND	1	1	0.97	0.97	97	97	93-110	1	20	
Calcium	mg/L	12.7	10	10	22.6	22.6	98	99	75-125	0	20	
Chromium	mg/L	ND	1	1	0.98	0.98	98	97	72-127	0	20	
Cobalt	mg/L	ND	1	1	1.0	0.99	99	99	90-116	0	20	
Copper	mg/L	ND	1	1	0.98	0.97	98	97	75-125	0	20	
Iron	mg/L	0.22	10	10	10.0	10	98	97	87-113	1	20	
Lead	mg/L	ND	1	1	1.0	0.99	100	99	75-125	1	20	
Magnesium	mg/L	3.2	10	10	13.4	13.4	102	101	75-125	0	20	
Manganese	mg/L	0.0088	1	1	0.98	0.97	97	96	58-158	1	20	
Molybdenum	mg/L	ND	1	1	0.93	0.93	93	93	75-125	0	20	
Nickel	mg/L	ND	1	1	0.99	0.99	99	98	75-125	1	20	
Potassium	mg/L	ND	10	10	9.9	9.7	99	97	75-125	1	20	
Silica	mg/L	6.9	1	1	16.5	16.3	965	944		1		
Silicon	mg/L	3.2	5	5	7.7	7.6	90	88	75-125	1	20	
Silver	mg/L	ND	0.5	0.5	0.50	0.49	99	98	75-125	1	20	
Sodium	mg/L	7.3	10	10	10.7	10.6	34	33	75-125	1	20	M1
Strontium	mg/L	0.19	1	1	1.2	1.2	100	100	75-125	0	20	
Titanium	mg/L	0.012	1	1	0.98	0.98	97	96	75-125	1	20	
Vanadium	mg/L	0.024	1	1	1.0	1.0	98	98	75-125	0	20	
Zinc	mg/L	ND	1	1	0.98	0.97	97	97	78-126	1	20	

Results presented on this page are in the units indicated by the "Units" column except where an alternate unit is presented to the right of the result.



Project: TEC BOTTOM ASH SPLP 2019

Pace Project No.: 60298624

Date: 04/09/2019 03:06 PM

QC Batch: 577492 Analysis Method: EPA 6020
QC Batch Method: EPA 3020 Analysis Description: 6020 MET SPLP

Associated Lab Samples: 60298624001, 60298624003, 60298624005

METHOD BLANK: 2369569 Matrix: Water

Associated Lab Samples: 60298624001, 60298624003, 60298624005

Parameter	Units	Blank Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Qualifiers
Aluminum	mg/L	ND	0.050	04/08/19 12:00	
Antimony	mg/L	ND	0.0010	04/08/19 12:00	
Arsenic	mg/L	ND	0.0010	04/08/19 12:00	
Selenium	mg/L	ND	0.0010	04/08/19 12:00	
Thallium	mg/L	ND	0.0010	04/08/19 12:00	

LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE:	2369570					
		Spike	LCS	LCS	% Rec	
Parameter	Units	Conc.	Result	% Rec	Limits	Qualifiers
Aluminum	mg/L		1.0	100	80-120	
Antimony	mg/L	0.04	0.038	94	80-120	
Arsenic	mg/L	0.04	0.036	91	80-120	
Selenium	mg/L	0.04	0.035	87	80-120	
Thallium	mg/L	0.04	0.037	93	80-120	

MATRIX SPIKE & MATRIX S	PIKE DUPLICA	ATE: 23695	71		2369572							
			MS	MSD								
	6	0298624001	Spike	Spike	MS	MSD	MS	MSD	% Rec		Max	
Parameter	Units	Result	Conc.	Conc.	Result	Result	% Rec	% Rec	Limits	RPD	RPD	Qual
Aluminum	mg/L	0.54	1	1	1.8	1.9	131	132	75-125	1	20	M1
Antimony	mg/L	ND	0.04	0.04	0.038	0.038	94	92	75-125	2	20	
Arsenic	mg/L	0.0025	0.04	0.04	0.039	0.038	90	89	75-125	1	20	
Selenium	mg/L	ND	0.04	0.04	0.035	0.035	85	85	75-125	0	20	
Thallium	mg/L	ND	0.04	0.04	0.037	0.037	94	92	75-125	1	20	

Results presented on this page are in the units indicated by the "Units" column except where an alternate unit is presented to the right of the result.

(913)599-5665



QUALITY CONTROL DATA

Project: TEC BOTTOM ASH SPLP 2019

Pace Project No.: 60298624

QC Batch: 577578 Analysis Method: EPA 300.0

QC Batch Method: EPA 300.0 Analysis Description: 300.0 IC Anions

Associated Lab Samples: 60298624002, 60298624004, 60298624006

METHOD BLANK: 2369968 Matrix: Water

Associated Lab Samples: 60298624002, 60298624004, 60298624006

Blank Reporting Limit Qualifiers Parameter Units Result Analyzed Chloride mg/L ND 1.0 04/05/19 22:02 Fluoride mg/L ND 0.20 04/05/19 22:02 Sulfate ND 04/05/19 22:02 mg/L 1.0

LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE: 2369969

Date: 04/09/2019 03:06 PM

Parameter	Units	Spike Conc.	LCS Result	LCS % Rec	% Rec Limits	Qualifiers
Chloride	mg/L		5.0	100	90-110	
Fluoride	mg/L	2.5	2.6	104	90-110	
Sulfate	mg/L	5	5.3	105	90-110	

Results presented on this page are in the units indicated by the "Units" column except where an alternate unit is presented to the right of the result.



Project: TEC BOTTOM ASH SPLP 2019

Pace Project No.: 60298624

Date: 04/09/2019 03:06 PM

QC Batch: 577533 Analysis Method: EPA 353.2

QC Batch Method: EPA 353.2 Analysis Description: 353.2 Nitrate + Nitrite, Unpres.

Associated Lab Samples: 60298624002, 60298624004, 60298624006

METHOD BLANK: 2369705 Matrix: Water

Associated Lab Samples: 60298624002, 60298624004, 60298624006

_		Blank	Reporting		
Parameter	Units	Result	Limit	Analyzed	Qualifiers
Nitrogen, Nitrate	mg/L	0.14	0.10	04/05/19 14:57	
Nitrogen, Nitrite	mg/L	ND	0.10	04/05/19 14:57	
Nitrogen NO2 plus NO3	ma/l	0 14	0.10	04/05/19 14:57	

LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE:	2369706					
		Spike	LCS	LCS	% Rec	
Parameter	Units	Conc.	Result	% Rec	Limits	Qualifiers
Nitrogen, Nitrate	mg/L		0.96	96	70-130	
Nitrogen, Nitrite	mg/L	1	1.1	106	90-110	
Nitrogen, NO2 plus NO3	mg/L	2	2.0	101	90-110	

MATRIX SPIKE SAMPLE:	2369707						
Parameter	Units	60298624002 Result	Spike Conc.	MS Result	MS % Rec	% Rec Limits	Qualifiers
Nitrogen, Nitrate	 mg/L	0.20	1	1.2	97	70-130	
Nitrogen, Nitrite	mg/L	ND	1	1.1	110	90-110	
Nitrogen, NO2 plus NO3	mg/L	0.20	2	2.3	104	90-110	

SAMPLE DUPLICATE: 2369708 60298624006 Dup Max RPD RPD Parameter Units Result Result Qualifiers Nitrogen, Nitrate 0.15 0.15 20 mg/L 0 Nitrogen, Nitrite mg/L ND ND 20 Nitrogen, NO2 plus NO3 mg/L 0.15 0.15 0 20

Results presented on this page are in the units indicated by the "Units" column except where an alternate unit is presented to the right of the result.



Project: TEC BOTTOM ASH SPLP 2019

Pace Project No.: 60298624

QC Batch: 577541 Analysis Method: EPA 365.4

QC Batch Method: EPA 365.4 Analysis Description: 365.4 Phosphorus

Associated Lab Samples: 60298624002, 60298624004, 60298624006

METHOD BLANK: 2369762 Matrix: Water

Associated Lab Samples: 60298624002, 60298624004, 60298624006

Blank Reporting

Parameter Units Result Limit Analyzed Qualifiers

Phosphorus mg/L ND 0.10 04/06/19 10:51

LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE: 2369763

Spike LCS LCS % Rec Parameter Units Conc. Result % Rec Limits Qualifiers Phosphorus mg/L 2 2.1 105 90-110

MATRIX SPIKE SAMPLE: 2369764

MS 60298624002 Spike MS % Rec Parameter Units Result Conc. Result % Rec Limits Qualifiers 0.16 2 105 90-110 2.3 Phosphorus mg/L

SAMPLE DUPLICATE: 2369765

Date: 04/09/2019 03:06 PM

60298624004 Dup Max RPD RPD Parameter Units Result Result Qualifiers 1.1 Phosphorus mg/L 1.0 3 10

Results presented on this page are in the units indicated by the "Units" column except where an alternate unit is presented to the right of the result.



Project: TEC BOTTOM ASH SPLP 2019

Pace Project No.: 60298624

QC Batch: 578184 Analysis Method: EPA 7196

QC Batch Method: EPA 7196 Analysis Description: 7196 Chromium, Hexavalent

Associated Lab Samples: 60298624007, 60298624008, 60298624009

METHOD BLANK: 2372388 Matrix: Water

Associated Lab Samples: 60298624007, 60298624008, 60298624009

Blank Reporting

Parameter Units Result Limit Analyzed Qualifiers

Chromium, Hexavalent mg/L ND 0.010 04/09/19 14:13

LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE: 2372389

Spike LCS LCS % Rec Parameter Units Conc. Result % Rec Limits Qualifiers Chromium, Hexavalent mg/L 0.1 0.096 96 90-110

MATRIX SPIKE SAMPLE: 2372390

60298624007 Spike MS MS % Rec Parameter Units Result Conc. Result % Rec Limits Qualifiers ND Chromium, Hexavalent 0.1 0.090 90 85-115 mg/L

SAMPLE DUPLICATE: 2372391

Date: 04/09/2019 03:06 PM

Parameter Units 60298624008 Dup Max Result RPD Qualifiers

Chromium, Hexavalent mg/L ND ND 20

Results presented on this page are in the units indicated by the "Units" column except where an alternate unit is presented to the right of the result.



QUALIFIERS

Project: TEC BOTTOM ASH SPLP 2019

Pace Project No.: 60298624

DEFINITIONS

DF - Dilution Factor, if reported, represents the factor applied to the reported data due to dilution of the sample aliquot.

ND - Not Detected at or above adjusted reporting limit.

TNTC - Too Numerous To Count

J - Estimated concentration above the adjusted method detection limit and below the adjusted reporting limit.

MDL - Adjusted Method Detection Limit.

PQL - Practical Quantitation Limit.

RL - Reporting Limit - The lowest concentration value that meets project requirements for quantitative data with known precision and bias for a specific analyte in a specific matrix.

S - Surrogate

1,2-Diphenylhydrazine decomposes to and cannot be separated from Azobenzene using Method 8270. The result for each analyte is a combined concentration.

Consistent with EPA guidelines, unrounded data are displayed and have been used to calculate % recovery and RPD values.

LCS(D) - Laboratory Control Sample (Duplicate)

MS(D) - Matrix Spike (Duplicate)

DUP - Sample Duplicate

RPD - Relative Percent Difference

NC - Not Calculable.

SG - Silica Gel - Clean-Up

U - Indicates the compound was analyzed for, but not detected.

N-Nitrosodiphenylamine decomposes and cannot be separated from Diphenylamine using Method 8270. The result reported for each analyte is a combined concentration.

Pace Analytical is TNI accredited. Contact your Pace PM for the current list of accredited analytes.

TNI - The NELAC Institute.

LABORATORIES

PASI-K Pace Analytical Services - Kansas City

ANALYTE QUALIFIERS

Date: 04/09/2019 03:06 PM

B Analyte was detected in the associated method blank.

M1 Matrix spike recovery exceeded QC limits. Batch accepted based on laboratory control sample (LCS) recovery.



QUALITY CONTROL DATA CROSS REFERENCE TABLE

Project: TEC BOTTOM ASH SPLP 2019

Pace Project No.: 60298624

Date: 04/09/2019 03:06 PM

Lab ID	Sample ID	QC Batch Method	QC Batch	Analytical Method	Analytical Batch
60298624001	TEC BA INLET	EPA 3010	577491	EPA 6010	<u> </u>
60298624003	TEC BA MIDDLE	EPA 3010	577491	EPA 6010	577572
60298624005	TEC BA OUTLET	EPA 3010	577491	EPA 6010	577572
60298624001	TEC BA INLET	EPA 3020	577492	EPA 6020	577571
60298624003	TEC BA MIDDLE	EPA 3020	577492	EPA 6020	577571
60298624005	TEC BA OUTLET	EPA 3020	577492	EPA 6020	577571
60298624001	TEC BA INLET	EPA 7470	577594	EPA 7470	577730
60298624003	TEC BA MIDDLE	EPA 7470	577594	EPA 7470	577730
60298624005	TEC BA OUTLET	EPA 7470	577594	EPA 7470	577730
60298624002	TEC BA INLET LEACHATE	EPA 300.0	577578		
60298624004	TEC BA MIDDLE LEACHATE	EPA 300.0	577578		
60298624006	TEC BA OUTLET LEACHATE	EPA 300.0	577578		
60298624002	TEC BA INLET LEACHATE	EPA 353.2	577533		
60298624004	TEC BA MIDDLE LEACHATE	EPA 353.2	577533		
60298624006	TEC BA OUTLET LEACHATE	EPA 353.2	577533		
60298624002	TEC BA INLET LEACHATE	EPA 365.4	577541		
60298624004	TEC BA MIDDLE LEACHATE	EPA 365.4	577541		
60298624006	TEC BA OUTLET LEACHATE	EPA 365.4	577541		
60298624007	TEC BA INLET LEACHATE 2	EPA 7196	578184		
60298624008	TEC BA MIDDLE LEACHATE 2	EPA 7196	578184		
60298624009	TEC BA OUTLET LEACHATE 3	EPA 7196	578184		



Sample Condition Upon Receipt



Client Name: Wester Freeze		
Courier: FedEx □ UPS □ VIA □ Clay □ P	EX 🗆 ECI 🗆	Pace
Tracking #: Pace	Shipping Label Use	d? Yes □ No to
Custody Seal on Cooler/Box Present: Yes ✓ No ☐	Seals intact: Yes	√ No □
Packing Material: Bubble Wrap ☐ Bubble Bags Ø		None ☐ Other ☐
Thermometer Used: \(\frac{1}{24\lambda}\) Type of I	lce: Wet Blue No	
Cooler Temperature (°C): As-read <u>4.5</u> Corr. Facto	r_1/O Correct	ted 3,5 Date and initials of person examining contents: 4/12/19
Temperature should be above freezing to 6°C	1	
Chain of Custody present:	Yes No N/A	
Chain of Custody relinquished:	ZYes □No □N/A	
Samples arrived within holding time:	∐Yes □No □N/A	
Short Hold Time analyses (<72hr):	□Yes ☑No □N/A	
Rush Turn Around Time requested:	✓Yes □No □N/A	3 24/
Sufficient volume:	ZYes □No □N/A	
Correct containers used:	ZYes □No □N/A	
Pace containers used:	/ ☑Yes □No □N/A	
Containers intact:	Yes □No □N/A	
Unpreserved 5035A / TX1005/1006 soils frozen in 48hrs?	□Yes □No □N/A	
Filtered volume received for dissolved tests?	□Yes □No ØN/A	
Sample labels match COC: Date / time / ID / analyses	Yes □No □N/A	
Samples contain multiple phases? Matrix: 52	□Yes ☑No □N/A	
Containers requiring pH preservation in compliance? (HNO₃, H₂SO₄, HCl<2; NaOH>9 Sulfide, NaOH>10 Cyanide) (Exceptions: VOA, Micro, O&G, KS TPH, OK-DRO)	□Yes □No ☑N/A	List sample IDs, volumes, lot #'s of preservative and the date/time added.
Cyanide water sample checks:		
Lead acetate strip turns dark? (Record only)	□Yes □No	
Potassium iodide test strip turns blue/purple? (Preserve)	☐Yes ☐No	
Trip Blank present:	□Yes □No □N/A	
Headspace in VOA vials (>6mm):	□Yes □No □N/A	
Samples from USDA Regulated Area: State:	□Yes ☑No □N/A	
Additional labels attached to 5035A / TX1005 vials in the field?	□Yes □No ┛N/A	
Client Notification/ Resolution: Copy COC to	Client? Y / N	Field Data Required? Y / N
Person Contacted: Date/Tir	me:	
Comments/ Resolution:		
Project Manager Review:	Date	e:



CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY / Analytical Request Document

The Chain-of-Custody is a LEGAL DOCUMENT. All relevant fields must be completed accurately.

1																										_					
Section	n A d Client Information:	Section B Required Pro	iect Info	rmation:						ction	i C nforma	ation:														ı	Page	:	of		1
Compan	y: WESTAR ENERGY	Report To: B						_		ention:		Acco	unts	Paya	able				_	7						-	_			_	
Address	: 2nd Dupont Road	Copy To: J	ared M	lorrison, A	dam Kne	eeling		_	Con	npany	Name	e: V	/EST	AR I	ENEF	RGY		_		RE	GU	LAT	ORY	AGE	ENC	Ϋ́				_	
	Tecumseh, KS 66542	В	ob Bed	ck				_	Add	lress:				_		_		_		_	_	_			_		WA-	TER [DRINKII	NG V	VATER
Email To):	Purchase Ord	er No.:	10TEC-	0000007	957		-		e Quot								-		-1	· U			- R			••••				
Phone:	Fax:	Project Name	TE	C Bottom	Ash 201	9		-	Pace	erence: e Proje		Heat	her V	/ilso	n. 91	3-56	3-140	7		+-	_	ocati	_					VIIIII		////	
Reques	ted Due Date/TAT: 3-5 Days	Project Numb						_		ager. e Profil		1197				_				- ~					K	S					
			_			_		_	_				0, 10	_	_	_	De	- CI16	ctod	I And	_	STAT		1 (V)	AIA		100	<u> </u>		##	
	Section D Valid Matrix C			T				Т	Т	Т	_	_	_	_	_	┢	T	Т	Stet	T	lys	SFI	teret	1(11	N)	Т	-1//				
	Required Client Information MATRIX	CODE	to left		COL	ECTED			1	L		Prese	ervati	/es		N/A	Ш				L										
	DRINKING WATER WATER WATER WASTE WATER PRODUCT SOIL/SOLID OIL SAMPLE ID AIR	P SL OL WP	(G=GRAB C=COMP)	COMP	POSITE ART	COMPC END/G	OSITE GRAB	AT COLLECTION								est‡	Meatls*	letals""	CI F SO4	Vitrates	hosphorus	alent Chromi					rine (Y/N)		L984	2	ł
ITEM#	(A-Z, 0-9 / ,-) OTHER Sample IDs MUST BE UNIQUE TISSUE	OT TS	SAMPLE TYPE	DATE	TIME	DATE	ТІМЕ	SAMPLE TEMP AT	# OF CONTAINERS	Unpreserved	H ₂ SO ₄	HNO3	NaOH	Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃	Methanol	Analysis Test	6010 SPLP IV	6020 SPLP Metals	SPI P 300 0 0	353.2	SPLP 365.4 F	SPLP Hexavalent Chrom					Residual Chlorine (Y/N)	UQ Pac	ce Project		
1	TEC BA Inlet		SL G			14/2	1245		1	1		2.5	Plu					IL	П	1		i		1	bi		002	Recei	ving: Plea	ıse n	ote that the
2	TEC BA Middle	5	4 G			4/2	1250		1	1			11.	\Box			Ш	П	Ш	П	П	Ш			Ъ	3	DD4	30	00.0, 353.2	2, 36	5.4, and
3	TEL BA Outlet	5	L G			4/2	1255			1	Ш		V				1	1	1 1	1	1	11		(6	di	40	Hexav	alent Chro	omiu	m need to be
4										\perp	Ш		Ш	\perp								Ш	_				\perp	logge	ed as a lea	acha	te sample
5						-	-	┺	┖	\perp	Н	4	Н	4	_		Н	4	4	4	L	\sqcup	_	4	\perp	+	╀	ļ	using	line	2
6						-			┡	\perp	Н	4	Н	4	1		Н	4	\perp	1	L	Н	4	+	+	\downarrow	+	-			
7			-	1		ļ		╀	╄	+	\vdash	4	\dashv	4	+	-	\vdash	+	+	+	L	Н	-	+	╀	+	+	-			
8			4	1		-	-	\vdash	╀	+	+	+	Н	4	+	-	H	+	+	╀	H	H	+	+	+	+	+	-			
9			+-	-	-	-		+	╀	+	H	+	H	+	+	1	H	+	+	╀	H	Н	+	+	+	+	╀	-		_	
10			-1-	-		-	-	+	╀	+	+	+	╫	+	+	-	H	+	+	╁	\vdash	H	+	+	╁	╁	╁	-		_	
11		-	+	-		-	_	+	╁	+	H	+	Н	+	+	1	H	+	╁	╁	┢	H	+	+	+	+	+			_	
12	ADDITIONAL COMMENTS	I B	FLINGL	JISHED BY	/ AFFILIAT	ION	DAT	E		TIME		_		ACC	EPTE	D BY	AFFI	LIATI	ON	_	H	DATE	+	TIM	IE.	t		SAI	APLE COND	ОПО	NS
	B, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Mo, Ni, K, Si,	1800	16		341		4/2/	_		330			2 /	7/	,	750	١,,	_			1.		_		_	1,	1 7	F -/	TV	Т	7/
	q, Na, St, Ti, V, Zn Sb, Se, Tl	147	/	/ "	2/11		177	/ 1	1.0	,,,,		2-2	1	H	/	pu	_	_			9/	211	7/	157	2	Ť	1)	1	1	+	/
Receivin	g: Please note that the 300.0, 353.2, 365.4, and	-					-	_	╁		-	_		_							\vdash		+			+			+	+	
	ent Chromium need to be logged as a leachate sample e 2 of the profile	-					-	_	+		\dashv			_						_	┝		+			┿	_		+	+	
					F				_	_				_	-		-				L	_		_	_	+		-	1 2	+	
age 25					SAMPL	ER NAME				1			_		~		1	_			4	7	-		_	4	ŝ	lo be (N/	Seale (Y/N)		v Intac
25 of							ne of SAMF	_		160	nd	un	6	1.	tiv	1	DAT	F SI	gned		(ja		/		_	4	Temp in °C	Received on Ice (Y/N)	Custody Sealed Cooler (Y/N)		Samples Intact (Y/N)
of 2:						SIGNATUR	RE of SAME	LER	1	W	2	V					(MN	I/DD/	YY):	04	1/02/19					-	ď	5 O		S	



08/04/2011 Page: 1

Westar Energy, Inc. Attn: Stone Junod P.O. Box 889 Topeka, KS 66601

Date and Time Received: 07/14/2011 09:00

Continental File No.: 7701 Continental Order No.: 57218

Project ID: TEC

Purchase Auth: 901836

Dear Mr. Junod:

This laboratory report containing the samples indicated below, includes 15 pages for the analytical report, 1 page(s) for the chain of custody and/or analysis request, and 1 page(s) for the sample receipt form.

CAS LAB ID #	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE	DATE SAMPLED
11070963	TEC Fly Ash-SPLP	Liquid	7/13/2011
11070964	TEC Bottom Ash -SPLP	Liquid	7/13/2011

The Appendix and Quality Control sections are integral parts of this laboratory report and may contain important data qualifiers.

All results are reported on a wet weight basis unless otherwise stated.

Samples will be retained for 120 days unless Continental is otherwise notified.

Continental is accredited by the State of Kansas through the National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program (NELAP). The results contained in this report were obtained using Continental's Standard Operating Procedures. These procedures are in substantial compliance with the approved methods referenced and the standards published by NELAP unless otherwise noted in the Appendix and Quality Control sections of this report.

This report may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval from Continental Analytical Services, Inc.

Thank you for choosing Continental for this project. If you have any questions please contact me at (800)535-3076.

CONTINENTAL ANALYTICAL SERVICES, INC.

Clifford J. Baker Technical Manager Petra M. Craddock
Project Manager







Page: 2

Client: Westar Energy, Inc.

Attn: Stone Junod P.O. Box 889 Topeka, KS 66601 Date Reported: 08/04/2011
Date Received: 07/14/2011
Continental File No: 7701
Continental Order No: 57218

Lab Number: 11070963

Chloride

Fluoride

Sulfate

Nitrate, as N

Nitrite, as N

Chromium, Hexavalent

Nitrate/Nitrite, as N

Phosphorus, Total, as P

Sample Description: TEC Fly Ash-SPLP

Date Sampled: 07/13/2011

Time Sampled: 1420

Dilution

1.0

1.0

1.0

1.0

1.0

1.0

1.0

0

Concentration Units Factor LOO Analysis Aluminum, Tot. Rec., ICP-MS μg/L 1.0 0.03 83400 Antimony, Tot. Rec., ICP-MS 1.0 5 ND(5) μg/L Arsenic, Total, ICP 1.0 5 ND(5) μg/L Barium, Total, ICP 1.0 0.10 6980 μg/L Beryllium, Total, ICP 1.0 ND(2) μg/L Boron, Total, ICP ND (500) 1.0 500 μq/L Cadmium, Total, ICP 1.0 ND(2)μg/L 2 1.0 0.5 Calcium, Total, ICP 206 mg/L Chromium, Total, ICP 92 $\mu q/L$ 1.0 5 Cobalt, Total, ICP ND(2) μg/L 1.0 2 Copper, Total, ICP 1.0 10 ND(10) μg/L Final pH, SPLP Extract Std. units 1.0 11.3 Iron, Total, ICP 1.0 0.10 ND(0.10)mq/L ND(5) 1.0 3 Lead, Total, ICP μg/L 1.0 0.1 Magnesium, Total, ICP ND(0.1)mg/L 1.0 5 Manganese, Total, ICP ND(5) μg/L Mercury, Total ND(0.2) 1.0 0.2 μg/L Molybdenum, Total, ICP 5 1.0 110. μg/L Nickel, Total, ICP ND (5) μg/L 1.0 5 Potassium, Dissolved, ICP 0.9 B mg/L 1.0 0.3 Selenium, Tot. Rec., ICP-MS 1.0 5 10. μg/L 1.04 BS 0.16 Silicon as Silica 1.0 0.04 mg/L Silver, Total, ICP 1.0 5 ND(5) $\mu g/L$ Sodium, Dissolved, ICP 13.9 BS 2.6 1.0 0.5 mg/L 5 Strontium, Total, ICP 11900 μg/L 1.0 1.0 2 Thallium, Tot. Rec., ICP-MS ND(2) μg/L Titanium, Total, ICP 1.0 5 6 μg/L Vanadium, Total, ICP 10. $\mu q/L$ 1.0 5 Zinc, Total, ICP 15 . 1.0 10 μg/L

Date/Time Date/Time QC Inst.

Analysis Prepared Analyzed Batch Batch Analyst Method(s)

mg/L

mq/L

mg/L

mg/L

mq/L

mg/L

mg/L

mg/L

-Continued-

1.2

0.175

2.7 E QC

ND(0.1)

ND(0.1)

ND(0.1)

ND(0.2)

12.9



1.0

0.1

0.1

0.1

0.1

1.0

0

0.010



Page: 3

Client: Westar Energy, Inc.

Attn: Stone Junod P.O. Box 889 Topeka, KS 66601 Date Reported: 08/04/2011
Date Received: 07/14/2011
Continental File No: 7701
Continental Order No: 57218

	Date/Time		Date/Time		QC Datah	Inst.	Amalwat	Mathod (a)
Analysis	Prepared		Analyzed		Batch	Batch	Analysc	Method(s)
Aluminum, Tot. Rec., ICP-							JDL	6020A
Antimony, Tot. Rec., ICP-	-M07/21/11 12	200	07/21/11	1914	110721-3	4IP3202	JDL	6020A
Arsenic, Total, ICP	07/21/11 11						JDL	6010B
Barium, Total, ICP	07/21/11 11		- , - ,				JDL	6010B
Beryllium, Total, ICP	07/21/11 11	L30	07/25/11	1338	110721-1	3IP4206	JDL	6010B
Boron, Total, ICP	07/21/11 11	130	07/25/11	1338	110721-1	31P4206	JDL	6010B
Cadmium, Total, ICP	07/21/11 11						JDL	6010B
Calcium, Total, ICP	07/21/11 11	L30	07/25/11	1338	110721-1	31P4206	JDL	6010B
Chromium, Total, ICP	07/21/11 11						J DL	6010B
Cobalt, Total, ICP	07/21/11 11						JDL	6010B
Copper, Total, ICP	07/21/11 11						JDL	6010B
Final pH, SPLP Extract	N/A	-	07/20/11		110720-1		ADK	9040B
Iron, Total, ICP	07/21/11 11	130	, ,				JDI.	601 0B
Lead, Total, ICP	07/21/11 11						JDL	6010B
Magnesium, Total, ICP	07/21/11 11						JDL	6010B
Manganese, Total, ICP	07/21/11 11						JDL	6010B
Mercury, Total	07/21/11 11						JDL	7470A
Molybdenum, Total, ICP	07/21/11 11						JDL	6010B
Nickel, Total, ICP	07/21/11 11						JDL	6010B
Potassium, Dissolved, ICP							KMW	6010B
Selenium, Tot. Rec., ICP-							JDL	6020A
Silicon as Silica	07/22/11 12						KMW	6010B
Silver, Total, ICP	07/21/11 11						JDL	6010B
Sodium, Dissolved, ICP	07/22/11 12						KMW	6010B
Strontium, Total, ICP	07/21/11 11						JDL	6010B
Thallium, Tot. Rec., ICP-							JDL	6020A
Titanium, Total, ICP	07/21/11 11						JDL	6010B
Vanadium, Total, ICP	07/21/11 11						JDL	6010B
Zinc, Total, ICP	07/21/11 11						JDL	6010B
Chloride	N/A		07/23/11			1IC1202	MLL	300.0/9056A
Chromium, Hexavalent	N/A					110721-2		7196A (Modified
Fluoride	N/A		•		1IC2213		MLL	300.0/9056A
Nitrate, as N	N/A		07/21/11			1IC1202	MLL	300.0/9056A
Nitrate/Nitrite, as N	N/A		07/26/11		11011		****	Calc.
Nitrite, as N	N/A		07/20/11		1701202	11C1202	MLL	300.0/9056A
Phosphorus, Total, as P	N/A					110721-3		SM 4500-P(B&F)
Sulfate	N/A		07/21/11			1IC1202	MLL	300.0/9056A
ICP Metals Total Preparat	•		0,,22,		110111	110111	l'illian	3010A
Dissolved Metals Preparat				•				3005A
Mercury Total Preparation								7470A
								/ 1 / 1/11

Conclusion of Lab Number: 11070963





Page: 4

Client:

Westar Energy, Inc. Attn: Stone Junod P.O. Box 889 Topeka, KS 66601

Date Reported: 08/04/2011 Date Received: 07/14/2011 Continental File No: 7701 Continental Order No: 57218

Lab Number: 11070964

Sample Description: TEC Bottom Ash -SPLP

Date Sampled: 07/13/2011

Time Sampled: 1430

		4		•	
Analysis			Dilut		
	Concentra		_	_ 	
Aluminum, Tot. Rec., ICP		μg/I		0.03	
Antimony, Tot. Rec., ICP		μg/I		5	
Arsenic, Total, ICP	ND (5)	μg/I		5	
Barium, Total, ICP	546	μg/I		0.10	
Beryllium, Total, ICP	ND (2)	μg/I		2	
Boron, Total, ICP	900	μg/I		500	
Cadmium, Total, ICP	ND (2)	μg/I		2	
Calcium, Total, ICP	87.1	mg/I		0.5	
Chromium, Total, ICP	16	μg/I		5	
Cobalt, Total, ICP	ND (2)	μg/I		2	
Copper, Total, ICP	ND (10)	μ g/I		10	
Final pH, SPLP Extract	10.4		units 1.0		
Iron, Total, ICP	ND(0.10)) mg/I	1.0	0.10	
Lead, Total, ICP	ND (5)	μg/I	1.0	3	
Magnesium, Total, ICP	0.3	mg/I		0.1	
Manganese, Total, ICP	ND (5)	μg/I	1.0	5	
Mercury, Total	ND(0.2)	μg/I	1.0	0.2	
Molybdenum, Total, ICP	12	μg/I	1.0	5	
Nickel, Total, ICP	ND (5)	μg/I	1.0	5	
Potassium, Dissolved, ICE		mg/I	1.0	0.3	
Selenium, Tot. Rec., ICP-	MS ND(5)	μ g/I	1.0	5	
Silicon as Silica	3.48	mg/I	1.0	0.04	
Silver, Total, ICP	ND (5)	μg/I	1.0	5	
Sodium, Dissolved, ICP	6.0 BS 2	2.6 mg/L	1.0	0.5	
Strontium, Total, ICP	1360	μg/L	1.0	5	
Thallium, Tot. Rec., ICP-	MS ND(2)	μg/L	1.0	2	
Titanium, Total, ICP	ND (5)	μg/L	1.0	5	
Vanadium, Total, ICP	51	μg/L	1.0	5	
Zinc, Total, ICP	15	μg/L	1.0	10	
Chloride Chloride	1.9	mg/L	1.0	1.0	
Chromium, Hexavalent	0.018	mg/L	1.0	0.010	
Fluoride	0.1	mg/L	1.0	0.1	
Nitrate, as N	0.1	mg/L	1.0	0.1	
Nitrate/Nitrite, as N	0.1	mg/L	1.0	0.1	
Nitrite, as N	ND(0.1)	mg/L	1.0	0.1	
Phosphorus, Total, as P	ND(0.2)	mg/L		0	
Sulfate	148	mg/L	10	10	
		<u>-</u> -			
	Date/Time	Date/Time	QC Inst.		
<u>Analysis</u>	Prepared		Batch Batch	Analyst Method	d(s)
					 \ - /

-Continued-



Page: 5

Client: Westar Energy, Inc.

Attn: Stone Junod P.O. Box 889 Topeka, KS 66601 Date Reported: 08/04/2011
Date Received: 07/14/2011
Continental File No: 7701
Continental Order No: 57218

Analysis	Date/Time Prepared	Date/Time	QC Patab	Inst. Batch	11at	**
WIGTAGE	Prepared	Analyzed	Batch	Batten	Anaryst	Method(s)
Aluminum, Tot. Rec., ICP-					JDL	6020A
Antimony, Tot. Rec., ICP-					JDL	6020A
Arsenic, Total, ICP		07/25/11 1343			JDL	6010B
Barium, Total, ICP		07/26/11 1811			DDT .	6010B
Beryllium, Total, ICP		07/25/11 1343			JDL	6010B
Boron, Total, ICP		07/26/11 1811			JDL	6010B
Cadmium, Total, ICP		07/25/11 1343			JDL	6010B
Calcium, Total, ICP		07/26/11 1811			JDL	6010B
Chromium, Total, ICP		07/25/11 1343			$\mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{D} \mathfrak{L}$	6010B
Cobalt, Total, ICP		07/25/11 1343			JDL	6010B
Copper, Total, ICP		07/25/11 1343			JDL	6010B
Final pH, SPLP Extract	N/A	07/20/11	110720-1		ADK	9040B
Iron, Total, ICP	07/21/11 1130	07/25/11 1343			JDL	6010B
Lead, Total, ICP		07/28/11 1355			JDL	6010B
Magnesium, Total, ICP		07/25/11 1343			JDL	6010B
Manganese, Total, ICP	07/21/11 1130	07/25/11 1343	110721-1	3IP4206	JDL	6010B
Mercury, Total		07/22/11 1828			JDL	7470A
Molybdenum, Total, ICP		07/26/11 1811			JDL	6010B
Nickel, Total, ICP		07/25/11 1343			JDL	6010B
Potassium, Dissolved, ICP	07/22/11 1252	08/02/11 2008	110722-5	5IP4214	KMW	6010B
Selenium, Tot. Rec., ICP-	M07/21/11 1200	07/21/11 1941	110721-3	4IP3202	JDL	6020A
Silicon as Silica		08/01/11 1633			KMW	6010B
Silver, Total, ICP		07/25/11 1343			JDL	6010B
Sodium, Dissolved, ICP		08/02/11 2008			KMW	6010B
Strontium, Total, ICP		07/28/11 1355			JDL	6010B
Thallium, Tot. Rec., ICP-	M07/21/11 1200	07/21/11 1941	110721-3	4TP3202	JDL	6020A
Titanium, Total, ICP	07/21/11 1130	07/25/11 1343	110721-1	3TP4206	JDL	6010B
Vanadium, Total, ICP		07/26/11 1811			JDL	6010B
Zinc, Total, ICP		07/25/11 1343			JDL	6010B
Chloride	N/A	07/21/11 1229		1IC1202	MLL	300.0/9056A
Chromium, Hexavalent	N/A	07/21/11 1107			JND	7196A (Modified)
Fluoride	N/A	07/26/11 2229		3IC2207	MLL	300.0/9056A
Nitrate, as N	N/A	07/21/11 1229		1IC1202	MLL	300.0/9056A
Nitrate/Nitrite, as N	N/A	07/26/11	1101202	1101202	1,3777	Calc.
Nitrite, as N	N/A	07/21/11 1229	1TC1202	1IC1202	MLL	300.0/9056A
Phosphorus, Total, as P	N/A	07/21/11 1423				SM 4500-P(B&F) (M
Sulfate	N/A	07/21/11 1425		2IC1202	MLL	300.0/9056A
ICP Metals Total Preparat:		V1/24/11 13-13	TICIZOZ	2101204	MILL	3010A
Dissolved Metals Preparat:						
Mercury Total Preparation						3005A
Total Recoverable Metals I		-hod				7470A
**************************************	reparacion nec	.1100				3005A

Conclusion of Lab Number: 11070964





APPENDIX

Page: 6

Client:

Westar Energy, Inc. Attn: Stone Junod

P.O. Box 889 Topeka, KS 66601 Date Reported: 08/04/2011
Date Received: 07/14/2011
Continental File No: 7701
Continental Order No: 57218

ND indicates not detected with the LOQ (Limit of Quantitation) in parentheses. The LOQ value has been adjusted for the dilution factor and percent solids, as applicable. Due to rounding of significant figures, the LOQ value may vary slightly from the reported concentration. The LOQ is the lowest concentration of the analytical standard that was used for calibrating the instrument. If an analytical standard is analyzed at the LOQ, an error of as much as +/- 50% can be expected.

Not all samples were received at a temperature of less than 6 degrees Celsius. Refer to the enclosed Cooler/Sample Receipt Form(s) for the affected cooler(s) and sample(s).

The following table presents the date and time sampled, the date and time analyzed, and the total time elapsed for each analysis with an EPA recommended holding time of seventy-two hours or less.

		DATE/TIME	DATE/TIME	ELAPSED
CAS LAB ID #	AN ALY SIS	SAMPLED	ANALYZED	HRS:MIN
11070963	Chromium, Hexavalent	07/13/2011 1420	07/21/2011 1107	188:47
11070963	Nitrate, as N	07/13/2011 1420	07/21/2011 1215	189:55
11070963	Nitrite, as N	07/13/2011 1420	07/21/2011 1215	189:55
11070964	Chromium, Hexavalent	07/13/2011 1430	07/21/2011 1107	188:37
11070964	Nitrate, as N	07/13/2011 1430	07/21/2011 1229	189:59
11070964	Nitrite, as N	07/13/2011 1430	07/21/2011 1229	189:59

- B Analyte is also present in the method blank or load blank at the concentration indicated either to the right of the letter B and/or in the enclosed Quality Control Report. The reported sample concentration has not been blank corrected.
- BS This analyte was detected in a blank from the SPLP or TCLP procedure at the concentration indicated to the right of the qualifier. The sample result has not been blank corrected. The analytical method blank can be found in the QC report.
- E Concentration or reporting limit is an estimated value. Matrix interferences and/or sample heterogeneity were noted at the time of sample analysis.
- QC QC data qualifiers were noted. See the Quality Control Report.





Date: 08/04/2011

Page: 8

Continental Analytical Services, Inc. Accreditation Summary Report

Client: Westar Energy, Inc. CAS Order Number: 57218

NELAP accreditation is issued under each EPA regulatory program for a given matrix/analyte/method combination. Continental is NELAP accredited for each matrix/analyte/method and EPA program cited in this Laboratory Report, except for those listed in the table below and analysis performed in the field. For most of the analyses listed in the table, NELAP accreditation is not offered under the listed EPA program and Continental is NELAP accredited for the analysis, using the same analytical technology, but under a different EPA program. Continental's full NELAP accreditation status may be viewed at www.kdheks.gov/envlab. Note that unless qualified otherwise in the Laboratory Report, Continental performs all analyses, including each analysis listed in the table below, utilizing NELAP protocol.

			Matrix-		Accredited
			Regulatory		in Other
<u>Analysis</u>			Program	Method	Reg. Program
Phosphorus, Tota	ıl, as	P	L-RCRA	SM 4500-P(B&F)(M)	Y
SPLP Prep			L-RCRA		N
	Phosphorus, Tota	Phosphorus, Total, as	Phosphorus, Total, as P	Analysis Phosphorus, Total, as P Regulatory L-RCRA	Regulatory Analysis Phosphorus, Total, as P Regulatory Program L-RCRA Method SM 4500-P(B&F) (M)

CAS NELAP



Client: Westar Energy, Inc. Attn: Stone Junod P.O. Box 889

P.O. Box 889 Topeka, KS 66601 Quality Control Report
Batch Summary

Page: 9

Date Reported: 08/04/2011 Date Received: 07/14/2011 Continental File No: 7701 Continental Order No: 57218

Test	Testname	QC Batch	Method Blank	LCS	MS Lab No.
SL470	Final pH, SPLP Extract	110720-1	110720BLK1	110720LCS1	
SL602	SPLP Prep	110720-1	110720BLK1		
	bers associated with this batch: 3 11070964				,
SL802	Arsenic, Total, ICP	110721-1	110721BLK1	110721LCS1	11070964MS
SL303	Barium, Total, ICP	110721-1	110721BLK1	110721LCS1	1107096 4MS
\$L304	Beryllium, Total, ICP	110721-1	110721BLK1	110721LCS1	11070964MS
SL305	Boron, Total, ICP	110721-1	110721BLK1	110721LCS1	11070964MS
SL306	Cadmium, Total, ICP	110721-1	110721BLK1	110721LCS1	11070964MS
\$L307	Calcium, Total, ICP	110721-1	110721BLK1	110721LCS1	11070964MS
\$L308	Chromium, Total, ICP	110721-1	110721BLK1	110721LCS1	11070964MS
SL309	Cobalt, Total, ICP	110721-1	110721BLK1	110721LCS1	11070964 MS
SL313	Copper, Total, ICP	110721-1	110721BLK1	110721LCS1	11070964MS
SL326	Iron, Total, ICP	110721-1	110721BLK1	110721LCS1	11070964MS
SL311	Lead, Total, ICP	110721-1	110721BLK1	110721LCS1	11070964MS
SL331	Magnesium, Total, ICP	110721-1	110721BLK1	110721LCS1	11070964MS
SL332	Manganese, Total, ICP	110721-1	110721BLK1		11070964MS
SL333	Mercury, Total			110721LCS1	
SL334	Molybdenum, Total, ICP	110721-1 110721-1	110721BLK1	110721LCS1 110721LCS1	11070963MS
SL336	Nickel, Total, ICP		110721BLK1 110721BLK1		11070964MS
SL353	Silver, Total, ICP	110721-1		110721LCS1	11070964MS
SL357	Strontium, Total, ICP	110721-1	110721BLK1	110721LCS1	11070964MS
SL366	Titanium, Total, ICP	110721-1	110721BLK1	110721LCS1	11070964MS
SL368	Vanadium, Total, ICP	110721-1	110721BLK1	110721LCS1	11070964MS
SL369	Zinc, Total, TCP	110721-1 110721-1	110721BLK1	110721LCS1	11070964MS
Lab num	bers associated with this batch: 3 11070964	110,21-1	110721BLK1	110721LCS1	11070964MS
71.000	all to be the second			4	
SLOOO	Aluminum, Tot. Rec., ICP-MS	110721-3	110721BLK3	110721LCS3	11070963MS
SL001	Antimony, Tot. Rec., ICP-MS	110721-3	110721BLK3	110721LC\$3	11070963MS
SL023	Selenium, Tot. Rec., ICP-MS	110721-3	110721BLK3	110721LCS3	11070963MS
	Thallium, Tot. Rec., ICP-MS bers associated with this batch: 3 11070964	110721-3	110721BLK3	110721LCS3	11070963MS
	Silicon as Silica bers associated with this batch: 3 11070964	110722-3	110722BLK3	110722LCS3	11070964MS
SL242	Potassium, Dissolved, ICP	110722-5	110722BLK5	110722LCS5	11070964MS
SL255 Lab numl	Sodium, Dissolved, ICP pers associated with this batch: 3 11070964	110722-5	110722BLK5	110722LCS5	11070964MS





Quality Control Report Batch Summary Page: 10

Date Reported: 08/04/2011 Date Received: 07/14/2011 Continental File No: 7701 Continental Order No: 57218

Client: Westar Energy, Inc.
Attn: Stone Junod
P.O. Box 889
Topeka, KS 66601

Test	Testname	QC Batch	Method Blank	LCS	MS Lab No.	
	Chloride ers associated with this batch: 11070964	11C1202	BLK11C1202	LCS1IC1202	11071334MS	,
	Chromium, Hexavalent ers associated with this batch: 11070964	110721-1	110721BLK1	110721LCS1	11071608MS	
GL501 Lab numb	Fluoride ers associated with this batch:	11C2207	BLK11C2207	LCS1IC2207		
GL501 Lab numb 11070963	Fluoride ers associated with this batch:	11C2213	BLK1IC2213	LCS1IC2213		
	Nitrate, as N ers associated with this batch: 11070964	11C1202	BLK1IC1202	LCS1IC1202		NA - 440-26 - Print, 180-26 - 1, 121-11
	Nitrate/Nitrite, as N ers associated with this batch: 11070964					
Lab numb	Nitrite, as N ers associated with this batch: 11070964	1IC1202	BLK11C1202	LCS1IC1202		
iab numb	Phosphorus, Total, as P ers associated with this batch: 11070964	110721-2	110721BLK2	110721LCS2	11071101MS	
	Sulfate ers associated with this batch: 11070964	1IC1202	BLK11C1202	LCS1IC1202		



Method Blank, LCS, MS/MSD Data

Client: Westar Energy, Inc.

Attn: Stone Junod P.O. Box 889 Topeka, KS 66601

Page: 11

Date Reported: 08/04/2011 Date Received: 07/14/2011 Continental File No: 7701 Continental Order No: 57218

	Blank %	Rec		Spike	1	-	Sample		Spike			l Sample ion Data
Analysis	Data	LCS	Limits	-	Units		MSD	Limits	Level	Units	RPD	Limit
2C Batch: 110721-1	For samples	prepare	ed on: 07/21	/2011		Spiked	sample: 1	1070963				
fercury, Total	NID (0.2)	89.1	80.0-120	5.0	$\mu g/L$	90.2	91.9	80.0-120	5.0	μg/L	1.9	20.0
C Batch: 110721-1	For samples	prepare	nd on: 07/21	/2011		Spiked	sample: I	.1070964				n
arsenic, Total, ICP	NTD (5)	96.5	80.0-120	500	μg/L	98.6	97.1	80.0-120	500	$\mu g/L$	1.5	20.0
Sarium, Total, ICP	ND (5)	98.0	80.0-120	1500	μg/L	101	110.	80.0-120	1500	$\mu g/L$	8.5	20.0
Seryllium, Total, ICP	ND(2)	96.9	80.0-120	500	μg/L	104	103	80.0-120	500	μg/L	1.0	20.0
Boron, Total, ICP	ND (500)	96.3	80.0-120	500	μg/L	91.3	89.4	80.0-120	500	μg/L	2.1	20.0
Cadmium, Total, ICP	ND(2)	95.6	80.0-120	500	μg/L	95.8	94.4	80.0-120	500	$\mu g/L$	1.5	20.0
Calcium, Total, ICP	ND (0.5)	97.7	80.0-120	51.0	mg/L	93.0	110.	80.0-120	51.0	mg/L	16.7	20.0
hromium, Total, ICP	ND (5)	95.2	80.0-120	500	μg/L	95.3	94.4	80.0-120	500	$\mu g/L$	0.9	20.0
obalt, Total, ICP	ND(2)	94.8	80.0-120	500	μg/L	94.6	92.8	80.0-120	500	μg/L	1.9	20.0
Copper, Total, ICP	ND (10)	97.0	80.0-120	500	μg/L	99.4	98.3	80.0-120	500	μg/L	1,1	20.0
ron, Total, ICP	NTD (0.10)	93.1	80.0-120	20.5	mg/L	101	101	80.0-120	20.5	mg/L	0.0	20.0
ead, Total, ICP	ND(5)	95.1	80.0-120	500	μg/L	96.2	94.9	80.0-120	500	µg/L	1.4	20.0
(agnesium, Total, ICP	ND(0.1)	91.3	80.0-120	51.0	mg/L	98.0	98.0	80.0-120	51.0	mg/L	0.0	20.0
anganese, Total, ICP	ND(5)	97.1	80.0-120	500	μg/L	98.0	96.7	80.0-120	500	μg/L	1.3	20.0
olybdenum, Total, ICP	ND (5)	97.5	80.0-120	500	μg/L	98.1	97.6	80.0-120	500	μg/L	0.5	20.0
Wickel, Total, ICP	ND (5)	94.6	80.0-120	500	μg/L	94.6	93.1	80.0-120	500	μg/L	1.6	20.0
Silver, Total, ICP	ND (5)	95.0	80.0-120	100	μg/L	96.9	95.5	80.0-120	100	μg/L	1.5	20.0
Strontium, Total, ICP	ND (5)	107	80.0-120	100	μg/L	I	I	80.0-120	100	μg/L	**	20.0
ritanium, Total, ICP	ND (5)	99.9	80.0-120	500	μg/L	102	101	80.0-120	500	μg/L	1.0	20.0
/anadium, Total, ICP	NTD (5)	95.2	80.0-120	500	μg/L	95.4	94.6	80.0-120	500	μg/L	0.8	20.0
Zinc, Total, ICP	ND (10)	92.9	80.0-120	500	μg/L	90.7	89.9	80.0-120	500	μg/L	0.9	20.0
OC Batch: 110721-1	For sample	analyze	1 on: 07/21/	2011		Spiked	sample: 1	1071608	.			···
Chromium, Hexavalent	ND(0.010)	99.9	90.0-110	0.50	mg/L	MIN	MN	85.0-115	0.50	mg/L	**	20.0
C Batch: 110721-2	For sample	analyze	1 on: 07/21/	2011		Spiked	sample: 3	1071101				
Phosphorus, Total, as P	ND (0.20)	96.6	90.0-110	1.0	mg/L	MN	MN	71.2-135	1.0	mg/L	**	21.2
C Batch: 110721-3	For samples	prepare	ed on: 07/21	/2011		Spiked	sample: 3	1070963		•		
luminum, Tot. Rec., ICP-MS	20 J	99.6	85.0-115	51000	μg/L	104	101	80.0-120	51000	$\mu g/L$	2.9	20.0
Aluminum, Tot. Rec., ICP-MS	ND (30)	104	85.0-115	51000	μg/L			80.0-120			**	20.0
Antimony, Tot. Rec., ICP-MS	ND(5)	94.7	85.0-115	500	μg/L	94.1	93.6	80.0-120	500	μg/L	0.5	20.0
Selenium, Tot. Rec., ICP-MS	NTD(5)	102	85.0-115	500	μg/ъ	99.1	98.4	80.0-120	500	μg/L	0.7	20.0
Thallium, Tot. Rec., ICP-MS	ND (2)	101	85.0-115	500	μg/L	97.6	103	80.0-120	500	μg/L	5.4	20.0
C Batch: 110722-3	For samples	prepare	ed on: 07/22	/2011		Spiked	sample:	1070964				
Silicon as Silica	ND (0.04)	97.0	80.0-120	1.1	mg/L	86.5	86.3	80.0-120	1.1	mg/L	0.2	20.0
C Batch: 110722-5	For samples	prepar	ed on: 07/22	/2011		Spiked	gample: 3	1070964				
otassium, Dissolved, ICP	0.7 BK	106	85.0-115	14.5	mg/L	107	108	80.0-120	14.5	mg/L	0.9	20.0
Sodium, Dissolved, ICP	1.5 BK	106	85.0-115	27.5	mg/L	105	106	80.0-120	27.5	mg/L	0.9	20.0
C Batch: 1IC1202	For sample	analyze	d on: 07/21/	2011		Spiked	sample:					
Nitrite, as N	ND(0.1)	96.1	90.0-110	2.0	mg/L	MN	MIN	78.5-127			**	10.1
Mitrate, as N	ND (0.1)	96.7	90.0-110	2.0	mg/L	MIN	MIN	79.3-118			**	12.1
Sulfate	ND (1.0)	101	90.0-110	8.0	mg/L	MN	MIN	81.8-125			**	10.4
QC Batch: 1IC1202	For sample	analyze	1 on: 07/21/	2011	•	Spiked	sample: 1	1071334				
												12.5

Quality Control Report
Method Blank, LCS, MS/MSD Data

Client: Westar Energy, Inc.

Attn: Stone Junod P.O. Box 889 Topeka, KS 66601 Page: 12

Date Reported: 08/04/2011 Date Received: 07/14/2011 Continental File No: 7701 Continental Order No: 57218

	Blank	% Rec	y S		Spike		Sample overy)		Spike		Spiked : Precisio		
Analysis	Data	LCS	Limits	Level	Units	MS	MSD	Limits	Level	Units	RPD	Limit	
QC Batch: 1IC2207	For sampl	e analyze	d on: 07/26,	/2011		Spiked :	sample:						
Fluoride	NID (0.1)	104	90.0-110	2.0	mg/L	MN	MIN	67.3-113			**	9.8	
QC Batch: 1IC2213	For sampl	e analyze	d on: 08/01,	/2011		Spiked :	sample:						
Fluorida	ND (0.1)	92.4	90.0-110	2.0	mg/L	MN	MIN	67.3-113			**	9.8	

Data Oualifiers:

- I Due to the concentration of analyte in the sample, the spike level is too low to allow accurate quantification of the spike recovery.
- MN The MS/MSD sample analyses were not performed on a sample from this Continental order number.
- J The concentration or not detected (ND) value is below the Limit of Quantitation (LOQ) and is considered an estimated value.
- BK This analyte did not meet method blank criteria. The associated sample results may be estimated.
- ** RPD cannot be calculated.



Quality Control Report

Continuing Calibration Verification Data Summary

Client: Westar Energy, Inc.
Attn: Stone Junod

P.O. Box 889 Topeka, KS 66601 Page: 13

Date Reported: 08/04/2011 Date Received: 07/14/2011 Continental File No: 7701 Continental Order No: 57218

	Date of	Instrument	Amount in	Amount		Perc	ent
Analysis	Analysis	Batch ID	Standard	Detected	<u>Units</u>	Reco	very
Aluminum, Tot. Rec., ICP-MS	08/02/2011	2IP3214	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument	Batch.
Aluminum, Tot. Rec., ICP-MS	08/02/2011	31P3214	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument	Batch.
Aluminum, Tot. Rec., ICP-MS	08/02/2011	4IP3214	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument	Batch.
Antimony, Tot. Rec., ICP-MS	07/21/20 11	41P3202	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument	Batch.
Antimony, Tot. Rec., ICP-MS	07/21/2011	51P3202	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument	Batch.
Arsenic, Total, ICP	07/25/2011	31P4206	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument	Batch.
Arsenic, Total, ICP	07/25/2011	4IP4206	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument	Batch,
Barium, Total, ICP	07/25/2011	3IP4206	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument	Batch.
Barium, Total, ICP	07/25/2011	4IP4206	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument	Batch.
Barium, Total, ICP	07/26/2011	41P4207	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument	Batch.
Barium, Total, ICP	07/26/2011	51P4207	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument	Batch.
Beryllium, Total, ICP	07/25/2011	3IP4206	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument	Batch.
Beryllium, Total, ICP	07/25/2011	4IP4206	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument	Batch.
Boron, Total, ICP	07/25/2011	3IP4206	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument	Batch.
Boron, Total, ICP	07/25/2011	41P4206	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument	Batch.
Boron, Total, ICP	07/26/2011	4IP4207	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument	Batch.
Boron, Total, ICP	07/26/2011	5IP4207	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument	Batch.
Cadmium, Total, ICP	07/25/2011	3IP4206	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument	Batch.
Cadmium, Total, ICP	07/25/2011	41P4206	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument	Batch.
Calcium, Total, ICP	07/25/2011	31P4206	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument	Batch.
Calcium, Total, ICP	07/25/2011	4IP4206	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument	Batch.
Calcium, Total, ICP	07/26/2011	4IP4207	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument	Batch.
Calcium, Total, ICP	07/26/2011	5IP4207	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument	Batch.
Chromium, Total, ICP	07/25/2011	31P4206	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument	Batch.
Chromium, Total, ICP	07/25/2011	4IP4206	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument	Batch.
Cobalt, Total, ICP	07/25/2011	3IP4206	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument	Batch.
Cobalt, Total, ICP	07/25/2011	41P4206	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument	Batch.
Copper, Total, ICP	07/25/2011	31P4206	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument	Batch.
Copper, Total, ICP	07/25/2011	41P4206	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument	Batch.
Chromium, Hexavalent	07/21/2011	110721-2	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument	Batch.
Chromium, Hexavalent	07/21/2011	110721-3	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument	Batch.
Phosphorus, Total, as P	07/21/2011	110721-3	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument	Batch.
Phosphorus, Total, as P	07/21/2011	110721-4	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument	Batch.
Fluoride	07/26/2011	3IC2207	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument	Batch.
Fluoride	07/26/2011	4IC2207	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument	Batch.
Fluoride	08/01/2011	1IC2213	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument	Batch.
Fluoride	08/01/2011	2IC2213	2.00	1.70	mg/L	85.0	CL
Samples associat	ed with this	Continuing Ca	alibration Ver	ification:			

Samples associated with this Continuing Calibration Verification:

Laboratory Number 11070963

Instrument Batch 1IC2213 Sample Description
TEC Fly Ash-SPLP

Date of Instrument Amount in Amount Percent Analysis Batch ID Analysis <u>Standard</u> Detected <u>Units</u> Recovery Chloride 07/21/2011 1IC1202 CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch.



Quality Control Report Continuing Calibration Verification Data Summary

Page: 14

Client: Westar Energy, Inc.
Attn: Stone Junod
P.O. Box 889
Topeka, KS 66601

Date Reported: 08/04/2011
Date Received: 07/14/2011
Continental File No: 7701
Continental Order No: 57218

Chloride	07/21/2011	2IC1202	CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch	
Nitrite, as N	07/21/2011	1IC1202	CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch	
Nitrite, as N	07/21/2011	2IC1202	CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch	
Nitrate, as N	07/21/2011	11C1202	CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch	
Nitrate, as N	07/21/2011	2IC1202	CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch	
Sulfate	07/21/2011	1IC1202	CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch	1.
Sulfate	0 7/21/2011	2IC1202	CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch	1.
Sulfate	07/21/2011	3IC1202	CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch	1.
Iron, Total, ICP	07/25/2011	31P4206	CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch	1.
Iron, Total, ICP	07/25/2011	4IP4206	CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch	1.
Lead, Total, ICP	07/28/2011	4IP4209	CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch	1.
Lead, Total, ICP	07/28/2011	5IP4209	CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch	1.
Magnesium, Total, ICP	07/25/2011	31P4206	CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch	1.
Magnesium, Total, ICP	07/25/2011	4IP4206	CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch	1.
Manganese, Total, ICP	07/25/2011	31P4206	CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch	ì.
Manganese, Total, ICP	07/25/2011	41P4206	CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch	1.
Mercury, Total	07/22/2011	3MA3203	CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch	1.
Mercury, Total	07/22/2011	4MA3203	CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch	ı.
Mercury, Total	07/22/2011	5MA3203	CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch	1.
Molybdenum, Total, ICP	07/26/2011	41P4207	CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch	١.
Molybdenum, Total, ICP	07/26/2011	5IP4207	CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch	1.
Nickel, Total, ICP	07/25/2011	3IP4206	CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch	١.
Nickel, Total, ICP	07/25/2011	4IP4206	CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch	١.
Potassium, Dissolved, ICP	08/02/2011	4IP4214	CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch	1.
Potassium, Dissolved, ICP	08/02/2011	5IP4214	CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch	1.
Potassium, Dissolved, ICP	08/02/2011	6IP4214	CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch	1.
Selenium, Tot. Rec., ICP-MS	07/21/2011	41P3202	CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch	1.
Selenium, Tot. Rec., ICP-MS	07/21/2011	51P3202	CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch	1.
Silicon as Silica	08/01/2011	3IP4213	CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch	1.
Silicon as Silica	08/01/2011	4IP4213	CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch	ı.
Silver, Total, ICP	07/25/2011	3IP4206	CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch	
Silver, Total, ICP	07/25/2011	4IP4206	CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch	1.
Sodium, Dissolved, ICP	08/02/2011	41P4214	CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch	
Sodium, Dissolved, ICP	08/02/2011	5IP4214	CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch	
Sodium, Dissolved, ICP	08/02/2011	61P4214	CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch	
Strontium, Total, ICP	07/28/2011	41P4209	CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch	
Strontium, Total, ICP	07/28/2011	5IP4209	CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch	
Thallium, Tot. Rec., ICP-MS	07/21/2011	4IP3202	CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch	
Thallium, Tot. Rec., ICP-MS	07/21/2011	5IP3202	CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch	
Titanium, Total, ICP	07/25/2011	3IP4206	CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch	
Titanium, Total, ICP	07/25/2011	4IP4206		
Vanadium, Total, ICP	07/25/2011	31P4206	CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch	
Vanadium, Total, ICP	07/25/2011	4IP4206	CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch	
Vanadium, Total, ICP	07/26/2011	41P4206 41P4207	CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch	
Vanadium, Total, ICP			CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch	
tomoradally accept, the	07/26/2011	5IP4207	CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch	•



Quality Control Report Continuing Calibration Verification Data Summary

Page: 15

Client: Westar Energy, Inc.

Attn: Stone Junod P.O. Box 889 Topeka, KS 66601 Date Reported: 08/04/2011 Date Received: 07/14/2011 Continental File No: 7701 Continental Order No: 57218

Zinc, Total, ICP Zinc, Total, ICP 07/25/2011 07/25/2011 3IP4206 4IP4206 ${\tt CCV}$ recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch.

CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch.

Data Qualifiers:

CL - The continuing calibration verification (CCV) standard recovery for this analyte was below the method or SOP limit. The reported concentration for this analyte may be biased low.

- Laboratory Report Conclusion -



525 N. 8th Street, Salina, KS 67401 (785)827-1273 (800)535-3076 Fax (785)823-7830 www.cas-lab.com

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD Continental Order Number:

Client/Reporting Information					I	nvoice	Infor	natio	מ					PARAMETERS/CONTAINER TYPE C						COMME	NTS			
Company Name				Company Name											T	Γ	T	Ι''''	Τ		T		 	
Westar Energy Address:				Westar Energ	gy												l			1				
818 SOUTH KANSAS AV	ENU E			Address. 818 SOUTH	KANSAS	2 A 1/1	anii ii	E									Ì							i
City	State:	Zip [.]		City:	KANSAS) A V I	ENUI	Stat	e·		Zip [.]		-							1			ŀ	
TOPEKA	KS	66601		ТОРЕКА				KS 66601				<u> خ</u>						l						
Contact:				Contact:		-			7					615	-			ł						
STONE JUNOD				DICK FINGER					h					s 10										İ
E-mail:				E-mail:										je a					1					ŀ
stone junod@westarenergy	com			dick finger a	westarene	теу.с	om							san										
Phone Number:	Fax Numb			Phone Number:					Numb			_		ist (•		
785-575-6435	785-575			785-575-6517	<u></u>				-575-					SPLP list (same as 10615)						ľ				ı
Sampler's Name:(Printed)	nnoel	Samplers	Name:(Signat	ure)	V	Purch	ase O	rder l	Vumb	er: 90	1836			SPI									1	
Project Number:	Project Name.	(A)		~ <u>/</u>		┿		Nı	mber of	Prese	n ed Bo	ules										ļ		
	Tecumseh Energy	Center				D Site	_ 5						NCINE							1	ľ			
CAMPINE TINENTHE	CATION	Matrix				Composi G-Grab	Total Contauner	Ξ	NaOH	HNO3	H2SO4	Na2S2O3	1 1		i		•							- 1
SAMPLE IDENTIFIC (30 Characters or		(Sample Type)	Regulatory Program	Date Sampled	Time Sampled	ٽ	٥		_] =	I	z.	OTIDER		[
TEC Fly Ash		s	D	7/12/2011	14.20								,,								<u> </u>			
		3	R	7/13/2011	14:20	С	1	<u> </u>	-		-		X	X	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ļ		ļ	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
TEC Bottom Ash		S	R	7/13/2011	14:30	C	1						X	X	L									
	į																							
								-								<u> </u>			 		 			
																			<u>L</u> .					
			:													-								
						$\vdash \vdash \vdash$							_			ļ		1		_		-1	t	
													ľ			09	114	///-	ر ا	ľΔ	ICK	Lu	All	
																Hee	100	7 .	In r	2 Mu	101	11/	dru	
							-	_	-								7	1 pm	CONTINU	,	-	J 10	0	
·																W (201	110	ihl	CAL	M	rha	<u>)</u>	
																la	5#	09	13	M	4			
																		0 /	<u> </u>	3	Me		4	
M-4-2-(C1-77													1							_ +		<u> </u>		
Matrix (Sample Type): DV	=Drinking Water,	GW=Gr	ound Water	, WW =Was	ste Water,		Wipe	,	S=So	lid/S	oil,	SL	=Slud	ge, A	=Air,	OL= Oil	Organic	Liquid,	O =Ot	her				
Regulatory Program. <u>N</u> =NP	DES, <u>R</u> =RCRA	, <u>D</u> =D	rinking Wat	er, <u>SL</u> =503	Sludge,	<u>O</u> =	Othe	г							Stand	Please note	f non-standa	rd turnarou	nd. Rush & FAT (5 work	Emergency:	subject to add	ditional char	ge)	
RELINCOISHED BY	· /	$\overline{\Lambda}$	····		DATE.			TIME	-	^	RECE	IVED	BY:		Julia	a u 17(1 17.	WOLKING ON	jsi Kusu	17.1 12 900	ang uars; n	DATE:	41 12 WORL	TIME:	
722	$\Delta_{\sim} d/$	/ (7.13	2_	//	16	(:3	(1)														
RELINQUISHED BY	ELINQUISHED BY				DATE:	<u>, ر</u>	' 	/ C	<u>, </u>	$\stackrel{\sim}{\dashv}$	RECE	IVED	BY								DATE.		TIME.	
																				j	DATE.		I LIVIE.	
RECEIVED AT LAB BY	v				DATE			-P11																
	1-1				DATE.	12	- 1	TIME		- 1	SHIPP		lA.								SEAL #			
makustafus					J-14.	Y~1) 9:00 AIRBILL.					SEAL DATE.													

Continental Analytical Services Cooler/Samp	le R	Receipt Form CAS Order No. 57218
Client Name: Wartar		CAS File No.:
Sample ID's in cooler: Sec CoC		,
Cooler Identification: GAS Cooler #		
Cooler Identification: CAS Cooler #:Other:	<u> </u>	_/Client's Coolet/Box/Letter/Hand Delivered
Date/Time Cooler Received:////	ے	<u> :00</u>
		Air Exp/Field Svcs/Mail/Walk-In/Other:
Custody Seal: Present: Intact / Broken A	.bsent;	Seal No:
		Seal Date:
Seal matches Chain of Custody		
Type of Packing Material: Blue Ice/Ice/Melted Ice Bubble	/Foarr	n/Paper/Peanuts/Vermiculite/ None/Other:
		Corrected Reading (°C) 26 4 - 28. Y
Temp. By: Temp. Blank S	Surface	e: Glass/Plastid/Metal/Other: Cooler
		Thermo. Correction Factor (°C): + -1.0
☐ Evidence of Cooling: date:		
Sample Receipt Discrepancies: 🗷 No 🛚 Yes (see b		
Sample Receipt Discrepancies. 2 110 2 200 (1000 0	'ELU W	Jor ascrepancies)
Note: CAS will proceed with sample analyses, a	addr	ressing each discrepancy as shown, until/unless directed
		by the client.
☐ Chain of Custody not present information taken from:		Sample excluded from Chain of Custody
Cover Letter Container Container		Sample excluded from Chain of Custody Sample listed on Chain of Custody, not received
PO CAS Proj. Mgr.		Sample description on container and Chain of Custody do not agree
Container label absent		Air bubbles in Aqueous VOA vials larger than pea-size [approx. 6 mm]
☐ Chain of Custody incomplete [see detail below]		Cooler temperature exceeded 0.1 - 6.0 °C requirement
Chain of Custody missing date/time sampled (excl. TB or Dup)		[Do not mark if samples do not require cooling to 0.1 - 6.0 °C.]
☐ Date or Time sampled obtained from container label		Broken or leaking containers (detail actions below)
Chain of Custody missing sampler's name		Sample container type or labeled chemical preservation inappropriate
☐ Chain of Custody missing matrix (sample type)		Other discrepancies:
☐ Missing relinquished information: signature date time		·
Detail to discrepancies/comments:		
Completed by: Date Completed:	Y-V	

.

APPENDIX B

Aerial Photographs



HISTORICAL AERIAL REPORT

for the site:

TEC

5530 SE 2nd Street

Tecumseh, KS 66542

PO #:

Report ID: 20180302347 Completed: 3/14/2018 **ERIS Information Inc.**

Environmental Risk Information Services (ERIS)

A division of Glacier Media Inc.

T: 1.866.517.5204 E: info@erisinfo.com

www.erisinfo.com

Search Results Summary

Date	Source	Scale	Comment
2017	NAIP - National Agriculture Information Program	1"=1300'	
2015	NAIP - National Agriculture Information Program	1"=1300'	
2014	NAIP - National Agriculture Information Program	1"=1300'	
2012	NAIP - National Agriculture Information Program	1"=1300'	
2010	NAIP - National Agriculture Information Program	1"=1300'	
2008	NAIP - National Agriculture Information Program	1"=1300'	
2006	NAIP - National Agriculture Information Program	1"=1300'	
2005	NAIP - National Agriculture Information Program	1"=1300'	
2004	NAIP - National Agriculture Information Program	1"=1300'	
2003	NAIP - National Agriculture Information Program	1"=1300'	
1991	USGS - US Geological Survey	1"=1300'	
1982	NHAP - National High Altitude Photography	1"=1300'	
1975	USGS - US Geological Survey	1"=1300'	
1970	USGS - US Geological Survey	1"=1300'	
1950	AMS - Army Mapping Service	1"=1300'	
1948	ASCS - Agriculture and Soil Conservation Service	1"=1300'	BEST COPY AVAILABLE




Date: 2017 Source: NAIP Scale: 1" to 1300'

Comments:







Date: 2015 Source: NAIP Scale: 1" to 1300'

Comments:







Date: **2014**Source: **NAIP**Scale: **1" to 1300'**

Comments:







Date: **2012**Source: **NAIP**Scale: **1" to 1300'**

Comments:







Date: **2010**Source: **NAIP**Scale: **1" to 1300'**

Comments:







Date: 2008 Source: NAIP Scale: 1" to 1300'

Comments:







Date: 2006 Source: NAIP Scale: 1" to 1300'

Comments:







Date: 2005 Source: NAIP Scale: 1" to 1300'

Comments:







Date: 2004 Source: NAIP Scale: 1" to 1300'

Comments:







Date: 2003 Source: NAIP Scale: 1" to 1300'

Comments:








Date: 1991 Source: USGS Scale: 1" to 1300'

Comments:







Date: 1982 Source: NHAP Scale: 1" to 1300'

Comments:







Date: 1975 Source: USGS Scale: 1" to 1300'

Comments:








Date: 1970 Source: USGS Scale: 1" to 1300'

Comments:







Date: 1950 Source: AMS Scale: 1" to 1300'

Comments:









 Date:
 1948

 Source:
 ASCS

 Scale:
 1" to 1300'

 Comments:
 BEST COPY AVAILABLE





APPENDIX C

Topographic Maps



TOPOGRAPHIC MAP RESEARCH RESULTS

Date: 2018-03-02

Project Property: 5530 Se 2Nd Street, Tecumseh, KS

ERIS Order Number: 20180302347

We have searched USGS collections of current topographic maps and historical topographic maps for the project property. Below is a list of maps found for the project property and adjacent area. Maps are from 7.5 and 15 minute topographic map series, if available.

Year	Map Series
2012	7.5
1983	7.5
1981	7.5
1975	7.5
1970	7.5
1951	7.5
1950	7.5


Topographic Maps included in this report are produced by the USGS and are to be used for research purposes including a phase I report. Maps are not to be resold as commercial property.

No warranty of Accuracy or Liability for ERIS: The information contained in this report has been produced by ERIS Information Inc. (in the US) and ERIS Information Limited Partnership (in Canada), both doing business as 'ERIS', using Topographic Maps produced by the USGS. This maps contained herein does not purport to be and does not constitute a guarantee of the accuracy of the information contained herein. Although ERIS has endeavored to present you with information that is accurate, ERIS disclaims, any and all liability for any errors, omissions, or inaccuracies in such information and data, whether attributable to inadvertence, negligence or otherwise, and for any consequences arising therefrom. Liability on the part of ERIS is limited to the monetary value paid for this report.

Address: 38 Lesmill Road Unit 2, Toronto, ON M3B 2T5

Phone: 1-866-517-5204 Fax: 416-447-7658


info@erisinfo.com www.erisinfo.com




Quadrangle(s): Grantville,KS

Source: USGS 7.5 Minute Topographic Map































ATTACHMENT 2

Appendix IV SSL Alternate Source Demonstration for March 2019 Sampling Event for TEC Bottom Ash Settling Area



HALEY & ALDRICH, INC. 6500 Rockside Road Suite 200 Independence, OH 44131 216.706.1303

14 October 2019 File No. 129778-020

Evergy Kansas Central, Inc. 818 South Kansas Avenue Topeka, Kansas 66612

Attention: Jared Morrison – Manager, Water and Waste Programs

Subject: Assessment Monitoring Program March 2019 Sampling Event

Alternate Source Demonstration

Tecumseh Energy Center, Tecumseh, Kansas

Dear Mr. Morrison:

Haley & Aldrich, Inc. (Haley & Aldrich) was retained by Evergy Kansas Central, Inc. (Evergy; formerly Westar Energy, Inc.) to perform an evaluation of groundwater quality at the Bottom Ash Settling Area (BASA; Unit) at the Tecumseh Energy Center (TEC) located in Tecumseh, Kansas. The evaluation was performed to demonstrate if an alternative source caused the statistically significant level (SSL) above the groundwater protection standard of arsenic (at monitoring well MW-9 and MW-10) and cobalt (at monitoring well MW-9) for the March 2019 sampling event.

Previously, Haley & Aldrich finalized statistical analysis of the groundwater quality data generated from the Assessment Monitoring event conducted in September 2018, which identified SSLs above the groundwater protection standard of arsenic (at monitoring well MW-9 and MW-10) and cobalt (at monitoring well MW-9) downgradient of the BASA. Following identification of the SSLs at the BASA, an Alternate Source Demonstration (ASD) evaluation, certified by a qualified Professional Engineer, titled "September 2018 Sampling Event, Appendix IV Statistically Significant Level, Alternate Source Demonstration for the Bottom Ash Settling Area, Tecumseh Energy Center" (September 2018 ASD), was completed and successful demonstration made in accordance with Title 40 Code of Federal Regulations § 257.95(g)(3)(ii). The ASD indicated that a source other than the coal combustion residuals unit caused the SSLs. This demonstration is attached.

The constituents identified as SSLs above the groundwater protection standards for the March 2019 sampling event are the same constituents found at similar concentrations in the same monitoring wells identified in the successful September 2018 ASD. The constituents and concentrations for both events are presented in Table 1 below. Haley & Aldrich certifies this evaluation to be the ASD required by § 257.95(g)(3)(ii).

Evergy Kansas Central, Inc. Tecumseh Energy Center 14 October 2019 Page 2

TABLE I STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT LEVELS OF APPENDIX IV CONSTITUENTS

Well ID	Constituent	September 2018 Concentration (mg/L)	March 2019 Concentration (mg/L)	
MW-9	Arsenic	0.099	0.04	
	Cobalt	0.011	0.048	
MW-10	Arsenic	0.04	0.028	

We appreciate the opportunity to provide environmental consulting services on this project.

Sincerely yours,

HALEY & ALDRICH, INC.

Steve Putrich, P.E. Project Principal

Mark Nicholls, P.G. Lead Hydrogeologist

Attachment:

September 2018 Sampling Event, Appendix IV Statistically Significant Level, Alternate Source Demonstration for the Bottom Ash Settling Area, Tecumseh Energy Center, Tecumseh, Kansas

\\haleyaldrich.com\share\phx_common\Projects\Westar\Tecumseh Energy Center (TEC)\Deliverables\ASD_AppIV_Update\2019-1014_Westar_TEC_ASD Update Letter_Mar 2019 Event_F.docx





REPORT ON

SEPTEMBER 2018 SAMPLING EVENT
APPENDIX IV STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT LEVEL
ALTERNATE SOURCE DEMONSTRATION
FOR THE BOTTOM ASH SETTLING AREA
TECUMSEH ENERGY CENTER
TECUMSEH, KANSAS

by Haley & Aldrich, Inc. Cleveland, Ohio

for Westar Energy, Inc. Topeka, Kansas

File No. 129778-023 Updated October 2019

Table of Contents

		· ·	Page
1.	Intro	oduction	1
	1.1	BACKGROUND	1
	1.2	PURPOSE AND SCOPE	2
	1.3	SITE SETTING	2
	1.4	SITE DESCRIPTION	2
2.	Site	Geology, Hydrogeology, Geochemistry, and Regional Conditions	3
	2.1	SITE GEOLOGY	3
	2.2	SITE HYDROGEOLOGY AND HYDROLOGY	3
3.	Alte	rnative Source Demonstration	Geochemistry, and Regional Conditions JOROLOGY Tation WITHIN THE UNIT ETIC PRECIPITATION LEACHING PROCEDURE ANALYSES FIELD SAMPLING, LABORATORY ANALYSIS, AND dures and Quality Control Documentation THAN THE BASA JOURNAL OF THE BASA J
	3.1	EVALUATION OF MATERIALS WITHIN THE UNIT 3.1.1 BOTTOM ASH SYNTHETIC PRECIPITATION LEACHING PROCEDURE ANALYSES	
	3.2	REVIEW OF SEPTEMBER 2018 FIELD SAMPLING, LABORATORY ANALYSIS, AND	3
	3.2	STATISTICAL PROCEDURES	6
		3.2.1 Field Sampling Procedures	
		3.2.2 Laboratory Analysis and Quality Control Documentation	
		3.2.3 Statistical Evaluation	6
	3.3	POTENTIAL SOURCES OTHER THAN THE BASA	7
		3.3.1 Point Sources	7
		3.3.2 Non-Point Sources	
	3.4	HISTORICAL LAND USE REVIEW	
		3.4.1 Historical Aerial Photographs	
		3.4.2 Historical Topographic Maps	
	3.5	NATURAL VARIABILITY OF ARSENIC AND/OR COBALT OCCURRENCE	
		3.5.1 Uppermost Groundwater Monitoring Interval Variability	8
4.	Find	ings and Conclusions	9
	4.1	FINDINGS	9
	4.2	CONCLUSIONS	10
5.	Refe	erences	12

i



List of Tables

Table No.	Title
1	Summary of Bottom Ash SPLP Analysis for Total Leachable Metals
II	Historical Aerial Photograph Review Summary
Ш	Historical Topographic Map Review Summary

List of Figures

Figure No.	Title
1	Site Location
2	Bottom Ash Settling Area Monitoring Well Location Map
3	Bottom Ash Settling Area Conceptual Geologic Cross-Section A-A'

List of Appendices

Appendix	Title
A	Laboratory Reports
В	Aerial Photographs
С	Topographic Maps

Revision No.	Date	Notes
0	February 2019	Assessment Monitoring Program September 2018 Sampling Event
		Statistically Significant Level Notification and Alternate Source
		Demonstration Update
1	October 2019	September 2018 Sampling Event Appendix IV Statistically Significant Level
		Alternate Source Demonstration for the Bottom Ash Settling Area



1. Introduction

Haley & Aldrich, Inc. (Haley & Aldrich) was retained by Westar Energy, Inc. (Westar) to perform an evaluation of groundwater quality at the Bottom Ash Settling Area (BASA; Unit) at the Tecumseh Energy Center (TEC) located in Tecumseh, Kansas. The evaluation was performed to demonstrate if an alternate source caused the statistically significant level (SSL) above the groundwater protection standard of arsenic (at monitoring wells MW-9 and MW-10) and cobalt (at monitoring well MW-9) downgradient of the BASA. The arsenic concentrations observed for the September 2018 assessment monitoring sampling event is 0.099 milligrams per liter (mg/L) at well MW-9 and 0.040 mg/L at MW-10. The cobalt concentration observed for the September 2018 assessment monitoring sampling event is 0.011 mg/L at well MW-9. This report provides an overview of the site conditions and the results of the investigation activities conducted as part of the alternate source demonstration (ASD) for the Appendix IV constituents.

1.1 BACKGROUND

Consistent with Code of Federal Regulations Title 40 (40 CFR) §257.90 through §257.95, Westar has installed and certified a groundwater monitoring network at the BASA, has completed detection monitoring program activities including identifying statistically significant increases in Appendix III constituent concentrations, and established an assessment monitoring program. Westar conducted statistical analyses of the downgradient groundwater quality results from the September 2018 assessment monitoring sampling event to determine if any Appendix IV constituents were present at concentrations that exceeded groundwater protection standards set for the Unit. The analysis of the Appendix IV constituents resulted in a calculated SSL for arsenic (at monitoring wells MW-9 and MW-10) and cobalt (at monitoring well MW-9) downgradient of the BASA. The analyses described in this report were conducted to determine if alternate sources existed for the SSLs.

Pursuant to 40 CFR §257.95(g)(3)(ii), "...the owner or operator must...demonstrate that a source other than the CCR unit ¹ caused the contamination, or that the statistically significant increase resulted from error in sampling, analysis, statistical evaluation, or natural variation in groundwater quality." The coal combustion residuals (CCR) Rule provides 90 days from determination of an SSL to complete an ASD² for applicable Appendix IV constituents. If a successful ASD is completed and certified by a qualified professional engineer, the CCR unit may continue in assessment monitoring. If, however, an alternate source of the Appendix IV SSL is not identified, the owner or operator must initiate an assessment of corrective measures and evaluation of the nature and extent of migration. This report documents the findings and conclusions of an investigation of the SSLs for arsenic at wells MW-9 and MW-10 and cobalt at MW-9.



¹ Referred to in this document as an "alternate source," and the demonstration for such is referred to as an ASD.

² For simplicity, this report utilizes the term ASD to account for any of the three possible explanations (allowed for in the CCR Rule) for why a calculated SSL is not related to the CCR unit being evaluated. Those include: 1) The source for the SSL originates from something other than the CCR unit in question; 2) the SSL resulted from an error in sampling, analysis, or statistical evaluation; or 3) the SSL resulted from a natural variation in groundwater quality.

1.2 PURPOSE AND SCOPE

The purpose of this ASD is to determine whether the concentrations of arsenic and cobalt detected in groundwater at MW-9 and MW-10 are from sources other than the Unit. The scope of the demonstration includes a review of the current regional geochemical and geologic conditions, a comparison of the groundwater quality at MW-9 and MW-10 and the other monitoring well locations, and analysis of geologic sources. This evaluation was completed using existing information describing the regional and site-specific geology and groundwater monitoring data collected during detection and assessment monitoring activities.

This analysis included:

- Review of well installation logs for the variability in the aquifer materials within screened intervals of the upgradient and downgradient groundwater monitoring well locations;
- Review of analytical results for the concentration of indicator parameters including chloride and sulfate from the upgradient and downgradient monitoring wells; and
- Collection and analysis of representative samples of the bottom ash stored within the Unit for the concentration of leachable Appendix IV constituents.

1.3 SITE SETTING

The TEC is located in a light industrial area located northeast of Tecumseh in Shawnee County, Kansas (Figure 1). The site is located within the Central Lowland physiographic province which includes rolling hills with substantial topographic relief and the relatively horizontal orientation of the thin alternating shale and limestone beds. Geologic units that underlie the BASA are roughly horizontal with a regional dip toward the northwest and consist of glacial till and the Scranton shale formation. The BASA consists of a surface impoundment that encompasses approximately 2 acres in the current configuration and is located on the TEC plant site. The TEC plant and BASA are located in an area with natural ground surface elevations varying from approximately 870 and 920 feet above mean sea level throughout the site property.

1.4 SITE DESCRIPTION

The TEC facility formerly operated a system of cycled bottom ash ponds collectively known as the BASA. The coal-fired boilers at the facility have been shut down. The BASA is a single CCR impoundment that utilized a middle dike for operational purposes to separate two separate settling areas. During operations, the plant alternated use of the settling areas. The bottom ash at TEC was sluiced via gravity to the BASA where it was allowed to settle out. Excess water from the BASA continues to decant via gravity to a polishing pond on the north side of Tecumseh Creek, where it then discharges into the creek. This discharge is permitted by Kansas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System. Bottom ash was recovered from the BASA and transported by truck to the on-site Ash Landfill No. 322. The TEC BASA and associated groundwater monitoring network are shown on Figure 2.



2. Site Geology, Hydrogeology, Geochemistry, and Regional Conditions

Geologic and hydrogeologic conditions beneath the BASA have been characterized based on information obtained during installation and testing of the monitoring wells installed as part of the CCR groundwater monitoring network.

2.1 SITE GEOLOGY

The TEC plant site and the BASA are located in the Central Lowland physiographic province. The Central Lowland is characterized by horizontal sequences of predominantly marine sedimentary rocks (interbedded shales and limestones). The TEC site and the BASA lie within the area of Pleistocene glacial activity in the Dissected Till Plains region of the Central Lowlands. Geologic units that underlie the site are roughly horizontal with a regional dip to the north and northwest (AMEC, 2011). The Scranton shale formation is the only lithologic unit encountered beneath the glacial till during geologic investigations at TEC.

Surficial geologic materials in the vicinity of and beneath the TEC site and BASA include thin deposits of Pleistocene glacial till deposits and Holocene alluvium. The poorly sorted glacial deposits are composed of Kansan and Nebraskan age clays, silts, and sands. The glacial till directly underlies most of the BASA. The glacial deposits have a local maximum thickness of approximately 100 feet (AMEC, 2011). Glacial erratics are observed to occur in the vicinity of the TEC site, often in the form of quartzite boulders (AMEC, 2011).

Locally, the till may yield minor quantities of water but is not typically used as an aquifer for water supply. The glacial till deposits do represent the uppermost aquifer at the CCR unit. The Pleistocene glacial deposits are underlain by strata representing transgressions and regressions of marine and near-shore depositional environments. Immediately above the shallowest bedrock unit, a thin clay layer, 10 feet or less in thickness, has been observed at the site.

The shallowest bedrock unit present at the TEC is the Pennsylvanian-age Scranton shale formation. The Scranton shale is predominantly grey to brown comprised of five members (Zeller, 1968). From shallowest to deepest the members of the Scranton formation include: the Silver Lake shale, Rulo limestone, Cedar Vale shale, Happy Hollow limestone, and White Cloud shale members. The total Scranton formation is of undefined thickness at the TEC site; however, a typical average thickness in other areas of the state is approximately 125 feet (Zeller, 1968).

A conceptual geologic cross section across the Unit is provided in Figure 3.

2.2 SITE HYDROGEOLOGY AND HYDROLOGY

The BASA is sited directly on the glacial deposits which contain low to high plasticity clay with trace silt, which will impede infiltration to deeper formations. In the area of the BASA, the glacial deposits are underlain by the Scranton shale at a depth of approximately 30 feet. Given the alternating transgressive/regressive nature of the deposition (interbedded shales and limestones), many of the deeper water-bearing bedrock formations are hydraulically isolated and some are confined. The permeability of the shale units varies but generally decrease with depth, further impeding vertical groundwater movement. Horizontal fluid migration is possible above the low permeability shale and within the glacial deposits.



The uppermost aquifer at TEC consists of unconsolidated glacial deposits, hereafter referred to as the glacial aquifer. Depth to groundwater in the monitoring wells ranges from approximately 16 to 35 feet below ground surface in the immediate vicinity of the BASA. Groundwater flow in the glacial aquifer below the BASA is to the west towards Tecumseh Creek, and ultimately north toward the Kansas River.

Based on groundwater elevations measured between August 2016 and September 2018, the groundwater flow direction is consistently toward the northwest. Available historical data indicate that seasonal groundwater elevation variation does not have a significant effect on groundwater flow direction.

Hydraulic conductivity of the glacial aquifer was calculated using data generated during slug testing of one monitoring well. The hydraulic conductivity of the glacial till is calculated to be approximately 1.6x10⁻³ centimeters per second (cm/sec).

The Silver Lake shale member of the Scranton shale formation comprises the confining unit underlying the uppermost aquifer at the BASA. The reported thickness of the confining shale at the BASA area is greater than 10 feet. The results of a packer test indicate that the hydraulic conductivity in the Silver Lake shale is 1x10⁻⁶ cm/sec. Based on the reported hydraulic conductivity, the Silver Lake member of the Scranton shale is characterized as an aquitard, meaning that the shale layer restricts flow of groundwater due its low hydraulic conductivity (i.e., prevents or inhibits vertical movement of groundwater).



3. Alternative Source Demonstration

Haley & Aldrich conducted an evaluation of arsenic and cobalt concentrations detected in downgradient wells at the BASA. The evaluation included review of possible alternative sources for the apparent SSLs of arsenic (MW-9 and MW-10) and cobalt (MW-9) determined by statistical analyses completed in January 2019 for the September 2018 assessment monitoring sampling event. The arsenic concentrations observed for the September 2018 assessment monitoring sampling event is 0.099 mg/L at well MW-9 and 0.040 mg/L at MW-10. The cobalt concentration observed for the September 2018 assessment monitoring sampling event is 0.011 mg/L at well MW-9.

Haley & Aldrich evaluated the following potential alternative sources in accordance with the CCR Rule:

- 1. The source for the SSL originates from something other than the CCR unit;
- 2. The SSL resulted from an error in sampling, analysis, or statistical evaluation; or
- 3. The SSL resulted from a natural variation in groundwater quality.

As part of that evaluation, Haley & Aldrich evaluated potential point and non-point sources of arsenic and/or cobalt in the vicinity of the BASA and evaluated natural geologic conditions and the effect of those conditions on native groundwater chemistry. Each of these analyses and the resulting findings are described below.

3.1 EVALUATION OF MATERIALS WITHIN THE UNIT

3.1.1 Bottom Ash Synthetic Precipitation Leaching Procedure Analyses

Representative samples of the bottom ash accumulated in the BASA were collected and analyzed for the Appendix IV constituents including two parameters that were determined to exhibit an SSL; arsenic and cobalt from the inter-well statistical evaluation with the upgradient monitoring well location (MW-7). Samples collected in July 2011 and April 2019 from multiple locations within the BASA were submitted to environmental laboratories accredited by the Kansas Department of Health and Environment (KDHE) for the analysis of leachable arsenic and cobalt after the bottom ash samples were extracted in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method 1312 [Synthetic Precipitation Leaching Procedure (SPLP)].

The results of the SPLP analysis of the bottom ash samples collected from four locations within the Unit indicate that the leachable arsenic and cobalt concentrations were below the concentrations detected in samples collected from monitoring wells MW-8, MW-9, and MW-10. These data provide evidence that the bottom ash present in the BASA from 2011 and the second sample collected from the BASA in 2019 do not contain sufficient leachable arsenic and cobalt to produce the concentration of constituents detected in the downgradient groundwater. Westar has noted that the type of coal used for fuel and TEC plant operations have been consistent since the early 2000s.

A summary of the results of the bottom ash leachability analyses is provided in Table I and the laboratory reports are attached as Appendix A.



3.2 REVIEW OF SEPTEMBER 2018 FIELD SAMPLING, LABORATORY ANALYSIS, AND STATISTICAL PROCEDURES

3.2.1 Field Sampling Procedures

Westar and Haley & Aldrich conducted the field sampling activities in accordance with a Groundwater Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP; Haley & Aldrich, 2017) that was prepared in accordance with §257.93 of the CCR Rule. The SAP prescribes the site-specific activities and methodologies for groundwater sampling and included procedures for field data collection, sample collection, sample preservation and shipment, interpretation, laboratory analytical methods, and reporting for groundwater sampling for the BASA. The administrative procedures and frequency for collection of groundwater elevation measurements, determination of flow directions, and gradients were also provided in the SAP.

Haley & Aldrich reviewed the field sampling and equipment calibration logs and the field indicator parameters and did not identify any apparent deviations or errors in sampling that would result in a potential SSL downgradient of the BASA.

3.2.2 Laboratory Analysis and Quality Control Documentation

The groundwater samples collected downgradient of the BASA were analyzed by Pace Analytical Services using USEPA analytical methods. The data generated from these laboratory analyses are stored in a project database that incorporates hydrogeologic and groundwater quality data and was established to allow efficient management of chemical and physical data collected in the field and produced in the laboratory.

Haley & Aldrich conducted a quality assurance/quality control review of each groundwater quality dataset generated for the BASA and did not identify apparent laboratory or data management errors that would result in the apparent arsenic or cobalt SSLs downgradient of the BASA.

3.2.3 Statistical Evaluation

Westar collected the initial assessment monitoring groundwater sample in June 2018, and a second assessment monitoring groundwater sample in September 2018 from each of the upgradient and downgradient monitoring wells at the BASA. To develop groundwater protection standards for use in the statistical analyses, data from the baseline sampling completed over a period spanning from August 2016 through June 2017 was also utilized. Statistical analysis of the analytical results was completed and reported as documented in the 2018 Annual Groundwater Monitoring and Corrective Action Report (Haley & Aldrich, 2019).

Haley & Aldrich has reviewed the statistical analysis of groundwater quality data from monitoring wells at the BASA for the September 2018 monitoring event and did not identify statistical calculation errors that would result in the apparent arsenic or cobalt SSLs. The statistical test method used met the performance standard established in the CCR Rule, and the statistical procedure complies with the requirements of the CCR Rule.



3.3 POTENTIAL SOURCES OTHER THAN THE BASA

Haley & Aldrich conducted a review of potential sources (both point and non-point) of arsenic and/or cobalt in the vicinity of the BASA to determine if previous or adjacent site activities, land uses, or practices might have caused, or are currently causing, elevated concentrations of arsenic and/or cobalt in groundwater downgradient of the BASA. Potential point sources would include discharging activities or other activities occurring at a discrete location that may be a source of arsenic and/or cobalt. Non-point sources would include diffuse discharging activities or practices that may result in a low level but wide-spread increase in concentrations detected at the downgradient side of the BASA.

3.3.1 Point Sources

Prior to construction of the BASA, the site and surrounding vicinity was undeveloped land. Review of historical United States Geological Survey (USGS) topographic maps shows undeveloped land prior to the construction of the BASA. No known industrial, agricultural, mining, or other activities were conducted at the BASA site prior to construction that would potentially constitute a point source. No point sources have been identified as a potential alternative source for arsenic and/or cobalt at the BASA.

3.3.2 Non-Point Sources

No mining, industrial, or other activities have been documented in the vicinity of the BASA that might constitute a non-point source of arsenic and/or cobalt in the vicinity of MW-9 and/or MW-10.

No agricultural activities have been identified upgradient of the BASA. Records reviewed included historical aerial photographs and historical topographic maps. No non-point sources have been identified as a potential alternative source for arsenic and/or cobalt at the BASA.

3.4 HISTORICAL LAND USE REVIEW

Haley & Aldrich assessed past usage of the site and adjoining properties through a review of the following records:

- Environmental Risk Information Services (ERIS) Aerial Photographs dated 1948, 1950, 1970, 1975, 1982, 1991, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2008, 2010, 2012, 2014, 2015, and 2017 (Appendix B); and
- ERIS Topographic Maps dated 1950, 1951, 1970, 1975, 1981, 1983, and 2012 (Appendix C).

Unless otherwise noted below, sources were reviewed dating back to 1940 or first developed use, whichever is earlier, and at 5-year intervals if the use of the property has changed within the time period.

3.4.1 Historical Aerial Photographs

Haley & Aldrich reviewed aerial photographs depicting the development of the site and vicinity as summarized in Table II. The historical aerial photograph search includes photographs from the Army Mapping Service, USGS, National High-Altitude Photography, and the National Agriculture Information Program (ERIS, 2018) and are included in Appendix B.



Photographs suggest that the BASA was undeveloped prior to 1970. The plant site and BASA appear to have been developed in their current configurations by 1982. Minor development continued until present day. The coal pile for the facility has been located immediately adjacent to and east of the BASA since the Unit's original construction. An above ground storage tank was also present east of the coal pile prior to the BASA construction. An historical aerial photograph review summary is included as Table II. No activities constituting potential sources of arsenic and/or cobalt (e.g., mining, smelting, etc.) have been identified based on aerial photograph review.

3.4.2 Historical Topographic Maps

Haley & Aldrich reviewed historical topographic maps depicting the development of the site and vicinity, as summarized in Table III. The topographic maps were provided for review by ERIS. Copies of the topographic maps are included in Appendix C. No historical development of other features constituting potential sources of arsenic and/or cobalt (e.g., mining) have been identified based on topographic map review.

3.5 NATURAL VARIABILITY OF ARSENIC AND/OR COBALT OCCURRENCE

Haley & Aldrich conducted an evaluation of the natural variability of groundwater quality at the BASA based on site-specific data; observations are described in the following sections.

3.5.1 Uppermost Groundwater Monitoring Interval Variability

Haley & Aldrich conducted an evaluation of the concentrations of the indicator parameters throughout the monitoring period from August 2016 through March 2018 to determine the natural variability of these parameters within the uppermost groundwater monitoring interval.

The average concentration of chloride and sulfate observed at the upgradient well (MW-7) were 194 and 470 mg/L, respectively. The average concentration of these indicator parameters within the downgradient monitoring wells MW-9 and MW-10 were 173 and 226 mg/L (MW-9) and 230 and 187 mg/L (MW-10), respectively. The difference in concentrations of chloride and sulfate between the upgradient and downgradient monitoring wells indicates that there is significant variability in the uppermost groundwater monitoring interval associated with the CCR Unit.

This conclusion is further supported by the difference in the boron concentrations observed during the reporting period. The average concentration of boron determined at the upgradient well (MW-7) was 0.73 mg/L while the average concentration of boron detected at the downgradient wells (MW-9 and MW-10) were significantly lower at 0.25 and 0.24 mg/L, respectively. Boron is a key Appendix III indicator parameter of potential impacts from a CCR Unit. Since boron concentrations down gradient of the Unit are lower than up gradient concentrations, it is further indicated that the BASA is not impacting groundwater quality.



4. Findings and Conclusions

Haley & Aldrich conducted an evaluation of groundwater quality data and information obtained as part of the detection and assessment monitoring programs and the materials contained within the BASA to identify potential sources of the arsenic and cobalt detected in the groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells MW-9 and MW-10 located downgradient of the BASA.

The evaluation included a review of sampling and analysis procedures, available laboratory analyses, and statistical analyses to determine if potential errors may have resulted in apparent SSL for arsenic and/or cobalt at the downgradient monitoring well locations. The evaluation also included a review of historical site activities based on aerial photographs and historical topographic maps, and consideration of potential point and non-point sources of arsenic and cobalt based on those activities.

To further evaluate if the materials stored within the BASA could be a source of arsenic and cobalt, results of the analysis of these materials for the concentration of leachable arsenic and cobalt from samples of bottom ash from the BASA for both past and current facility operations were reviewed and compared to the observed concentrations of these parameters within the downgradient wells during the monitoring period.

4.1 FINDINGS

Haley & Aldrich found no apparent errors in sampling, laboratory analysis, data management, or statistical analysis that would result in the apparent SSL for arsenic and cobalt at MW-9 and MW-10. Haley & Aldrich also found no evidence of historical point or non-point sources of arsenic and/or cobalt, or historical activities that affected the observed concentrations of arsenic and/or cobalt in groundwater downgradient of the BASA.

Haley & Aldrich evaluated available data to determine the potential for the materials stored within the BASA to be the source of the calculated SSL for arsenic and cobalt. Representative samples of bottom ash that had been stored within the BASA were obtained and submitted to a KDHE certified laboratory for the preparation of leachate samples in accordance with USEPA Method 1312, SPLP. The SPLP uses an acidic solution created using mineral acids consisting of nitric (HNO_3) and sulfuric (H_2SO_4) acids to evaluate the potential for contaminants to leach from materials exposed to acidic precipitation. The leaching procedure is performed over a period of 18 hours with constant agitation using an extraction fluid at a pH of less than 5, which is significantly lower than the pH of the groundwater conditions at the BASA. Based on the rigorous nature of the SPLP, the results provide a conservative or worst-case estimate of the concentration of the contaminants that are likely to leach from the material tested. Arsenic and cobalt should therefore leach from the CCR material in lower concentrations in the natural environmental condition as compared to the results of the SPLP leaching tests. The results of the SPLP testing of the materials stored in the BASA are presented in Table I.

Key findings regarding the potential for the bottom ash stored in the BASA to leach arsenic and cobalt and impact groundwater quality in the uppermost aquifer include:

 The results of SPLP analyses of bottom ash samples collected from the BASA from 2011 through 2018 exhibited concentrations of arsenic and cobalt below the levels observed in all of the site monitoring wells during the reporting period.



These findings indicate that the aggressive leaching procedure used in the laboratory to evaluate bottom ash samples from the BASA could not reproduce the concentrations observed in groundwater at MW-9 and MW-10. Groundwater conditions at the BASA have less potential to leach constituents from the bottom ash than the SPLP analysis. Consequently, based on available data and information, it is unlikely that the concentrations of arsenic and cobalt observed in groundwater at MW-9 and MW-10 were derived from leaching of bottom ash material contained at the BASA by interaction with groundwater³.

4.2 CONCLUSIONS

Based on the direct analysis of the material stored in the BASA by an aggressive leaching procedure for the concentration of arsenic and cobalt , the natural variability in the uppermost groundwater monitoring interval observed during the monitoring period, and the absence of any errors in the sampling, analysis, and statistical evaluation of the monitoring results, the calculated SSLs for arsenic and cobalt identified at MW-9 and MW-10 are due to natural variability of the groundwater conditions around the BASA and not the materials either historically or currently stored in the Unit.

³ Furthermore, we note that the concentration of cobalt detected in the bottom ash SPLP leachate and all of the monitoring wells installed at the unit were below the KDHE non-residential groundwater use standards. The concentration of arsenic detected in the bottom ash SPLP leachate were below the KDHE non-residential groundwater use standards.

ALDRICH

10

5. Certification

Pursuant to 40 CFR §257.94(e)(2), Westar conducted an alternate source evaluation to demonstrate that a source other than the BASA caused the SSL above the groundwater protection standards of arsenic and cobalt downgradient of the BASA identified during assessment monitoring.

This certification and the underlying data and evaluation performed in this report support the conclusion that a source other than the CCR unit is the cause of the SSL above the groundwater protection standards of arsenic and cobalt found during assessment monitoring of this Unit (i.e., arsenic at monitoring wells MW-9 and MW-10 and cobalt at monitoring well MW-9 downgradient of the BASA). That source has been identified as natural variability of the groundwater conditions within the uppermost aquifer underlying the BASA.

I certify that this report and all attachments were prepared by me or under my direct supervision. The information contained in this evaluation is, to the best of my knowledge, true, accurate, and complete.

HALEY & ALDRICH, INC.

Signed:

Certifying Engineer

Print Name:

Steven F. Putrich, P.E.

Kansas License No.:

PE24363

Principal Consultant

Company:

Title:

Haley & Aldrich, Inc.

Signed:

Professional Geologist

Print Name:

Mark D. Nicholls, P.G.

Kansas License No.:

881

Lead Hydrogeologist

Company:

Title:

Haley & Aldrich, Inc.



6. References

- 1. AMEC, May 2011. Report of Dam Safety Assessment of Coal Combustion Surface Impoundments.
- 2. Environmental Risk Information Services. Database Report. March 2018.
- 3. Haley & Aldrich, Inc., 2017. Groundwater Sampling and Analysis Pan, Tecumseh Energy Center. October.
- 4. Haley & Aldrich, Inc., 2019. Annual Groundwater Monitoring and Corrective Action Report. January.
- 5. United States Geological Survey (USGS), 1950. Topographic Map, Grantville, 7.5-minute series.
- 6. USGS, 1951. Topographic Map, Grantville, 7.5-minute series.
- 7. USGS, 1970. Topographic Map, Grantville, 7.5-minute series.
- 8. USGS, 1975. Topographic Map, Grantville, 7.5-minute series.
- 9. USGS, 1981. Topographic Map, Grantville, 7.5-minute series.
- 10. USGS, 1983. Topographic Map, Grantville, 7.5-minute series.
- 11. USGS, 2012. Topographic Map, Grantville, 7.5-minute series.
- 12. Zeller, D.E., 1968. *The Stratigraphic Succession in Kansas*. Kansas Geological Survey Bulletin 189.






TABLE I SUMMARY OF BOTTOM ASH SPLP ANALYSIS FOR TOTAL LEACHABLE METALS

WESTAR ENERGY, INC.

TECUMSEH ENERGY CENTER BOTTOM ASH SETTLING AREA

TECUMSEH, KANSAS

Sample Identification	Sample Location	Sample Date	Method of Analysis	Parameter	Reporting Limit (mg/L)	Concentration (mg/L)
TEC Bottom Ash*	Bottom Ash Settling Pond	7/14/2011	ICP-AES	Total Arsenic	0.005	ND
TEC BOLLOTT AST			ICP-AES	Total Cobalt	0.002	ND
TEC BA Inlet**	Bottom Ash Settling Pond Inlet	4/2/2019	ICP-MS	Total Arsenic	0.001	0.0025
			ICP-AES	Total Cobalt	0.005	ND
TEC BA Middle**	Bottom Ash Settling Pond Middle	4/2/2019	ICP-MS	Total Arsenic	0.001	0.0055
			ICP-AES	Total Cobalt	0.005	ND
TEC BA Outlet**	Bottom Ash Settling Pond Outlet	4/2/2019	ICP-MS	Total Arsenic	0.001	0.0016
			ICP-AES	Total Cobalt	0.005	ND

Notes:

ICP-AES = Inductively Coupled Plasma Atomic Emission Spectroscopy

ICP-MS = Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectroscopy

mg/L = milligrams per liter or parts per million (ppm)

TEC = Tecumseh Energy Center

ND = Non-detect at the reporting limit

Bold Values = parameter detected at a concentration greater than the reporting limits



^{*} Sample analyzed by Continental Analytical Services, Inc. Salina KS (KDHE Accreditation #E-10146)

^{**} Samples analyzed vt Pace Anayltical Services, LLC. Lenexa KS Kansas/NELAP Certification # E-10116/E10426

TABLE II HISTORICAL AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH REVIEW SUMMARY

WESTAR ENERGY, INC.
TECUMSEH ENERGY CENTER
BOTTOM ASH SETTLING AREA
TECUMSEH, KANSAS

Dates	Description of Site	Sources
1948 – 1950	Power plant present; no development of the Bottom Ash Settling Area (BASA). Residential use of land to the west and southwest of the BASA. Coal pile and oil tank to east of future BASA site.	Aerial photos – ASCS; AMS
1970 – 1982	Development of the BASA. Residential use of land to the west of the 322 Landfill.	Aerial photos – USGS; NHAP
1991 – 2010	Continued development of the 322 Landfill. Residential use of land to the west of the 322 Landfill.	Aerial photos – USGS; NAIP
2012 – 2017	Continued use of the 322 Landfill configurations with only minor variations. Residential use of land to the west of the 322 Landfill.	Aerial photos – NAIP

Notes:

AMS = Army Mapping Service

ASCS = Agricultural and Soil Conservation Service

NAIP = National Agriculture Information Program

NHAP = National High Altitude Photography

USGS = United States Geological Survey





TABLE III HISTORICAL TOPOGRAPHIC MAP REVIEW SUMMARY


WESTAR ENERGY, INC.
TECUMSEH ENERGY CENTER
BOTTOM ASH SETTLING AREA
TECUMSEH, KANSAS


Dates	Description of Site and Adjacent Properties	Map Name	
1950 – 1951	Power plant is indicated on the map. The Bottom Ash Settling Area (BASA) are undeveloped. Coal pile and above ground storage tank are due east of the BASA future area.	7.5-Minute Series, Grantville, Kansas Quadrangle	
1970 – 1983	Development of the BASA. Significant development of structures and road to the east of the plant site.	7.5-Minute Series, Grantville, Kansas Quadrangle	
1983	Development of the BASA.	7.5-Minute Series, Grantville, Kansas Quadrangle	
2012	The plant site is no longer shown on the map. The BASA are shown on the map.	7.5-Minute Series, Grantville, Kansas Quadrangle	
















GLACIAL DEPOSITS/OVERBURDEN



SHALE MEMBER OF THE SCRANTON FORMATION



LIMESTONE MEMBER OF THE SCRANTON SHALE FORMATION



NOTES

- 1. ALL LOCATIONS AND DIMENSIONS ARE APPROXIMATE.
- 2. VERTICAL SCALE IS EXAGGERATED 5 TIMES.
- 3. PROJECTIONS ARE IN DIRECTION FROM ACTUAL LOCATION.





WESTAR ENERGY TECUMSEH ENERGY CENTER (TEC) TECUMSEH, KANSAS

BOTTOM ASH SETTLING AREA CONCEPTUAL GEOLOGIC CROSS SECTION A-A'

SCALE: AS SHOWN OCTOBER 2019

FIGURE 3

APPENDIX A

Laboratory Reports



April 09, 2019

Brandon Griffin Westar Energy 818 S. Kansas Ave Topeka, KS 66612

RE: Project: TEC BOTTOM ASH SPLP 2019

Pace Project No.: 60298624

Dear Brandon Griffin:

Enclosed are the analytical results for sample(s) received by the laboratory between April 02, 2019 and April 09, 2019. The results relate only to the samples included in this report. Results reported herein conform to the most current, applicable TNI/NELAC standards and the laboratory's Quality Assurance Manual, where applicable, unless otherwise noted in the body of the report.

If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

diator m. Wilson

Heather Wilson heather.wilson@pacelabs.com 1(913)563-1407 Project Manager

Enclosures

cc: Bob Beck, KCPL Lacygne Station
HEATH HORYNA, WESTAR ENERGY
Adam Kneeling, Haley & Aldrich, Inc.
JARED MORRISON, WESTAR ENERGY







CERTIFICATIONS

Project: TEC BOTTOM ASH SPLP 2019

Pace Project No.: 60298624

Kansas Certification IDs

9608 Loiret Boulevard, Lenexa, KS 66219 Missouri Certification Number: 10090 Arkansas Drinking Water WY STR Certification #: 2456.01

Arkansas Certification #: 18-016-0 Arkansas Drinking Water Illinois Certification #: 004455 Iowa Certification #: 118

Kansas/NELAP Certification #: E-10116 / E10426

Louisiana Certification #: 03055
Nevada Certification #: KS000212018-1
Oklahoma Certification #: 9205/9935
Texas Certification #: T104704407-18-11
Utah Certification #: KS000212018-8

Kansas Field Laboratory Accreditation: # E-92587

Missouri Certification: 10070

Missouri Certification Number: 10090

REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS



SAMPLE SUMMARY

Project: TEC BOTTOM ASH SPLP 2019

Pace Project No.: 60298624

Lab ID	Sample ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Date Received
60298624001	TEC BA INLET	Solid	04/02/19 12:45	04/02/19 15:30
60298624002	TEC BA INLET LEACHATE	Water	04/05/19 10:15	04/05/19 10:16
60298624003	TEC BA MIDDLE	Solid	04/02/19 12:50	04/02/19 15:30
60298624004	TEC BA MIDDLE LEACHATE	Water	04/05/19 10:15	04/05/19 10:16
60298624005	TEC BA OUTLET	Solid	04/02/19 12:55	04/02/19 15:30
60298624006	TEC BA OUTLET LEACHATE	Water	04/05/19 10:15	04/05/19 10:16
60298624007	TEC BA INLET LEACHATE 2	Water	04/09/19 13:35	04/09/19 13:36
60298624008	TEC BA MIDDLE LEACHATE 2	Water	04/09/19 13:35	04/09/19 13:36
60298624009	TEC BA OUTLET LEACHATE 3	Water	04/09/19 13:35	04/09/19 13:36

REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS



SAMPLE ANALYTE COUNT

Project: TEC BOTTOM ASH SPLP 2019

Pace Project No.: 60298624

Lab ID	Sample ID	Method	Analysts	Analytes Reported	Laboratory
60298624001	TEC BA INLET	EPA 6010	JDE	23	PASI-K
		EPA 6020	JGP	5	PASI-K
		EPA 7470	LRS	1	PASI-K
60298624002	TEC BA INLET LEACHATE	EPA 300.0	MGS	3	PASI-K
		EPA 353.2	BLA	3	PASI-K
		EPA 365.4	RAD	1	PASI-K
60298624003	TEC BA MIDDLE	EPA 6010	JDE	23	PASI-K
		EPA 6020	JGP	5	PASI-K
		EPA 7470	LRS	1	PASI-K
60298624004	TEC BA MIDDLE LEACHATE	EPA 300.0	MGS	3	PASI-K
		EPA 353.2	BLA	3	PASI-K
		EPA 365.4	RAD	1	PASI-K
60298624005	TEC BA OUTLET	EPA 6010	JDE	23	PASI-K
		EPA 6020	JGP	5	PASI-K
		EPA 7470	LRS	1	PASI-K
60298624006	TEC BA OUTLET LEACHATE	EPA 300.0	MGS	3	PASI-K
		EPA 353.2	BLA	3	PASI-K
		EPA 365.4	RAD	1	PASI-K
60298624007	TEC BA INLET LEACHATE 2	EPA 7196	ZMH	1	PASI-K
60298624008	TEC BA MIDDLE LEACHATE 2	EPA 7196	ZMH	1	PASI-K
60298624009	TEC BA OUTLET LEACHATE 3	EPA 7196	ZMH	1	PASI-K



Project: TEC BOTTOM ASH SPLP 2019

Pace Project No.: 60298624

Date: 04/09/2019 03:06 PM

Sample: TEC BA INLET Lab ID: 60298624001 Collected: 04/02/19 12:45 Received: 04/02/19 15:30 Matrix: Solid Results reported on a "wet-weight" basis **Parameters** Results Units Report Limit DF Prepared Analyzed CAS No. Qual 6010 MET ICP, SPLP Analytical Method: EPA 6010 Preparation Method: EPA 3010 Leachate Method/Date: EPA 1312; 04/04/19 00:00 ND Barium mg/L 0.10 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:18 7440-39-3 Beryllium ND mg/L 0.0010 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:18 7440-41-7 Boron 0.36 mg/L 0.10 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:18 7440-42-8 Cadmium 0.0050 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:18 ND mg/L 1 7440-43-9 Calcium 12.7 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:18 mg/L 0.10 7440-70-2 1 Chromium ND mg/L 0.0050 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:18 7440-47-3 1 Cobalt ND mg/L 0.0050 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:18 7440-48-4 1 NΠ 0.010 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:18 7440-50-8 Copper mg/L 1 0.22 0.050 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:18 7439-89-6 mg/L Iron 1 Lead ND mg/L 0.0050 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:18 7439-92-1 1 Magnesium 3.2 mg/L 0.050 1 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:18 7439-95-4 0.0088 Manganese mg/L 0.0050 1 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:18 7439-96-5 Molybdenum ND mg/L 0.020 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:18 7439-98-7 Nickel ND mg/L 0.0050 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:18 7440-02-0 1 Potassium ND mg/L 0.50 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:18 7440-09-7 Silica 6.9 mg/L 1.1 1 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:18 7631-86-9 Silicon 3.2 mg/L 0.50 1 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:18 7440-21-3 Silver ND 0.0070 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:18 7440-22-4 mg/L 1 Sodium 7.3 mg/L 0.50 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:18 7440-23-5 B.M1 1 0.19 Strontium mg/L 0.020 1 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:18 7440-24-6 0.012 Titanium mg/L 0.010 1 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:18 7440-32-6 Vanadium 0.024 mg/L 0.010 1 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:18 7440-62-2 Zinc ND mg/L 0.050 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:18 7440-66-6 6020 MET ICPM, SPLP Analytical Method: EPA 6020 Preparation Method: EPA 3020 Leachate Method/Date: EPA 1312; 04/04/19 00:00 0.54 mg/L 0.050 1 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:03 7429-90-5 Aluminum M1 **Antimony** ND mg/L 0.0010 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:03 7440-36-0 Arsenic 0.0025 mg/L 0.0010 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:03 7440-38-2 Selenium ND mg/L 0.0010 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:03 7782-49-2 Thallium ND mg/L 0.0010 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:03 7440-28-0 Analytical Method: EPA 7470 Preparation Method: EPA 7470 7470 Mercury, SPLP Leachate Method/Date: EPA 1312; 04/04/19 00:00 Mercury ND mg/L 0.0020 04/05/19 16:19 04/08/19 12:37 7439-97-6



Project: TEC BOTTOM ASH SPLP 2019

Pace Project No.: 60298624

Date: 04/09/2019 03:06 PM

Sample: TEC BA INLET LEACHATE	Lab ID: 6029	8624002 C	ollected: 04/05/1	9 10:15	Received: 04/05	5/19 10:16 N	Matrix: Water	
Parameters	Results	Units	Report Limit	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
300.0 IC Anions 28 Days	Analytical Meth	od: EPA 300.0						
Chloride	ND	mg/L	1.0	1	04	4/05/19 22:53	16887-00-6	
Fluoride	0.29	mg/L	0.20	1	0-	4/05/19 22:53	16984-48-8	
Sulfate	13.2	mg/L	1.0	1	0-	4/05/19 22:53	14808-79-8	
353.2 Nitrogen, NO2/NO3 unpres	Analytical Meth	od: EPA 353.2						
Nitrogen, Nitrate	0.20	mg/L	0.10	1	0-	4/05/19 14:59		В
Nitrogen, Nitrite	ND	mg/L	0.10	1	0-	4/05/19 14:59		
Nitrogen, NO2 plus NO3	0.20	mg/L	0.10	1	0-	4/05/19 14:59		В
365.4 Total Phosphorus	Analytical Meth	od: EPA 365.4						
Phosphorus	0.16	mg/L	0.10	1	04	4/06/19 10:53	7723-14-0	



Project: TEC BOTTOM ASH SPLP 2019

Pace Project No.: 60298624

Date: 04/09/2019 03:06 PM

Sample: TEC BA MIDDLE Lab ID: 60298624003 Collected: 04/02/19 12:50 Received: 04/02/19 15:30 Matrix: Solid Results reported on a "dry weight" basis and are adjusted for percent moisture, sample size and any dilutions. **Parameters** Results Units Report Limit DF Prepared Analyzed CAS No. Qual 6010 MET ICP, SPLP Analytical Method: EPA 6010 Preparation Method: EPA 3010 Leachate Method/Date: EPA 1312; 04/04/19 00:00 ND **Barium** mg/L 0.10 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:25 7440-39-3 Beryllium ND mg/L 0.0010 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:25 7440-41-7 Boron 0.17 mg/L 0.10 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:25 7440-42-8 Cadmium 0.0050 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:25 ND mg/L 1 7440-43-9 27.7 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:25 Calcium mg/L 0.10 7440-70-2 1 Chromium ND mg/L 0.0050 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:25 7440-47-3 1 Cobalt ND mg/L 0.0050 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:25 7440-48-4 1 NΠ 0.010 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:25 7440-50-8 Copper mg/L 1 0.050 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:25 7439-89-6 1.9 mg/L Iron 1 ND mg/L 0.0050 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:25 7439-92-1 I ead 1 Magnesium 4.3 mg/L 0.050 1 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:25 7439-95-4 0.019 Manganese mg/L 0.0050 1 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:25 7439-96-5 Molybdenum ND mg/L 0.020 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:25 7439-98-7 Nickel ND 0.0050 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:25 7440-02-0 mg/L 1 Potassium 4.4 mg/L 0.50 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:25 7440-09-7 20.5 Silica mg/L 1.1 1 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:25 7631-86-9 Silicon 9.6 mg/L 0.50 1 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:25 7440-21-3 Silver ND 0.0070 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:25 7440-22-4 mg/L 1 Sodium 31.4 mg/L 0.50 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:25 7440-23-5 1 0.25 Strontium mg/L 0.020 1 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:25 7440-24-6 Titanium 0.036 mg/L 0.010 1 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:25 7440-32-6 Vanadium 0.015 mg/L 0.010 1 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:25 7440-62-2 Zinc ND mg/L 0.050 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:25 7440-66-6 6020 MET ICPM, SPLP Analytical Method: EPA 6020 Preparation Method: EPA 3020 Leachate Method/Date: EPA 1312; 04/04/19 00:00 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:08 7429-90-5 1.9 mg/L 0.050 1 Aluminum **Antimony** 0.0012 mg/L 0.0010 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:08 7440-36-0 1 Arsenic 0.0055 mg/L 0.0010 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:08 7440-38-2 Selenium 0.0016 mg/L 0.0010 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:08 7782-49-2 Thallium ND mg/L 0.0010 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:08 7440-28-0 Analytical Method: EPA 7470 Preparation Method: EPA 7470 7470 Mercury, SPLP Leachate Method/Date: EPA 1312; 04/04/19 00:00 Mercury ND mg/L 0.0020 04/05/19 16:19 04/08/19 12:44 7439-97-6



Project: TEC BOTTOM ASH SPLP 2019

Pace Project No.: 60298624

Date: 04/09/2019 03:06 PM

Sample: TEC BA MIDDLE LEACHATE	Lab ID: 6029	98624004	Collected: 04/05/1	9 10:15	Received: 0	4/05/19 10:16 I	Matrix: Water	
Parameters	Results	Units	Report Limit	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
300.0 IC Anions 28 Days	Analytical Meth	od: EPA 300	.0					
Chloride	1.3	mg/L	1.0	1		04/05/19 23:32	16887-00-6	
Fluoride	0.39	mg/L	0.20	1		04/05/19 23:32	16984-48-8	
Sulfate	86.4	mg/L	10.0	10		04/05/19 23:44	14808-79-8	
353.2 Nitrogen, NO2/NO3 unpres	Analytical Meth	od: EPA 353	.2					
Nitrogen, Nitrate	1.7	mg/L	0.10	1		04/05/19 15:00)	
Nitrogen, Nitrite	1.4	mg/L	0.10	1		04/05/19 15:00)	
Nitrogen, NO2 plus NO3	3.1	mg/L	0.10	1		04/05/19 15:00)	
365.4 Total Phosphorus	Analytical Meth	od: EPA 365	.4					
Phosphorus	1.1	mg/L	0.10	1		04/06/19 10:55	7723-14-0	



Project: TEC BOTTOM ASH SPLP 2019

Pace Project No.: 60298624

Date: 04/09/2019 03:06 PM

Sample: TEC BA OUTLET Lab ID: 60298624005 Collected: 04/02/19 12:55 Received: 04/02/19 15:30 Matrix: Solid Results reported on a "dry weight" basis and are adjusted for percent moisture, sample size and any dilutions. **Parameters** Results Units Report Limit DF Prepared Analyzed CAS No. Qual 6010 MET ICP, SPLP Analytical Method: EPA 6010 Preparation Method: EPA 3010 Leachate Method/Date: EPA 1312; 04/04/19 00:00 0.14 **Barium** mg/L 0.10 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:27 7440-39-3 Beryllium ND mg/L 0.0010 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:27 7440-41-7 Boron 0.39 mg/L 0.10 1 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:27 7440-42-8 Cadmium 0.0050 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:27 ND mg/L 1 7440-43-9 15.5 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:27 Calcium mg/L 0.10 7440-70-2 1 Chromium ND mg/L 0.0050 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:27 7440-47-3 1 Cobalt ND mg/L 0.0050 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:27 7440-48-4 1 NΠ 0.010 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:27 7440-50-8 Copper mg/L 1 0.055 0.050 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:27 7439-89-6 mg/L Iron 1 ND mg/L 0.0050 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:27 7439-92-1 I ead 1 Magnesium 2.6 mg/L 0.050 1 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:27 7439-95-4 Manganese ND mg/L 0.0050 1 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:27 7439-96-5 Molybdenum ND mg/L 0.020 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:27 7439-98-7 Nickel ND 0.0050 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:27 7440-02-0 mg/L 1 Potassium ND mg/L 0.50 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:27 7440-09-7 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:27 7631-86-9 Silica 7.2 mg/L 1.1 1 Silicon 3.3 mg/L 0.50 1 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:27 7440-21-3 Silver ND 0.0070 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:27 7440-22-4 mg/L 1 Sodium 5.5 mg/L 0.50 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:27 7440-23-5 1 0.38 Strontium mg/L 0.020 1 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:27 7440-24-6 Titanium ND mg/L 0.010 1 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:27 7440-32-6 Vanadium 0.043 mg/L 0.010 1 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:27 7440-62-2 Zinc ND mg/L 0.050 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:27 7440-66-6 6020 MET ICPM, SPLP Analytical Method: EPA 6020 Preparation Method: EPA 3020 Leachate Method/Date: EPA 1312; 04/04/19 00:00 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:09 7429-90-5 0.60 mg/L 0.050 1 Aluminum **Antimony** ND mg/L 0.0010 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:09 7440-36-0 1 Arsenic 0.0016 mg/L 0.0010 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:09 7440-38-2 Selenium ND mg/L 0.0010 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:09 7782-49-2 Thallium ND mg/L 0.0010 04/05/19 12:37 04/08/19 12:09 7440-28-0 Analytical Method: EPA 7470 Preparation Method: EPA 7470 7470 Mercury, SPLP Leachate Method/Date: EPA 1312; 04/04/19 00:00 Mercury ND mg/L 0.0020 04/05/19 16:19 04/08/19 12:46 7439-97-6



Project: TEC BOTTOM ASH SPLP 2019

Pace Project No.: 60298624

Date: 04/09/2019 03:06 PM

Sample: TEC BA OUTLET LEACHATE	Lab ID: 602	98624006	Collected: 04/05/1	19 10:15	Received: 04	4/05/19 10:16 N	Matrix: Water	
Parameters	Results	Units	Report Limit	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
300.0 IC Anions 28 Days	Analytical Meth	nod: EPA 300.0	0					
Chloride	ND	mg/L	1.0	1		04/06/19 00:10	16887-00-6	
Fluoride	0.20	mg/L	0.20	1		04/06/19 00:10	16984-48-8	
Sulfate	16.4	mg/L	1.0	1		04/06/19 00:10	14808-79-8	
353.2 Nitrogen, NO2/NO3 unpres	Analytical Meth	nod: EPA 353.2	2					
Nitrogen, Nitrate	0.15	mg/L	0.10	1		04/05/19 15:03		В
Nitrogen, Nitrite	ND	mg/L	0.10	1		04/05/19 15:03		
Nitrogen, NO2 plus NO3	0.15	mg/L	0.10	1		04/05/19 15:03		В
365.4 Total Phosphorus	Analytical Meth	nod: EPA 365.	4					
Phosphorus	ND	mg/L	0.10	1		04/06/19 10:58	7723-14-0	

(913)599-5665



ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Project: TEC BOTTOM ASH SPLP 2019

Pace Project No.: 60298624

Sample: TEC BA INLET LEACHATE Lab ID: 60298624007 Collected: 04/09/19 13:35 Received: 04/09/19 13:36 Matrix: Water

Date: 04/09/2019 03:06 PM

DF Parameters Results Units Report Limit Prepared Analyzed CAS No. Qual

Analytical Method: EPA 7196 7196 Chromium, Hexavalent

Chromium, Hexavalent ND mg/L 0.010 1 04/09/19 14:19 18540-29-9



Project: TEC BOTTOM ASH SPLP 2019

Pace Project No.: 60298624

Date: 04/09/2019 03:06 PM

Sample: TEC BA MIDDLE Lab ID: 60298624008 Collected: 04/09/19 13:35 Received: 04/09/19 13:36 Matrix: Water LEACHATE 2

Parameters Results Units Report Limit DF Prepared Analyzed CAS No. Qual

7196 Chromium, Hexavalent Analytical Method: EPA 7196

Chromium, Hexavalent ND mg/L 0.010 1 04/09/19 14:21 18540-29-9



Project: TEC BOTTOM ASH SPLP 2019

Pace Project No.: 60298624

Date: 04/09/2019 03:06 PM

Sample: TEC BA OUTLET LEACHATE 3

Lab ID: 60298624009 Collected: 04/09/19 13:35 Received: 04/09/19 13:36 Matrix: Water Parameters

Results Units Report Limit DF Prepared Analyzed CAS No. Qual

7196 Chromium, Hexavalent Analytical Method: EPA 7196

Chromium, Hexavalent ND mg/L 0.010 1 04/09/19 14:22 18540-29-9



Project: TEC BOTTOM ASH SPLP 2019

Pace Project No.: 60298624

Date: 04/09/2019 03:06 PM

QC Batch: 577594 Analysis Method: EPA 7470

QC Batch Method: EPA 7470 Analysis Description: 7470 Mercury SPLP

Associated Lab Samples: 60298624001, 60298624003, 60298624005

METHOD BLANK: 2370033 Matrix: Water

Associated Lab Samples: 60298624001, 60298624003, 60298624005

Blank Reporting

Parameter Units Result Limit Analyzed Qualifiers

Mercury mg/L ND 0.0020 04/08/19 12:33

LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE: 2370034

Spike LCS LCS % Rec Parameter Units Conc. Result % Rec Limits Qualifiers Mercury mg/L 0.015 0.014 96 80-120

MATRIX SPIKE & MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE: 2370036 2370035

MS MSD 60298624001 Spike Spike MS MSD MS MSD % Rec Max Parameter Units Result Conc. Conc. Result Result % Rec % Rec Limits RPD RPD Qual ND 0.015 0.015 0.014 0.015 75-125 20 Mercury mg/L 96 97

Results presented on this page are in the units indicated by the "Units" column except where an alternate unit is presented to the right of the result.



Project: TEC BOTTOM ASH SPLP 2019

Pace Project No.: 60298624

Date: 04/09/2019 03:06 PM

QC Batch: 577491 Analysis Method: EPA 6010
QC Batch Method: EPA 3010 Analysis Description: 6010 MET SPLP

Associated Lab Samples: 60298624001, 60298624003, 60298624005

METHOD BLANK: 2369565 Matrix: Water

Associated Lab Samples: 60298624001, 60298624003, 60298624005

		Blank	Reporting		
Parameter	Units	Result	Limit	Analyzed	Qualifiers
Barium	mg/L	ND	0.10	04/08/19 12:04	
Beryllium	mg/L	ND	0.0010	04/08/19 12:04	
Boron	mg/L	ND	0.10	04/08/19 12:04	
Cadmium	mg/L	ND	0.0050	04/08/19 12:04	
Calcium	mg/L	0.90	0.10	04/08/19 13:32	
Chromium	mg/L	ND	0.0050	04/08/19 12:04	
Cobalt	mg/L	ND	0.0050	04/08/19 12:04	
Copper	mg/L	ND	0.010	04/08/19 12:04	
Iron	mg/L	ND	0.050	04/08/19 12:04	
Lead	mg/L	ND	0.0050	04/08/19 12:04	
Magnesium	mg/L	0.082	0.050	04/08/19 12:04	
Manganese	mg/L	ND	0.0050	04/08/19 12:04	
Molybdenum	mg/L	ND	0.020	04/08/19 12:04	
Nickel	mg/L	ND	0.0050	04/08/19 12:04	
Potassium	mg/L	ND	0.50	04/08/19 12:04	
Silica	mg/L	ND	1.1	04/08/19 12:04	
Silicon	mg/L	ND	0.50	04/08/19 12:04	
Silver	mg/L	ND	0.0070	04/08/19 12:04	
Sodium	mg/L	8.6	0.50	04/08/19 13:32	
Strontium	mg/L	ND	0.020	04/08/19 12:04	
Titanium	mg/L	ND	0.010	04/08/19 12:04	
Vanadium	mg/L	ND	0.010	04/08/19 12:04	
Zinc	mg/L	ND	0.050	04/08/19 12:04	

LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE:	2369566					
		Spike	LCS	LCS	% Rec	
Parameter	Units	Conc.	Result	% Rec	Limits	Qualifiers
Barium	mg/L		0.99	99	80-120	
Beryllium	mg/L	1	1.0	100	80-120	
Boron	mg/L	1	0.97	97	80-120	
Cadmium	mg/L	1	0.98	98	80-120	
Calcium	mg/L	10	10.2	102	80-120	
Chromium	mg/L	1	0.99	99	80-120	
Cobalt	mg/L	1	1.0	101	80-120	
Copper	mg/L	1	0.98	98	80-120	
Iron	mg/L	10	10.2	102	80-120	
Lead	mg/L	1	1.0	101	80-120	
Magnesium	mg/L	10	10	100	80-120	
Manganese	mg/L	1	0.98	98	80-120	
Molybdenum	mg/L	1	0.94	94	80-120	

Results presented on this page are in the units indicated by the "Units" column except where an alternate unit is presented to the right of the result.



Project: TEC BOTTOM ASH SPLP 2019

Pace Project No.: 60298624

Date: 04/09/2019 03:06 PM

LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE:	2369566					
		Spike	LCS	LCS	% Rec	
Parameter	Units	Conc.	Result	% Rec	Limits	Qualifiers
Nickel	mg/L		1.0	100	80-120	
Potassium	mg/L	10	10	100	80-120	
Silica	mg/L	1	10.6	1060		
Silicon	mg/L	5	5.0	99	80-120	
Silver	mg/L	0.5	0.50	100	80-120	
Sodium	mg/L	10	9.9	99	80-120	
Strontium	mg/L	1	1.0	100	80-120	
Titanium	mg/L	1	0.99	99	80-120	
Vanadium	mg/L	1	0.99	99	80-120	
Zinc	mg/L	1	0.99	99	80-120	

MATRIX SPIKE & MATRIX	SPIKE DUPLICA	TE: 23695	67		2369568							
			MS	MSD								
	6	0298624001	Spike	Spike	MS	MSD	MS	MSD	% Rec		Max	
Parameter	Units	Result	Conc.	Conc.	Result	Result	% Rec	% Rec	Limits	RPD	RPD	Qua
Barium	mg/L	ND ND	1	1	1.1	1.1	103	103	75-125	1	20	
Beryllium	mg/L	ND	1	1	0.99	0.98	99	98	75-125	1	20	
Boron	mg/L	0.36	1	1	1.3	1.3	97	97	75-125	1	20	
Cadmium	mg/L	ND	1	1	0.97	0.97	97	97	93-110	1	20	
Calcium	mg/L	12.7	10	10	22.6	22.6	98	99	75-125	0	20	
Chromium	mg/L	ND	1	1	0.98	0.98	98	97	72-127	0	20	
Cobalt	mg/L	ND	1	1	1.0	0.99	99	99	90-116	0	20	
Copper	mg/L	ND	1	1	0.98	0.97	98	97	75-125	0	20	
Iron	mg/L	0.22	10	10	10.0	10	98	97	87-113	1	20	
Lead	mg/L	ND	1	1	1.0	0.99	100	99	75-125	1	20	
Magnesium	mg/L	3.2	10	10	13.4	13.4	102	101	75-125	0	20	
Manganese	mg/L	0.0088	1	1	0.98	0.97	97	96	58-158	1	20	
Molybdenum	mg/L	ND	1	1	0.93	0.93	93	93	75-125	0	20	
Nickel	mg/L	ND	1	1	0.99	0.99	99	98	75-125	1	20	
Potassium	mg/L	ND	10	10	9.9	9.7	99	97	75-125	1	20	
Silica	mg/L	6.9	1	1	16.5	16.3	965	944		1		
Silicon	mg/L	3.2	5	5	7.7	7.6	90	88	75-125	1	20	
Silver	mg/L	ND	0.5	0.5	0.50	0.49	99	98	75-125	1	20	
Sodium	mg/L	7.3	10	10	10.7	10.6	34	33	75-125	1	20	M1
Strontium	mg/L	0.19	1	1	1.2	1.2	100	100	75-125	0	20	
Titanium	mg/L	0.012	1	1	0.98	0.98	97	96	75-125	1	20	
Vanadium	mg/L	0.024	1	1	1.0	1.0	98	98	75-125	0	20	
Zinc	mg/L	ND	1	1	0.98	0.97	97	97	78-126	1	20	

Results presented on this page are in the units indicated by the "Units" column except where an alternate unit is presented to the right of the result.



Project: TEC BOTTOM ASH SPLP 2019

Pace Project No.: 60298624

Date: 04/09/2019 03:06 PM

QC Batch: 577492 Analysis Method: EPA 6020
QC Batch Method: EPA 3020 Analysis Description: 6020 MET SPLP

Associated Lab Samples: 60298624001, 60298624003, 60298624005

METHOD BLANK: 2369569 Matrix: Water

Associated Lab Samples: 60298624001, 60298624003, 60298624005

Parameter	Units	Blank Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Qualifiers
Aluminum	mg/L	ND	0.050	04/08/19 12:00	
Antimony	mg/L	ND	0.0010	04/08/19 12:00	
Arsenic	mg/L	ND	0.0010	04/08/19 12:00	
Selenium	mg/L	ND	0.0010	04/08/19 12:00	
Thallium	mg/L	ND	0.0010	04/08/19 12:00	

LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE:	2369570					
		Spike	LCS	LCS	% Rec	
Parameter	Units	Conc.	Result	% Rec	Limits	Qualifiers
Aluminum	mg/L		1.0	100	80-120	
Antimony	mg/L	0.04	0.038	94	80-120	
Arsenic	mg/L	0.04	0.036	91	80-120	
Selenium	mg/L	0.04	0.035	87	80-120	
Thallium	mg/L	0.04	0.037	93	80-120	

MATRIX SPIKE & MATRIX S	PIKE DUPLICA	ATE: 23695	71		2369572							
			MS	MSD								
	6	0298624001	Spike	Spike	MS	MSD	MS	MSD	% Rec		Max	
Parameter	Units	Result	Conc.	Conc.	Result	Result	% Rec	% Rec	Limits	RPD	RPD	Qual
Aluminum	mg/L	0.54	1	1	1.8	1.9	131	132	75-125	1	20	M1
Antimony	mg/L	ND	0.04	0.04	0.038	0.038	94	92	75-125	2	20	
Arsenic	mg/L	0.0025	0.04	0.04	0.039	0.038	90	89	75-125	1	20	
Selenium	mg/L	ND	0.04	0.04	0.035	0.035	85	85	75-125	0	20	
Thallium	mg/L	ND	0.04	0.04	0.037	0.037	94	92	75-125	1	20	

Results presented on this page are in the units indicated by the "Units" column except where an alternate unit is presented to the right of the result.

(913)599-5665



QUALITY CONTROL DATA

Project: TEC BOTTOM ASH SPLP 2019

Pace Project No.: 60298624

Date: 04/09/2019 03:06 PM

QC Batch: 577578 Analysis Method: EPA 300.0

QC Batch Method: EPA 300.0 Analysis Description: 300.0 IC Anions

Associated Lab Samples: 60298624002, 60298624004, 60298624006

METHOD BLANK: 2369968 Matrix: Water

Associated Lab Samples: 60298624002, 60298624004, 60298624006

Blank Reporting Limit Qualifiers Parameter Units Result Analyzed Chloride mg/L ND 1.0 04/05/19 22:02 Fluoride mg/L ND 0.20 04/05/19 22:02 Sulfate ND 04/05/19 22:02 mg/L 1.0

LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE: 2369969

		Spike	LCS	LCS	% Rec	
Parameter	Units	Conc.	Result	% Rec	Limits	Qualifiers
Chloride	mg/L		5.0	100	90-110	
Fluoride	mg/L	2.5	2.6	104	90-110	
Sulfate	mg/L	5	5.3	105	90-110	

Results presented on this page are in the units indicated by the "Units" column except where an alternate unit is presented to the right of the result.



Project: TEC BOTTOM ASH SPLP 2019

Pace Project No.: 60298624

Date: 04/09/2019 03:06 PM

QC Batch: 577533 Analysis Method: EPA 353.2

QC Batch Method: EPA 353.2 Analysis Description: 353.2 Nitrate + Nitrite, Unpres.

Associated Lab Samples: 60298624002, 60298624004, 60298624006

METHOD BLANK: 2369705 Matrix: Water

Associated Lab Samples: 60298624002, 60298624004, 60298624006

		Blank	Reporting		
Parameter	Units	Result	Limit	Analyzed	Qualifiers
Nitrogen, Nitrate	mg/L	0.14	0.10	04/05/19 14:57	
Nitrogen, Nitrite	mg/L	ND	0.10	04/05/19 14:57	
Nitrogen, NO2 plus NO3	mg/L	0.14	0.10	04/05/19 14:57	

LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE:	2369706					
		Spike	LCS	LCS	% Rec	
Parameter	Units	Conc.	Result	% Rec	Limits	Qualifiers
Nitrogen, Nitrate	mg/L		0.96	96	70-130	
Nitrogen, Nitrite	mg/L	1	1.1	106	90-110	
Nitrogen, NO2 plus NO3	mg/L	2	2.0	101	90-110	

MATRIX SPIKE SAMPLE:	2369707						
Parameter	Units	60298624002 Result	Spike Conc.	MS Result	MS % Rec	% Rec Limits	Qualifiers
Nitrogen, Nitrate	 mg/L	0.20	1	1.2	97	70-130	
Nitrogen, Nitrite	mg/L	ND	1	1.1	110	90-110	
Nitrogen, NO2 plus NO3	mg/L	0.20	2	2.3	104	90-110	

SAMPLE DUPLICATE: 2369708						
		60298624006	Dup		Max	
Parameter	Units	Result	Result	RPD	RPD	Qualifiers
Nitrogen, Nitrate	mg/L	0.15	0.15	0	20	
Nitrogen, Nitrite	mg/L	ND	ND		20	
Nitrogen, NO2 plus NO3	mg/L	0.15	0.15	0	20	

Results presented on this page are in the units indicated by the "Units" column except where an alternate unit is presented to the right of the result.



Project: TEC BOTTOM ASH SPLP 2019

Pace Project No.: 60298624

QC Batch: 577541 Analysis Method: EPA 365.4

QC Batch Method: EPA 365.4 Analysis Description: 365.4 Phosphorus

Associated Lab Samples: 60298624002, 60298624004, 60298624006

METHOD BLANK: 2369762 Matrix: Water

Associated Lab Samples: 60298624002, 60298624004, 60298624006

Blank Reporting

Parameter Units Result Limit Analyzed Qualifiers

Phosphorus mg/L ND 0.10 04/06/19 10:51

LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE: 2369763

Spike LCS LCS % Rec Parameter Units Conc. Result % Rec Limits Qualifiers Phosphorus mg/L 2 2.1 105 90-110

MATRIX SPIKE SAMPLE: 2369764

MS 60298624002 Spike MS % Rec Parameter Units Result Conc. Result % Rec Limits Qualifiers 0.16 2 105 90-110 2.3 Phosphorus mg/L

SAMPLE DUPLICATE: 2369765

Date: 04/09/2019 03:06 PM

60298624004 Dup Max RPD RPD Parameter Units Result Result Qualifiers 1.1 Phosphorus mg/L 1.0 3 10

Results presented on this page are in the units indicated by the "Units" column except where an alternate unit is presented to the right of the result.



Project: TEC BOTTOM ASH SPLP 2019

Pace Project No.: 60298624

QC Batch: 578184 Analysis Method: EPA 7196

QC Batch Method: EPA 7196 Analysis Description: 7196 Chromium, Hexavalent

Associated Lab Samples: 60298624007, 60298624008, 60298624009

METHOD BLANK: 2372388 Matrix: Water

Associated Lab Samples: 60298624007, 60298624008, 60298624009

Blank Reporting

Parameter Units Result Limit Analyzed Qualifiers

Chromium, Hexavalent mg/L ND 0.010 04/09/19 14:13

LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE: 2372389

Spike LCS LCS % Rec Parameter Units Conc. Result % Rec Limits Qualifiers Chromium, Hexavalent mg/L 0.1 0.096 96 90-110

MATRIX SPIKE SAMPLE: 2372390

60298624007 Spike MS MS % Rec Parameter Units Result Conc. Result % Rec Limits Qualifiers ND Chromium, Hexavalent 0.1 0.090 90 85-115 mg/L

SAMPLE DUPLICATE: 2372391

Date: 04/09/2019 03:06 PM

Parameter Units 60298624008 Dup Max Result RPD Qualifiers

Chromium, Hexavalent mg/L ND ND 20

Results presented on this page are in the units indicated by the "Units" column except where an alternate unit is presented to the right of the result.



QUALIFIERS

Project: TEC BOTTOM ASH SPLP 2019

Pace Project No.: 60298624

DEFINITIONS

DF - Dilution Factor, if reported, represents the factor applied to the reported data due to dilution of the sample aliquot.

ND - Not Detected at or above adjusted reporting limit.

TNTC - Too Numerous To Count

J - Estimated concentration above the adjusted method detection limit and below the adjusted reporting limit.

MDL - Adjusted Method Detection Limit.

PQL - Practical Quantitation Limit.

RL - Reporting Limit - The lowest concentration value that meets project requirements for quantitative data with known precision and bias for a specific analyte in a specific matrix.

S - Surrogate

1,2-Diphenylhydrazine decomposes to and cannot be separated from Azobenzene using Method 8270. The result for each analyte is a combined concentration.

Consistent with EPA guidelines, unrounded data are displayed and have been used to calculate % recovery and RPD values.

LCS(D) - Laboratory Control Sample (Duplicate)

MS(D) - Matrix Spike (Duplicate)

DUP - Sample Duplicate

RPD - Relative Percent Difference

NC - Not Calculable.

SG - Silica Gel - Clean-Up

U - Indicates the compound was analyzed for, but not detected.

N-Nitrosodiphenylamine decomposes and cannot be separated from Diphenylamine using Method 8270. The result reported for each analyte is a combined concentration.

Pace Analytical is TNI accredited. Contact your Pace PM for the current list of accredited analytes.

TNI - The NELAC Institute.

LABORATORIES

PASI-K Pace Analytical Services - Kansas City

ANALYTE QUALIFIERS

Date: 04/09/2019 03:06 PM

B Analyte was detected in the associated method blank.

M1 Matrix spike recovery exceeded QC limits. Batch accepted based on laboratory control sample (LCS) recovery.



QUALITY CONTROL DATA CROSS REFERENCE TABLE

Project: TEC BOTTOM ASH SPLP 2019

Pace Project No.: 60298624

Date: 04/09/2019 03:06 PM

Lab ID	Sample ID	QC Batch Method	QC Batch	Analytical Method	Analytical Batch
60298624001	TEC BA INLET	EPA 3010	577491	EPA 6010	577572
60298624003	TEC BA MIDDLE	EPA 3010	577491	EPA 6010	577572
60298624005	TEC BA OUTLET	EPA 3010	577491	EPA 6010	577572
60298624001	TEC BA INLET	EPA 3020	577492	EPA 6020	577571
60298624003	TEC BA MIDDLE	EPA 3020	577492	EPA 6020	577571
60298624005	TEC BA OUTLET	EPA 3020	577492	EPA 6020	577571
60298624001	TEC BA INLET	EPA 7470	577594	EPA 7470	577730
60298624003	TEC BA MIDDLE	EPA 7470	577594	EPA 7470	577730
60298624005	TEC BA OUTLET	EPA 7470	577594	EPA 7470	577730
60298624002	TEC BA INLET LEACHATE	EPA 300.0	577578		
60298624004	TEC BA MIDDLE LEACHATE	EPA 300.0	577578		
60298624006	TEC BA OUTLET LEACHATE	EPA 300.0	577578		
60298624002	TEC BA INLET LEACHATE	EPA 353.2	577533		
60298624004	TEC BA MIDDLE LEACHATE	EPA 353.2	577533		
60298624006	TEC BA OUTLET LEACHATE	EPA 353.2	577533		
60298624002	TEC BA INLET LEACHATE	EPA 365.4	577541		
60298624004	TEC BA MIDDLE LEACHATE	EPA 365.4	577541		
60298624006	TEC BA OUTLET LEACHATE	EPA 365.4	577541		
60298624007	TEC BA INLET LEACHATE 2	EPA 7196	578184		
60298624008	TEC BA MIDDLE LEACHATE 2	EPA 7196	578184		
60298624009	TEC BA OUTLET LEACHATE 3	EPA 7196	578184		



Sample Condition Upon Receipt



Client Name: Wester Freeze		
Courier: FedEx □ UPS □ VIA □ Clay □ P	EX 🗆 ECI 🗆	Pace
Tracking #: Pace	Shipping Label Use	d? Yes □ No E
Custody Seal on Cooler/Box Present: Yes ✓ No ☐	Seals intact: Yes	√ No □
Packing Material: Bubble Wrap ☐ Bubble Bags Ø	/	None ☐ Other ☐
Thermometer Used: \(\frac{1-24\lambda}{2}\) Type of I	lce: Wet Blue No	
Cooler Temperature (°C): As-read 2/.5 Corr. Facto	r_1,0 Correc	ted 3.5 Date and initials of person examining contents: 4/2/19
Temperature should be above freezing to 6°C		
Chain of Custody present:	Yes No N/A	
Chain of Custody relinquished:	✓Yes □No □N/A	
Samples arrived within holding time:	ZYes □No □N/A	
Short Hold Time analyses (<72hr):	□Yes ZNo □N/A	
Rush Turn Around Time requested:	✓Yes □No □N/A	3 24/
Sufficient volume:	ZYes □No □N/A	
Correct containers used:	Yes No N/A	
Pace containers used:	✓Yes □No □N/A	
Containers intact:	✓Yes □No □N/A	
Unpreserved 5035A / TX1005/1006 soils frozen in 48hrs?	□Yes □No □N/A	
Filtered volume received for dissolved tests?	□Yes □No ØN/A	
Sample labels match COC: Date / time / ID / analyses	Yes □No □N/A	
Samples contain multiple phases? Matrix: 52	□Yes ☑No □N/A	
Containers requiring pH preservation in compliance?	□Yes □No ☑N/A	List sample IDs, volumes, lot #'s of preservative and the date/time added.
(HNO₃, H₂SO₄, HCl<2; NaOH>9 Sulfide, NaOH>10 Cyanide) (Exceptions: VOA, Micro, O&G, KS TPH, OK-DRO)		
Cyanide water sample checks:		
Lead acetate strip turns dark? (Record only)	□Yes □No	
Potassium iodide test strip turns blue/purple? (Preserve)	□Yes □No	
Trip Blank present:	□Yes □No □N/A	
Headspace in VOA vials (>6mm):	□Yes □No □N/A	
Samples from USDA Regulated Area: State:	□Yes ☑No □N/A	
Additional labels attached to 5035A / TX1005 vials in the field?	□Yes □No ☑N/A	
Client Notification/ Resolution: Copy COC to	Client? Y / N	Field Data Required? Y / N
Person Contacted: Date/Tin	ne:	
Comments/ Resolution:		
Project Manager Review:	Date	B



CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY / Analytical Request Document

The Chain-of-Custody is a LEGAL DOCUMENT. All relevant fields must be completed accurately.

(
Section	n A d Client Information:	Section B Required Proje	ect Info	mation.						ction oice In		rtion:															Pag	je:	- 1	of	1	
Compan		Report To: Br	_					_	_	ention:			unts	Paya	able				_	7						-	_	_			-/-	
Address	: 2nd Dupont Road	Copy To: Ja	red M	orrison, A	dam Kne	eling		_	Com	npany	Nam	e: V	VEST	AR	ENE	RGY				RE	GU	LAT	ORY	AGI	EN(CY	_					
-	Tecumseh, KS 66542	Bo	b Bec	ck				_	Add	lress:	_		_	_		_		_		-	_	PDES					D.W/	ATER	2 -	DRINKIN	IG W/	ATER
Email To	0:	Purchase Orde	r No.:	10TEC-	00000079	957		_		e Quote										1-				- _R			J **/	11 LIV		OTHER	0 117	VILIX
Phone:	Fax:	Project Name:		C Bottom				-		erence: e Proje		Heat	her V	Vilso	n 91	13-56	3-14	07	_	-	_	ocati	_	- '	, ÇI V	_		10	77777		7777	
	ted Due Date/TAT: 3-5 Days	Project Numbe						_		ager. e Profil			3, 1 8		, •					- "					K	(S						
								_	_			1137	5, 1			_	D		estec	LAR	_	STAT		4 (V	75.I.\		E	<i>.</i> ///			##	
_	Section D Valid Matrix C	1 1	Т	Т —					Т	Т	_	-	_		_	╁	T	eque	stec	T	llys	SFI	tere	a (1)	IN)	_	-10					
	Required Client Information MATRIX	CODE S	ØMP.		COLL	ECTED			1	L		Prese	ervati	ves		N/A	Ш															
	DRINKING WATER WASTE WASTE WASTE WASTE WASTE WASTE WASTE PRODUCT SOIL/SOLID OIL SAMPLE ID WIPE	CODE DW WT WW P SL OL WP	₽	COMP		COMPO END/G	PSITE RAB	r COLLECTION	RS							st	Meatls*	etals**	CI F SO4	itrates	365.4 Phosphorus	lent Chromi					/V/AI)	ine (Y/N)		94W	24	
ITEM #	(A-Z, 0-9 /,-) OTHER Sample IDs MUST BE UNIQUE TISSUE	AR OT TS CC XIXI	YPE	DATE	TIME	DATE	ПМЕ	SAMPLE TEMP AT	# OF CONTAINERS	Unpreserved	H ₂ SO ₄	HNO3	NaOH	Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃	Methanol	Analysis Test	6010 SPLP M	6020 SPLP Metals**	7470 Hg SPI P 300 0 C		SPLP 365.4 P	SPLP Hexavalent Chrom					Deciding Chloring	Kesiduai Ciliu	an	Project		
1	TEC BA Inlet	s	_	1		14/2	1245		1	1	-	7.5		\neg	\top	1	1	1		5	i	i	T	1	-		002	2				te that the
2	TEC BA Middle	5				4/2	1250		1	1	П		ħ,			1	П	11		11	IT	\Box	T	_	7	7	DIX	- 1		0.0, 353.2		
3	TEL BA Outlet	51	- 6			4/2	1255		I	T			V			1		1	117	1	T				d	2	de	Odel	Hexava	lent Chro	mium	need to be
4																										ľ	\perp	1	logger	d as a lea	chate	sample
5																											\perp			using	line 2	
6											Ш		Ш			1	Ц					Ш	_				_	4				
7										L	Ш			\perp			Ш										\perp	\perp				
8										┸	Ш					1	Ц	_	_	\perp		Ш	_	_	_	_	4	\perp				
9											Ш					1	Ц					Ц			1	_	4	1				
10																							\perp		1	1	\perp	\perp				
_11			_						L	\perp	Ш	_	Ш	_	4	4	Ш	_	4	4		Ш	4	_	1	_	4	\bot				
12									L		Ш		Ш										4		L	4	⊥	丄				
	ADDITIONAL COMMENTS	RE	LINQU	IISHED BY		ION	DATI			TIME				ACC	EPTE	D BY	/ AFF	LIAT	ION			DATE	_	TII	ME	_			SAME	LE CONDI	TIONS	
*Ba, Be, silica, Ad **Al, As,	B, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Mo, Ni, K, Si, q, Na, St, Ti, V, Zn Sb, Se, Tl	13/2	V	/ We	纳人		4/2/	9	13	330)	4	21	4	_/	Fi	4				4/1	211	9	15	30	-	4,4	5	1	3/	H	/
	g: Please note that the 300.0, 353.2, 365.4, and	-						_	\vdash	_	_	_	_			_					1		+			+	_	+			1	
	ent Chromium need to be logged as a leachate sample e 2 of the profile	-					-	_	-		_			_							-		+		-	+	_	+			+	
I _					_			_		_						_	_			_	L	_		_	_	4		+		-	1	
age					SAMPL	ER NAME	AND SIGN	ATUF	RE								1				_	7					ပိ		uo p Q	y/N)		Intac)
age 25 of						PRINT Nan	ne of SAMP	LER:	_1	319	nd	un	6	1.1	FIL	^	,						,				Temp in		Received on Ice (Y/N)	Custody Sealed Cooler (Y/N)		Samples Intact (Y/N)
of 25						SIGNATUR	E of SAMP	LER:	/	N	2	Y	/				DA (M	M/DD	gned /YY):	04	10	2/	19						- Re	Cust		Sarr



08/04/2011 Page: 1

Westar Energy, Inc. Attn: Stone Junod P.O. Box 889 Topeka, KS 66601

Date and Time Received: 07/14/2011 09:00

Continental File No.: 7701
Continental Order No.: 57218

Project ID: TEC

Purchase Auth: 901836

Dear Mr. Junod:

This laboratory report containing the samples indicated below, includes 15 pages for the analytical report, 1 page(s) for the chain of custody and/or analysis request, and 1 page(s) for the sample receipt form.

CAS LAB ID #	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE	DATE SAMPLED
11070963	TEC Fly Ash-SPLP	Liquid	7/13/2011
11070964	TEC Bottom Ash -SPLP	Liquid	7/13/2011

The Appendix and Quality Control sections are integral parts of this laboratory report and may contain important data qualifiers.

All results are reported on a wet weight basis unless otherwise stated.

Samples will be retained for 120 days unless Continental is otherwise notified.

Continental is accredited by the State of Kansas through the National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program (NELAP). The results contained in this report were obtained using Continental's Standard Operating Procedures. These procedures are in substantial compliance with the approved methods referenced and the standards published by NELAP unless otherwise noted in the Appendix and Quality Control sections of this report.

This report may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval from Continental Analytical Services, Inc.

Thank you for choosing Continental for this project. If you have any questions please contact me at (800)535-3076.

CONTINENTAL ANALYTICAL SERVICES, INC.

Clifford J. Baker Technical Manager Petra M. Craddock
Project Manager







Client: Westar Energy, Inc.

Attn: Stone Junod P.O. Box 889 Topeka, KS 66601

Date Reported: 08/04/2011 Date Received: 07/14/2011 Continental File No: 7701 Continental Order No: 57218

Lab Number: 11070963

Sample Description: TEC Fly Ash-SPLP

Date Sampled: 07/13/2011

Time Sampled: 1420

			Dilution	
Analysis	Concentration	Units	Factor	LOQ
Aluminum, Tot. Rec., ICP-MS	83400	μg/L	1.0	0.03
Antimony, Tot. Rec., ICP-MS	ND(5)	μg/L	1.0	5
Arsenic, Total, ICP	ND(5)	μ g/L	1.0	5
Barium, Total, ICP	6980	μg/L	1.0	0.10
Beryllium, Total, ICP	ND(2)	μg/L	1.0	2
Boron, Total, ICP	ND (500)	μ g/L	1.0	500
Cadmium, Total, ICP	ND(2)	μg/L	1.0	2
Calcium, Total, ICP	206	mg/L	1.0	0.5
Chromium, Total, ICP	92	$\mu { t g}/{ t L}$	1.0	5
Cobalt, Total, ICP	ND(2)	μ g/L	1.0	2
Copper, Total, ICP	ND (10)	μ g/L	1.0	10
Final pH, SPLP Extract	11.3	Std. units	1.0	
Iron, Total, ICP	ND(0.10)	mg/L	1.0	0.10
Lead, Total, ICP	ND (5)	$\mu { t g}/{ t L}$	1.0	3
Magnesium, Total, ICP	ND (0.1)	mg/L	1.0	0.1
Manganese, Total, ICP	ND (5)	μ g/L	1.0	5
Mercury, Total	ND(0.2)	μg/L	1.0	0.2
Molybdenum, Total, ICP	110.	μ g/L	1.0	5
Nickel, Total, ICP	ND(5)	μ g/L	1.0	5
Potassium, Dissolved, ICP	0.9 B	mg/L	1.0	0.3
Selenium, Tot. Rec., ICP-MS	10.	μg/L	1.0	5
Silicon as Silica	1.04 BS 0.16	mg/L	1.0	0.04
Silver, Total, ICP	ND (5)	$\mu { t g}/{ t L}$	1.0	5
Sodium, Dissolved, ICP	13.9 BS 2.6	mg/L	1.0	0.5
Strontium, Total, ICP	11900	μ g/L	1.0	5
Thallium, Tot. Rec., ICP-MS	ND(2)	μg/L	1.0	2
Titanium, Total, ICP	6	μg/L	1.0	5
Vanadium, Total, ICP	10.	$\mu {f g}/{f L}$	1.0	5
Zinc, Total, ICP	15 .	μg/L	1.0	10
_				
Chloride	1.2	mg/L	1.0	1.0
Chromium, Hexavalent	0.175	mg/L	1.0	0.010
Fluoride	2.7 E QC	mg/L	1.0	0.1
Nitrate, as N	ND (0.1)	mg/L	1.0	0.1
Nitrate/Nitrite, as N	ND (0.1)	mg/L	1.0	0.1
Nitrite, as N	ND(0.1)	mg/L	1.0	0.1 .
Phosphorus, Total, as P	ND (0.2)	mg/L	0	0
Sulfate	12.9	mg/L	1.0	1.0

Date/Time Analysis Prepared Analyzed Batch Batch Analyst Method(s)

QC

Inst.

-Continued-

Date/Time



Client: Westar Energy, Inc.

Attn: Stone Junod P.O. Box 889 Topeka, KS 66601 Date Reported: 08/04/2011
Date Received: 07/14/2011
Continental File No: 7701
Continental Order No: 57218

	Date/Time		Date/Time		QC Datah	Inst.	amal.cat	Mathod (a)
Analysis	Prepared		Analyzed		Batch	Batch	Analyse	Method(s)
Aluminum, Tot. Rec., ICP-							JDL	6020A
Antimony, Tot. Rec., ICP-	-M07/21/11 12	200	07/21/11	1914	110721-3	4IP3202	JDL	6020A
Arsenic, Total, ICP	07/21/11 11						JDL	6010B
Barium, Total, ICP	07/21/11 11		- , - ,				JDL	6010B
Beryllium, Total, ICP	07/21/11 11	L30	07/25/11	1338	110721-1	3IP4206	JDL	6010B
Boron, Total, ICP	07/21/11 11	130	07/25/11	1338	110721-1	31P4206	JDL	6010B
Cadmium, Total, ICP	07/21/11 11						JDL	6010B
Calcium, Total, ICP	07/21/11 11	L30	07/25/11	1338	110721-1	31P4206	JDL	6010B
Chromium, Total, ICP	07/21/11 11						J DL	6010B
Cobalt, Total, ICP	07/21/11 11						JDL	6010B
Copper, Total, ICP	07/21/11 11						JDL	6010B
Final pH, SPLP Extract	N/A	-	07/20/11		110720-1		ADK	9040B
Iron, Total, ICP	07/21/11 11	130	, ,				JDI.	601 0B
Lead, Total, ICP	07/21/11 11						JDL	6010B
Magnesium, Total, ICP	07/21/11 11						JDL	6010B
Manganese, Total, ICP	07/21/11 11						JDL	6010B
Mercury, Total	07/21/11 11						JDL	7470A
Molybdenum, Total, ICP	07/21/11 11						JDL	6010B
Nickel, Total, ICP	07/21/11 11						JDL	6010B
Potassium, Dissolved, ICP							KMW	6010B
Selenium, Tot. Rec., ICP-							JDL	6020A
Silicon as Silica	07/22/11 12						KMW	6010B
Silver, Total, ICP	07/21/11 11						JDL	6010B
Sodium, Dissolved, ICP	07/22/11 12						KMW	6010B
Strontium, Total, ICP	07/21/11 11						JDL	6010B
Thallium, Tot. Rec., ICP-							JDL	6020A
Titanium, Total, ICP	07/21/11 11						JDL	6010B
Vanadium, Total, ICP	07/21/11 11						JDL	6010B
Zinc, Total, ICP	07/21/11 11						JDL	6010B
Chloride	N/A		07/23/11			1IC1202	MLL	300.0/9056A
Chromium, Hexavalent	N/A					110721-2		7196A (Modified
Fluoride	N/A		•		1IC2213		MLL	300.0/9056A
Nitrate, as N	N/A		07/21/11			1IC1202	MLL	300.0/9056A
Nitrate/Nitrite, as N	N/A		07/26/11		11011		****	Calc.
Nitrite, as N	N/A		07/20/11		1701202	11C1202	MLL	300.0/9056A
Phosphorus, Total, as P	N/A					110721-3		SM 4500-P(B&F)
Sulfate	N/A		07/21/11			1IC1202	MLL	300.0/9056A
ICP Metals Total Preparat	•		0,,22,		110111	110111	l'illian	3010A
Dissolved Metals Preparat				•				3005A
Mercury Total Preparation								7470A
								/ 1 / 1/11

Conclusion of Lab Number: 11070963





Client:

Westar Energy, Inc. Attn: Stone Junod P.O. Box 889

Topeka, KS 66601

Date Reported: 08/04/2011 Date Received: 07/14/2011 Continental File No: 7701 Continental Order No: 57218

Lab Number: 11070964

Sample Description: TEC Bottom Ash -SPLP

Date Sampled: 07/13/2011

Time Sampled: 1430

				Dilution	1
Analysis	Concentra	ation Ur	nits	Factor	LOQ
Aluminum, Tot. Rec., ICP-		μς	J/L	1.0	0.03
Antimony, Tot. Rec., ICP-	MS NTD(5)	μς	_J /L	1.0	5
Arsenic, Total, ICP	ND(5)	μς	I/L	1.0	5
Barium, Total, ICP	546	μς	_I /L	1.0	0.10
Beryllium, Total, ICP	ND (2)	μς	_J /L	1.0	2
Boron, Total, ICP	900	μ	J/L	1.0	500
Cadmium, Total, ICP	ND(2)	μς	1/L	1.0	2
Calcium, Total, ICP	87.1	mg	J/L	1.0	0.5
Chromium, Total, ICP	16	μ	ſ/L	1.0	5
Cobalt, Total, ICP	ND(2)	μο	l/L	1.0	2
Copper, Total, ICP	ND(10)	μ	r/Ľ	1.0	10
Final pH, SPLP Extract	10.4	st	d. units	1.0	
Iron, Total, ICP	ND(0.10)) mg	r/L	1.0	0.10
Lead, Total, ICP	N D(5)	μg	r/L	1.0	3
Magnesium, Total, ICP	0.3	mg	r/L	1.0	0.1
Manganese, Total, ICP	ND (5)	μ9	/L	1.0	5
Mercury, Total	ND(0.2)	μ9	//L	1.0	0.2
Molybdenum, Total, ICP	12	μ g	r/L	1.0	5
Nickel, Total, ICP	ND(5)	μ9	·/L	1.0	5
Potassium, Dissolved, ICP	0.4 B	mg	·/Ľ	1.0	0.3
Selenium, Tot. Rec., ICP-1	MS NTD(5)	. μg	/L	1.0	5
Silicon as Silica	3.48	mg	/L	1.0	0.04
Silver, Total, ICP	ND(5)	μ g	·/L	1.0	5
Sodium, Dissolved, ICP	6.0 BS 2	2.6 mg	/L	1.0	0.5
Strontium, Total, ICP	1360	μg	/L	1.0	5
Thallium, Tot. Rec., ICP-N	MS ND(2)	μg	/L	1.0	2
Titanium, Total, ICP	ND (5)	μg	/L	1.0	5
Vanadium, Total, ICP	51	μg	/L	1.0	5
Zinc, Total, ICP	15	μg	/L	1.0	10
Chloride	1.9	mg	/T.	1.0	1.0
Chromium, Hexavalent	0.018	mg	-	1.0	0.010
Fluoride	0.1	mg		1.0	0.010
Nitrate, as N	0.1	mg		1.0	0.1
Nitrate/Nitrite, as N	0.1	mg		1.0	0.1
Nitrite, as N	ND(0.1)	mg		1.0	0.1
Phosphorus, Total, as P	ND (0.2)	mg		0	0
Sulfate	148	mg		10	10
		2		- -	- •
	Date/Time	Date/Time	QC	Inst.	
<u>Analysis</u>	Prepared	Analyzed	Batch	Batch	Analyst Method(s)

-Continued-



Client: Westar Energy, Inc.

Attn: Stone Junod P.O. Box 889 Topeka, KS 66601 Date Reported: 08/04/2011
Date Received: 07/14/2011
Continental File No: 7701
Continental Order No: 57218

Analysis	Date/Time Prepared	Date/Time	QC Patab	Inst. Batch	11at	**
WIGTAGE	Prepared	Analyzed	Batch	Batten	Anaryst	Method(s)
Aluminum, Tot. Rec., ICP-					JDL	6020A
Antimony, Tot. Rec., ICP-					JDL	6020A
Arsenic, Total, ICP		07/25/11 1343			JDL	6010B
Barium, Total, ICP		07/26/11 1811			DDT .	6010B
Beryllium, Total, ICP		07/25/11 1343			JDL	6010B
Boron, Total, ICP		07/26/11 1811			JDL	6010B
Cadmium, Total, ICP		07/25/11 1343			JDL	6010B
Calcium, Total, ICP		07/26/11 1811			JDL	6010B
Chromium, Total, ICP		07/25/11 1343			$\mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{D} \mathfrak{L}$	6010B
Cobalt, Total, ICP		07/25/11 1343			JDL	6010B
Copper, Total, ICP		07/25/11 1343			JDL	6010B
Final pH, SPLP Extract	N/A	07/20/11	110720-1		ADK	9040B
Iron, Total, ICP	07/21/11 1130	07/25/11 1343			JDL	6010B
Lead, Total, ICP		07/28/11 1355			JDL	6010B
Magnesium, Total, ICP		07/25/11 1343			JDL	6010B
Manganese, Total, ICP	07/21/11 1130	07/25/11 1343	110721-1	3IP4206	JDL	6010B
Mercury, Total		07/22/11 1828			JDL	7470A
Molybdenum, Total, ICP		07/26/11 1811			JDL	6010B
Nickel, Total, ICP		07/25/11 1343			JDL	6010B
Potassium, Dissolved, ICP	07/22/11 1252	08/02/11 2008	110722-5	5IP4214	KMW	6010B
Selenium, Tot. Rec., ICP-	M07/21/11 1200	07/21/11 1941	110721-3	4IP3202	JDL	6020A
Silicon as Silica		08/01/11 1633			KMW	6010B
Silver, Total, ICP		07/25/11 1343			JDL	6010B
Sodium, Dissolved, ICP		08/02/11 2008			KMW	6010B
Strontium, Total, ICP		07/28/11 1355			JDL	6010B
Thallium, Tot. Rec., ICP-	M07/21/11 1200	07/21/11 1941	110721-3	4TP3202	JDL	6020A
Titanium, Total, ICP	07/21/11 1130	07/25/11 1343	110721-1	3TP4206	JDL	6010B
Vanadium, Total, ICP		07/26/11 1811			JDL	6010B
Zinc, Total, ICP		07/25/11 1343			JDL	6010B
Chloride	N/A	07/21/11 1229		1IC1202	MLL	300.0/9056A
Chromium, Hexavalent	N/A	07/21/11 1107			JND	7196A (Modified)
Fluoride	N/A	07/26/11 2229		3IC2207	MLL	300.0/9056A
Nitrate, as N	N/A	07/21/11 1229		1IC1202	MLL	300.0/9056A
Nitrate/Nitrite, as N	N/A	07/26/11	1101202	1101202	1,3777	Calc.
Nitrite, as N	N/A	07/21/11 1229	1TC1202	1IC1202	MLL	300.0/9056A
Phosphorus, Total, as P	N/A	07/21/11 1423				SM 4500-P(B&F) (M
Sulfate	N/A	07/21/11 1425		2IC1202	MLL	300.0/9056A
ICP Metals Total Preparat:		V1/24/11 13-13	TICIZOZ	2101204	MILL	3010A
Dissolved Metals Preparat:						
Mercury Total Preparation						3005A
Total Recoverable Metals I		-hod				7470A
**************************************	reparacion nec	.1100				3005A

Conclusion of Lab Number: 11070964





APPENDIX

Page: 6

Client:

Westar Energy, Inc. Attn: Stone Junod

P.O. Box 889 Topeka, KS 66601 Date Reported: 08/04/2011
Date Received: 07/14/2011
Continental File No: 7701
Continental Order No: 57218

ND indicates not detected with the LOQ (Limit of Quantitation) in parentheses. The LOQ value has been adjusted for the dilution factor and percent solids, as applicable. Due to rounding of significant figures, the LOQ value may vary slightly from the reported concentration. The LOQ is the lowest concentration of the analytical standard that was used for calibrating the instrument. If an analytical standard is analyzed at the LOQ, an error of as much as +/- 50% can be expected.

Not all samples were received at a temperature of less than 6 degrees Celsius. Refer to the enclosed Cooler/Sample Receipt Form(s) for the affected cooler(s) and sample(s).

The following table presents the date and time sampled, the date and time analyzed, and the total time elapsed for each analysis with an EPA recommended holding time of seventy-two hours or less.

		DATE/TIME	DATE/TIME	ELAPSED
CAS LAB ID #	ANALYSIS	SAMPLED	ANALYZED	HRS:MIN
11070963	Chromium, Hexavalent	07/13/2011 1420	07/21/2011 1107	188:47
11070963	Nitrate, as N	07/13/2011 1420	07/21/2011 1215	189:55
11070963	Nitrite, as N	07/13/2011 1420	07/21/2011 1215	189:55
11070964	Chromium, Hexavalent	07/13/2011 1430	07/21/2011 1107	188:37
11070964	Nitrate, as N	07/13/2011 1430	07/21/2011 1229	189:59
11070964	Nitrite, as N	07/13/2011 1430	07/21/2011 1229	189:59

- B Analyte is also present in the method blank or load blank at the concentration indicated either to the right of the letter B and/or in the enclosed Quality Control Report. The reported sample concentration has not been blank corrected.
- BS This analyte was detected in a blank from the SPLP or TCLP procedure at the concentration indicated to the right of the qualifier. The sample result has not been blank corrected. The analytical method blank can be found in the QC report.
- E Concentration or reporting limit is an estimated value. Matrix interferences and/or sample heterogeneity were noted at the time of sample analysis.
- QC QC data qualifiers were noted. See the Quality Control Report.





Date: 08/04/2011

Page: 8

Continental Analytical Services, Inc. Accreditation Summary Report

Client: Westar Energy, Inc. CAS Order Number: 57218

NELAP accreditation is issued under each EPA regulatory program for a given matrix/analyte/method combination. Continental is NELAP accredited for each matrix/analyte/method and EPA program cited in this Laboratory Report, except for those listed in the table below and analysis performed in the field. For most of the analyses listed in the table, NELAP accreditation is not offered under the listed EPA program and Continental is NELAP accredited for the analysis, using the same analytical technology, but under a different EPA program. Continental's full NELAP accreditation status may be viewed at www.kdheks.gov/envlab. Note that unless qualified otherwise in the Laboratory Report, Continental performs all analyses, including each analysis listed in the table below, utilizing NELAP protocol.

			Matrix-	Accredited	
			Regulatory		in Other
<u>Analysis</u>			Program	Method	Reg. Program
Phosphorus, Tota	ıl, as	P	L-RCRA	SM 4500-P(B&F)(M)	Y
SPLP Prep			L-RCRA		N
	Phosphorus, Tota	Phosphorus, Total, as	Phosphorus, Total, as P	Analysis Phosphorus, Total, as P Regulatory L-RCRA	Regulatory Analysis Phosphorus, Total, as P Regulatory Program L-RCRA Method SM 4500-P(B&F) (M)

CAS NELAP



Client: Westar Energy, Inc. Attn: Stone Junod P.O. Box 889

P.O. Box 889 Topeka, KS 66601 Quality Control Report
Batch Summary

Page: 9

Date Reported: 08/04/2011 Date Received: 07/14/2011 Continental File No: 7701 Continental Order No: 57218

Test	Testname	QC Batch	Method Blank	LCS	MS Lab No.
SL470	Final pH, SPLP Extract	110720-1	110720BLK1	110720LCS1	
SL602	SPLP Prep	110720-1	110720BLK1		
	bers associated with this batch: 3 11070964				,
SL802	Arsenic, Total, ICP	110721-1	110721BLK1	110721LCS1	11070964MS
SL303	Barium, Total, ICP	110721-1	110721BLK1	110721LCS1	1107096 4MS
\$L304	Beryllium, Total, ICP	110721-1	110721BLK1	110721LCS1	11070964MS
SL305	Boron, Total, ICP	110721-1	110721BLK1	110721LCS1	11070964MS
SL306	Cadmium, Total, ICP	110721-1	110721BLK1	110721LCS1	11070964MS
\$L307	Calcium, Total, ICP	110721-1	110721BLK1	110721LCS1	11070964MS
\$L308	Chromium, Total, ICP	110721-1	110721BLK1	110721LCS1	11070964MS
SL309	Cobalt, Total, ICP	110721-1	110721BLK1	110721LCS1	11070964 MS
SL313	Copper, Total, ICP	110721-1	110721BLK1	110721LCS1	11070964MS
SL326	Iron, Total, ICP	110721-1	110721BLK1	110721LCS1	11070964MS
SL311	Lead, Total, ICP	110721-1	110721BLK1	110721LCS1	11070964MS
SL331	Magnesium, Total, ICP	110721-1	110721BLK1	110721LCS1	11070964MS
SL332	Manganese, Total, ICP	110721-1	110721BLK1		11070964MS
SL333	Mercury, Total			110721LCS1	
SL334	Molybdenum, Total, ICP	110721-1 110721-1	110721BLK1	110721LCS1 110721LCS1	11070963MS
SL336	Nickel, Total, ICP		110721BLK1 110721BLK1		11070964MS
SL353	Silver, Total, ICP	110721-1		110721LCS1	11070964MS
SL357	Strontium, Total, ICP	110721-1	110721BLK1	110721LCS1	11070964MS
SL366	Titanium, Total, ICP	110721-1	110721BLK1	110721LCS1	11070964MS
SL368	Vanadium, Total, ICP	110721-1	110721BLK1	110721LCS1	11070964MS
SL369	Zinc, Total, ICP	110721-1 110721-1	110721BLK1	110721LCS1	11070964MS
Lab num	bers associated with this batch: 3 11070964	110/21-1	110721BLK1	110721LCS1	11070964MS
71.000	Alumina But But top to			4	
SLOOO	Aluminum, Tot. Rec., ICP-MS	110721-3	110721BLK3	110721LCS3	11070963MS
SL001	Antimony, Tot. Rec., ICP-MS	110721-3	110721BLK3	110721LC\$3	11070963MS
SL023	Selenium, Tot. Rec., ICP-MS	110721-3	110721BLK3	110721LCS3	11070963MS
	Thallium, Tot. Rec., ICP-MS bers associated with this batch: 3 11070964	110721-3	110721BLK3	110721LCS3	11070963MS
	Silicon as Silica bers associated with this batch: 3 11070964	110722-3	110722BLK3	110722LCS3	11070964MS
SL242	Potassium, Dissolved, ICP	110722-5	110722BLK5	110722LCS5	11070964MS
	Sodium, Dissolved, ICP bers associated with this batch: 3 11070964	110722-5	110722BLK5	110722LCS5	11070964MS





Quality Control Report Batch Summary Page: 10

Date Reported: 08/04/2011 Date Received: 07/14/2011 Continental File No: 7701 Continental Order No: 57218

Client: Westar Energy, Inc.
Attn: Stone Junod
P.O. Box 889
Topeka, KS 66601

Test	Testname	QC Batch	Method Blank	LCS	MS Lab No.	
	Chloride ers associated with this batch: 11070964	11C1202	BLK11C1202	LCS1IC1202	11071334MS	,
	Chromium, Hexavalent ers associated with this batch: 11070964	110721-1	110721BLK1	110721LCS1	11071608MS	
GL501 Lab numb	Fluoride ers associated with this batch:	11C2207	BLK11C2207	LCS1IC2207		
GL501 Lab numb 11070963	Fluoride ers associated with this batch:	11C2213	BLK1IC2213	LCS1IC2213		
	Nitrate, as N ers associated with this batch: 11070964	11C1202	BLK1IC1202	LCS1IC1202		88 A 44 44 A 4 A 4 A 4 A 4 A 4 A 4 A 4 A
	Nitrate/Nitrite, as N ers associated with this batch: 11070964					
Lab numb	Nitrite, as N ers associated with this batch: 11070964	1IC1202	BLK11C1202	LCS1IC1202		
iab numb	Phosphorus, Total, as P ers associated with this batch: 11070964	110721-2	110721BLK2	110721LCS2	11071101MS	. ,
	Sulfate ers associated with this batch: 11070964	1IC1202	BLK11C1202	LCS1IC1202		



Method Blank, LCS, MS/MSD Data

Client: Westar Energy, Inc.

Attn: Stone Junod P.O. Box 889 Topeka, KS 66601

Page: 11

Date Reported: 08/04/2011 Date Received: 07/14/2011 Continental File No: 7701 Continental Order No: 57218

	Blank %	Rec		Spike		-	Sample		Spike			l Sample sion Data
Analysis	Data	LC8	Limits	-	Units		MSD	Limits	Level	Units	RPD	Limit
2C Batch: 110721-1	For samples	prepare	ed on: 07/21	/2011		Spiked	sample: 1	.1070963				
fercury, Total	NID (0.2)	89.1	80.0-120	5.0	μg/L	90.2	91.9	80.0-120	5.0	μg/L	1.9	20.0
C Batch: 110721-1	For samples	prepare	nd on: 07/21	/2011		Spiked	sample: I	.1070964				n , a
arsenic, Total, ICP	NTD (5)	96.5	80.0-120	500	μg/L	98.6	97.1	80.0-120	500	$\mu g/L$	1.5	20.0
Sarium, Total, ICP	ND (5)	98.0	80.0-120	1500	μg/L	101	110.	80.0-120	1500	$\mu g/L$	8.5	20.0
Seryllium, Total, ICP	ND(2)	96.9	80.0-120	500	μg/ъ	104	103	80.0-120	500	μg/L	1.0	20.0
oron, Total, ICP	ND (500)	96.3	80.0-120	500	μg/L	91.3	89.4	80.0-120	500	μg/L	2.1	20.0
Cadmium, Total, ICP	ND(2)	95.6	80.0-120	500	μg/L	95.8	94.4	80.0-120	500	$\mu g/L$	1.5	20.0
Calcium, Total, ICP	ND (0.5)	97.7	80.0-120	51.0	mg/L	93.0	110.	80.0-120	51.0	mg/L	16.7	20.0
hromium, Total, ICP	ND (5)	95.2	80.0-120	500	μg/L	95.3	94.4	80.0-120	500	μg/L	0.9	20.0
obalt, Total, ICP	ND(2)	94.8	80.0-120	500	μg/L	94.6	92.8	80.0-120	500	µg/L	1.9	20.0
opper, Total, ICP	ND (10)	97.0	80.0-120	500	μg/L	99.4	98.3	80.0-120	500	μg/L	1.1	20.0
Iron, Total, ICP	NTD (0.10)	93.1	80.0-120	20.5	mg/L	101	101	80.0-120	20.5	mg/L	0.0	20.0
ead, Total, ICP	ND(5)	95.1	80.0-120	500	μg/L	96.2	94.9	80.0-120	500	μg/L	1.4	20.0
Magnesium, Total, ICP	ND(0.1)	91.3	80.0-120	51.0	mg/L	98.0	98.0	80.0-120	51.0	mg/1↓	0.0	20.0
Manganese, Total, ICP	ND (5)	97.1	80.0-120	500	μg/L	98.0	96.7	80.0-120	500	μg/L	1.3	20.0
olybdanum, Total, ICP	ND(5)	9 7.5	80.0-120	500	μg/L	98.1	97.6	80.0-120	500	μg/L	0.5	20.0
Wickel, Total, ICP	ND(5)	94.6	80.0-120	500	μg/L	94.6	93.1	80.0-120	500	μg/L	1.6	20.0
Silver, Total, ICP	ND (5)	95.0	80.0-120	100	μg/L	96.9	95.5	80.0-120	100	μg/L	1.5	20.0
Strontium, Total, ICP	ND (5)	107	80.0-120	100	μg/L	I	I	80.0-120	100	μg/L	**	20.0
ritanium, Total, ICP	ND (5)	99.9	80.0-120	500	μg/L	102	101	80.0-120	500	μg/L	1.0	20.0
anadium, Total, ICP	ND (5)	95.2	80.0-120	500	μg/L	95.4	94.6	80.0-120	500	μg/L	0.8	20.0
Zinc, Total, ICP	ND (10)	92.9	80.0-120	500	μg/L	90.7	89.9	80.0-120	500	μg/L	0.9	20.0
C Batch: 110721-1	For sample	analyze	1 on: 07/21/	2011		Spiked	sample: 3	L1071608				
Chromium, Hexavalent	ND(0.010)	99.9	90.0-110	0.50	mg/L	MIN	MIN	85.0-115	0.50	mg/L	**	20.0
2C Batch: 110721-2	For sample	analyze	1 on: 07/21/	2011		Spiked	sample: 3	11071101		·		
Phosphorus, Total, as P	ND (0.20)	96.6	90.0-110	1.0	mg/L	MN	MIN	71.2-135	1.0	mg/L	**	21.2
C Batch: 110721-3	For samples	prepare	ed on: 07/21	/2011		Spiked	sample: 3	L1070963	-	•		
Aluminum, Tot. Rec., ICP-MS	20 J	99.6	85.0-115	51000	μg/ъ	104	101	80.0-120	51000	$\mu g/L$	2.9	20.0
Aluminum, Tot. Rec., ICP-MS	ND (30)	104	85.0-115	51000	μg/L			80.0-120			**	20.0
Antimony, Tot. Rec., ICP-MS	ND(5)	94.7	85.0-115	500	μg/L	94.1	93.6	80.0-120	500	μg/L	0.5	20.0
Selenium, Tot. Rec., ICP-MS	NTD (5)	102	85.0-115	500	μg/ъ	99.1	98.4	80.0-120	500	μg/L	0.7	20.0
rhallium, Tot. Rec., ICP-MS	ND(2)	101	85.0-115	500	μg/L	97.6	103	80.0-120	500	μg/L	5.4	20.0
C Batch: 110722-3	For samples	prepare	ed on: 07/22	/2011		Spiked	sample:	L1070964				
Silicon as Silica	ND (0.04)	97.0	80.0-120	1.1	mg/L	86.5	86.3	80.0-120	1.1	mg/L	0.2	20.0
C Batch: 110722-5	For samples	prepar	ed on: 07/22	/2011		Spiked	sample: 2	11070964				
otassium, Dissolved, ICP	0.7 BK	106	85.0-115	14.5	mg/L	107	108	80.0-120	14.5	mg/L	0.9	20.0
Sodium, Dissolved, ICP	1.5 BK	106	85.0-115	27.5	mg/L	105	106	80.0-120	27.5	mg/L	0.9	20.0
QC Batch: 1IC1202	For sample	analyze	d on: 07/21/	2011		Spiked	sample:					
Nitrite, as N	ND(0.1)	96.1	90.0-110	2.0	mg/L	MN	MN	78.5-127			**	10.1
Titrate, as N	ND(0.1)	96.7	90.0-110	2.0	mg/L	MIN	MN	79.3-118			**	12,1
Sulfate	ND(1.0)	101	90.0-110	8.0	mg/L	MN	MIN	81.8-125			**	10.4
2C Batch: 1IC1202	For sample	analyze	1 on: 07/21/	2011		Spiked	sample: 1	11071334				
	ND(1.0)	105	90.0-110	4.0		MN	MIN	82.1-126		mg/L	**	12.5

Quality Control Report
Method Blank, LCS, MS/MSD Data

Client: Westar Energy, Inc.

Attn: Stone Junod P.O. Box 889 Topeka, KS 66601 Page: 12

Date Reported: 08/04/2011 Date Received: 07/14/2011 Continental File No: 7701 Continental Order No: 57218

	Blank	% Rec		Spike		Spiked (% Reco	Sample overy)		Spike		-	i Sample sion Data
Analysis	Data	LCS	Limits	Level	Units	MS	MSD	Limits	Level	Units	RPD	Limit
QC Batch: 1IC2207	For sampl	e analyze	1 on: 07/26,	/2011		Spiked s	sample:					
Fluoride	NID (0.1)	104	90.0-110	2.0	mg/L	MN	MN	67.3-113			**	9.8
QC Batch: 1IC2213	For sampl	e analyze	i on: 08/01/	/2011		Spiked s	sample:					
Pluoride	ND (0.1)	92.4	90.0-110	2.0	mg/L	MN	MN	67.3-113			**	9.8

Data Oualifiers:

- I Due to the concentration of analyte in the sample, the spike level is too low to allow accurate quantification of the spike recovery.
- MN The MS/MSD sample analyses were not performed on a sample from this Continental order number.
- J The concentration or not detected (ND) value is below the Limit of Quantitation (LOQ) and is considered an estimated value.
- BK This analyte did not meet method blank criteria. The associated sample results may be estimated.
- ** RPD cannot be calculated.



Continuing Calibration Verification Data Summary

* Client: Westar Energy, Inc. Attn: Stone Junod

P.O. Box 889 Topeka, KS 66601 Page: 13

Date Reported: 08/04/2011 Date Received: 07/14/2011 Continental File No: 7701 Continental Order No: 57218

	Date of	Instrument	Amount in	Amount		Percent
Analysis	Analysis	Batch ID	Standard	Detected	Units	Recovery
Aluminum, Tot. Rec., ICP-MS	08/02/2011	2IP3214	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument Batch.
Aluminum, Tot. Rec., ICP-MS	08/02/2011	3IP3214	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument Batch.
Aluminum, Tot. Rec., ICP-MS	08/02/2011	4IP3214	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument Batch.
Antimony, Tot. Rec., ICP-MS	07/21/2011	4IP3202	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument Batch.
Antimony, Tot. Rec., ICP-MS	07/21/2011	51P3202	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument Batch.
Arsenic, Total, ICP	07/25/2011	31P4206	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument Batch.
Arsenic, Total, ICP	07/25/2011	4IP4206	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument Batch,
Barium, Total, ICP	07/25/2011	3IP4206	=	=		Instrument Batch.
Barium, Total, ICP	07/25/2011	4IP4206	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument Batch.
Barium, Total, ICP	07/26/2011	41P4207	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument Batch.
Barium, Total, ICP	07/26/2011	5IP4207	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument Batch.
Beryllium, Total, ICP	07/25/2011	3IP4206	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument Batch.
Beryllium, Total, ICP	07/25/2011	41P4206	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument Batch.
Boron, Total, ICP	07/25/2011	3IP4206	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument Batch.
Boron, Total, ICP	07/25/2011	41P4206	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument Batch.
Boron, Total, ICP	07/26/2011	41P4207	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument Batch.
Boron, Total, ICP	07/26/2011	5IP4207	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument Batch.
Cadmium, Total, ICP	07/25/2011	3IP4206	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument Batch.
Cadmium, Total, ICP	07/25/2011	41P4206	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument Batch.
Calcium, Total, ICP	07/25/2011	31P4206	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument Batch.
Calcium, Total, ICP	07/25/2011	4IP4206	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument Batch.
Calcium, Total, ICP	07/26/2011	4IP4207	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument Batch.
Calcium, Total, ICP	07/26/2011	5IP4207	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument Batch.
Chromium, Total, ICP	07/25/2011	31P4206	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument Batch.
Chromium, Total, ICP	07/25/2011	4IP4206	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument Batch.
Cobalt, Total, ICP	07/25/2011	3IP4206	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument Batch.
Cobalt, Total, ICP	07/25/2011	41P4206	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument Batch.
Copper, Total, ICP	07/25/2011	31P4206	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument Batch.
Copper, Total, ICP	07/25/2011	41P4206	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument Batch.
Chromium, Hexavalent	07/21/2011	110721-2	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument Batch.
Chromium, Hexavalent	07/21/2011	110721-3	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument Batch.
Phosphorus, Total, as P	07/21/2011	110721-3	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument Batch.
Phosphorus, Total, as P	07/21/2011	110721-4	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument Batch.
Fluoride	07/26/2011	3IC2207	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument Batch.
Fluoride	07/26/2011	4IC2207	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument Batch.
Fluoride	08/01/2011	1IC2213	CCV recovery	acceptable	for this	Instrument Batch.
Fluoride	08/01/2011	2IC2213	2.00	1.70	mg/L	85.0 CL
Samples associat	ed with this	Continuing Ca	alibration Ver	ification:		

Laboratory Number

Instrument Batch 1IC2213 Sample Description
TEC Fly Ash-SPLP



Quality Control Report Continuing Calibration Verification Data Summary

Page: 14

Date Reported: 08/04/2011 Date Received: 07/14/2011 Continental File No: 7701 Continental Order No: 57218

Client: Westar Energy, Inc. Attn: Stone Junod P.O. Box 889 Topeka, KS 66601

Chloride 07/21/2011 21C1202 CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch. Nitrite, as N 07/21/2011 CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch. 1 TC1 202 Nitrite, as N 07/21/2011 2IC1202 CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch. Nitrate, as N 07/21/2011 1IC1202 CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch. Nitrate, as N 07/21/2011 2IC1202 CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch. Sulfate 07/21/2011 1TC1202 CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch. Sulfate 07/21/2011 2IC1202 CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch. Sulfate 07/21/2011 3IC1202 CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch, Iron, Total, ICP 07/25/2011 3TP4206 CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch. Iron, Total, ICP 07/25/2011 4TP4206 CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch. Lead, Total, ICP 07/28/2011 4IP4209 CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch. Lead, Total, ICP 07/28/2011 5IP4209 CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch. Magnesium, Total, ICP 07/25/2011 3IP4206 CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch. Magnesium, Total, ICP 07/25/2011 CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch. 4IP4206 Manganese, Total, ICP 07/25/2011 3IP4206 CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch. Manganese, Total, ICP 07/25/2011 4IP4206 CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch. Mercury, Total 07/22/2011 3MA3203 CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch. Mercury, Total 07/22/2011 4MA3203 CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch. Mercury, Total CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch. 07/22/2011 5MA3203 Molybdenum, Total, ICP 07/26/2011 4IP4207 CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch. Molybdenum, Total, ICP 07/26/2011 5IP4207 CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch. Nickel, Total, ICP 07/25/2011 3IP4206 CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch. Nickel, Total, ICP 07/25/2011 4IP4206 CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch. Potassium, Dissolved, ICP 08/02/2011 4IP4214 CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch. Potassium, Dissolved, ICP CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch. 08/02/2011 5TP4214 Potassium, Dissolved, ICP 08/02/2011 6TP4214 CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch. Selenium, Tot. Rec., ICP-MS 07/21/2011 4IP3202 CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch. Selenium, Tot. Rec., ICP-MS 07/21/2011 51P3202 CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch. Silicon as Silica 08/01/2011 3IP4213 CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch. Silicon as Silica 08/01/2011 4IP4213 CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch. Silver, Total, ICP 07/25/2011 3IP4206 CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch. Silver, Total, ICP 07/25/2011 4IP4206 CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch. Sodium, Dissolved, ICP 08/02/2011 4TP4214 CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch. Sodium, Dissolved, ICP 08/02/2011 5TP4214 CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch. Sodium, Dissolved, ICP 08/02/2011 6IP4214 CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch. Strontium, Total, ICP 07/28/2011 4IP4209 CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch. Strontium, Total, ICP 07/28/2011 5IP4209 CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch. Thallium, Tot. Rec., ICP-MS 07/21/2011 4IP3202 CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch. Thallium, Tot. Rec., ICP-MS CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch. 07/21/2011 5IP3202 Titanium, Total, ICP 07/25/2011 3IP4206 CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch. Titanium, Total, ICP 07/25/2011 4IP4206 CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch. Vanadium, Total, ICP 07/25/2011 3IP4206 CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch. Vanadium, Total, ICP 07/25/2011 4IP4206 CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch. Vanadium, Total, ICP 07/26/2011 CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch. 4IP4207 Vanadium, Total, ICP 07/26/2011 5IP4207 CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch.

Quality Control Report Continuing Calibration Verification Data Summary

Page: 15

Client: Westar Energy, Inc.

Attn: Stone Junod P.O. Box 889 Topeka, KS 66601 Date Reported: 08/04/2011 Date Received: 07/14/2011 Continental File No: 7701 Continental Order No: 57218

Zinc, Total, ICP Zinc, Total, ICP 07/25/2011 07/25/2011 3IP4206 4IP4206 ${\tt CCV}$ recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch.

CCV recovery acceptable for this Instrument Batch.

Data Qualifiers:

CL - The continuing calibration verification (CCV) standard recovery for this analyte was below the method or SOP limit. The reported concentration for this analyte may be biased low.

- Laboratory Report Conclusion -



525 N. 8th Street, Salina, KS 67401 (785)827-1273 (800)535-3076 Fax (785)823-7830 www.cas-lab.com

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD Continental Order Number:

Client/Reporting Information					Invoice Information						PARAMETERS/CONTAINER TYPE CO						COMMENT	rs						
Company Name Company Name													T	T		T ***	Τ.		T		<u>. </u>			
					estar Energy																			
Address: Address. 818 SOUTH KANSAS AVENUE 818 SOUTH					ress. S SOUTH KANSAS AVENUE											ĺ							ı	
City State: Zip City					State: Zip													1						
TOPEKA	KS	66601				KS 66601							÷						l					
Contact: Contact:											615				ļ									
STONE JUNOD DICK FINGER					.R							s 10										- 1		
E-mail: E-mail:												ıe a		İ				ŀ	1					
					@westarenergy.com							sarr												
Phone Number: Fax Number: Phone Number) tsi								•				
785-575-6435 785-575-8039 785-575-65					/							SPLP list (same as 10615)]										
Sampler's Name:(Printed) Sampler's Name:(Signature)				ure)	Purchase Order Number: 901836						SPI		1							1				
Project Number:				~ <u>/</u>		Number of Prese					en ed Boitles											ļ		
	Tecumseh Energy	Center				a site	_ s					1	Nr JNE					Ī			[
CAMPINE TINENT	CATION	Matrix				Composi G-Grab	Total Contauner	Ξ	NaOH	HNO3	H2SO4	Na2S2O3	1 1		i									
SAMPLE IDENTIFIC (30 Characters or		(Sample Type)	Regulatory Program	Date Sampled	Time Sampled	ٽ	٠		_] =	I	Σ.	OTIDER		1					•				
TEC Fly Ash		s	В	7/12/2011	14.20								1,,								 			ᅥ
		3	R	7/13/2011	14:20	С	1	<u> </u>	-		-		X	X					ļ		—			
TEC Bottom Ash		S	R	7/13/2011	14:30	C	1						x	X										
	į																							
							_	-					╁┼						<u> </u>		 			4
																			<u> </u>		<u> </u>			
***												_												\dashv
						\vdash			\vdash			_						1.1		_	/	-1		_
																07	114	///-	معل	ľΔ	PCK	4u	geer	
																Mer	10	<u> </u>	Ja re	7 M	del	1 /	ano	\neg
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	····							_	\vdash				-				$\frac{\sim \gamma'}{1}$	1 pri	CONTINU	,		- 10	<u> </u>	\dashv
·	-										Щ					W (204	110	he	CAL	w	rhe		
																la	6 #	09	13	M	4			
														1		-				7	me			\dashv
Matrix (Comple Tours). DU		CIN C	1111																	_ +	7719			_
Matrix (Sample Type): DW	=Drinking Water,	GW=Gr	ound Water	, WW =Was	ste Water,		Wipe	,	S=So.	lid/S	oil,	SL	=Slud	ge, A	=Air, (OL= Oil∉	Organic	Liquid,	O =Ot	her				
Regulatory Program. N=NPDES, R=RCRA, D=Drinking Water, SL=503 S					Sludge, <u>O</u> =Other							(Please note if non-standard turnaround. Rush & Emergency subject to additional charge) Standard TAT (15 working days) Rush TAT (5 working days) Emergency TAT (3 working days)						\neg						
RELINO ISHED BY					DATE. TIME. RECEIVED BY:						BY:		DATE:					VI IS WORL	TIME:	\dashv				
Do el						17-18-1116:30																		
RELINQUISHED BY					DATE TIME RECEIVED E						BY	DATE TIME.					TIME							
																					JAIL.	ı	a avali.	
RECEIVED AT LAB BY					DATE	DATE. TIME. SHIPPED VIA.						7.4												
											ıA.	SEAL #												
makenthus)-1 A	7-14-1) 9:00 AIRBI				ILL.	LL. SEAL DATE.								-						

Continental Analytical Services Cooler/Samp	le R	Receipt Form CAS Order No. 57218
Client Name: Wartar		CAS File No.:
Sample ID's in cooler: Sec CoC		,
Cooler Identification: GAS Cooler #		
Cooler Identification: CAS Cooler #:Other:	<u> </u>	_/Client's Coolet/Box/Letter/Hand Delivered
Date/Time Cooler Received:////	ے	<u> </u> :00
		Air Exp/Field Svcs/Mail/Walk-In/Other:
Custody Seal: Present: Intact / Broken A	.bsent;	Seal No:
		Seal Date:
Seal matches Chain of Custody		
Type of Packing Material: Blue Ice/Ice/Melted Ice Bubble	/Foarr	n/Paper/Peanuts/Vermiculite/ None/Other:
		Corrected Reading (°C) 26 4 - 28. Y
Temp. By: Temp. Blank S	Surface	e: Glass/Plastid/Metal/Other: Cooler
		Thermo. Correction Factor (°C): + -1.0
☐ Evidence of Cooling: date:		
Sample Receipt Discrepancies: 🗷 No 🛚 Yes (see b		
Sample Receipt Discrepancies. 2 110 2 200 (1000 0	'ELU W	Jor ascrepancies)
Note: CAS will proceed with sample analyses, a	addr	ressing each discrepancy as shown, until/unless directed
		by the client.
☐ Chain of Custody not present information taken from:		Sample excluded from Chain of Custody
Cover Letter Container Container		Sample excluded from Chain of Custody Sample listed on Chain of Custody, not received
PO CAS Proj. Mgr.		Sample description on container and Chain of Custody do not agree
Container label absent		Air bubbles in Aqueous VOA vials larger than pea-size [approx. 6 mm]
☐ Chain of Custody incomplete [see detail below]		Cooler temperature exceeded 0.1 - 6.0 °C requirement
Chain of Custody missing date/time sampled (excl. TB or Dup)		[Do not mark if samples do not require cooling to 0.1 - 6.0 °C.]
☐ Date or Time sampled obtained from container label		Broken or leaking containers (detail actions below)
Chain of Custody missing sampler's name		Sample container type or labeled chemical preservation inappropriate
☐ Chain of Custody missing matrix (sample type)		Other discrepancies:
☐ Missing relinquished information: signature date time		·
Detail to discrepancies/comments:		
Completed by: Date Completed:	Y-V	

.

APPENDIX B

Aerial Photographs



HISTORICAL AERIAL REPORT

for the site:

TEC

5530 SE 2nd Street

Tecumseh, KS 66542

PO #:

Report ID: 20180302347 Completed: 3/14/2018 **ERIS Information Inc.**

Environmental Risk Information Services (ERIS)

A division of Glacier Media Inc.

T: 1.866.517.5204 E: info@erisinfo.com

www.erisinfo.com

Search Results Summary

Date	Source	Scale	Comment
2017	NAIP - National Agriculture Information Program	1"=1300'	
2015	NAIP - National Agriculture Information Program	1"=1300'	
2014	NAIP - National Agriculture Information Program	1"=1300'	
2012	NAIP - National Agriculture Information Program	1"=1300'	
2010	NAIP - National Agriculture Information Program	1"=1300'	
2008	NAIP - National Agriculture Information Program	1"=1300'	
2006	NAIP - National Agriculture Information Program	1"=1300'	
2005	NAIP - National Agriculture Information Program	1"=1300'	
2004	NAIP - National Agriculture Information Program	1"=1300'	
2003	NAIP - National Agriculture Information Program	1"=1300'	
1991	USGS - US Geological Survey	1"=1300'	
1982	NHAP - National High Altitude Photography	1"=1300'	
1975	USGS - US Geological Survey	1"=1300'	
1970	USGS - US Geological Survey	1"=1300'	
1950	AMS - Army Mapping Service	1"=1300'	
1948	ASCS - Agriculture and Soil Conservation Service	1"=1300'	BEST COPY AVAILABLE




Date: 2017 Source: NAIP Scale: 1" to 1300'

Comments:







Date: 2015 Source: NAIP Scale: 1" to 1300'

Comments:







Date: **2014**Source: **NAIP**Scale: **1" to 1300'**

Comments:







Date: **2012**Source: **NAIP**Scale: **1" to 1300'**

Comments:







Date: **2010**Source: **NAIP**Scale: **1" to 1300'**

Comments:







Date: 2008 Source: NAIP Scale: 1" to 1300'

Comments:







Date: 2006 Source: NAIP Scale: 1" to 1300'

Comments:







Date: 2005 Source: NAIP Scale: 1" to 1300'

Comments:







Date: 2004 Source: NAIP Scale: 1" to 1300'

Comments:







Date: 2003 Source: NAIP Scale: 1" to 1300'

Comments:








Date: 1991 Source: USGS Scale: 1" to 1300'

Comments:







Date: 1982 Source: NHAP Scale: 1" to 1300'

Comments:







Date: 1975 Source: USGS Scale: 1" to 1300'

Comments:








Date: 1970 Source: USGS Scale: 1" to 1300'

Comments:







Date: 1950 Source: AMS Scale: 1" to 1300'

Comments:









 Date:
 1948

 Source:
 ASCS

 Scale:
 1" to 1300'

 Comments:
 BEST COPY AVAILABLE





APPENDIX C

Topographic Maps



TOPOGRAPHIC MAP RESEARCH RESULTS

Date: 2018-03-02

Project Property: 5530 Se 2Nd Street, Tecumseh, KS

ERIS Order Number: 20180302347

We have searched USGS collections of current topographic maps and historical topographic maps for the project property. Below is a list of maps found for the project property and adjacent area. Maps are from 7.5 and 15 minute topographic map series, if available.

Year	Map Series
2012	7.5
1983	7.5
1981	7.5
1975	7.5
1970	7.5
1951	7.5
1950	7.5

Topographic Maps included in this report are produced by the USGS and are to be used for research purposes including a phase I report. Maps are not to be resold as commercial property.

No warranty of Accuracy or Liability for ERIS: The information contained in this report has been produced by ERIS Information Inc. (in the US) and ERIS Information Limited Partnership (in Canada), both doing business as 'ERIS', using Topographic Maps produced by the USGS. This maps contained herein does not purport to be and does not constitute a guarantee of the accuracy of the information contained herein. Although ERIS has endeavored to present you with information that is accurate, ERIS disclaims, any and all liability for any errors, omissions, or inaccuracies in such information and data, whether attributable to inadvertence, negligence or otherwise, and for any consequences arising therefrom. Liability on the part of ERIS is limited to the monetary value paid for this report.

Address: 38 Lesmill Road Unit 2, Toronto, ON M3B 2T5

Phone: 1-866-517-5204 Fax: 416-447-7658

info@erisinfo.com www.erisinfo.com

